* Did you have any issues or challenges with the literature search/audit on software sites and the national vulnerabilities database?

Here are some issues or challenges with a literature search or audit on software sites and the National Vulnerability Database (NVD):

* Data Overload: There is a vast amount of data available on software sites and NVD, making it difficult to identify relevant information.
* Data Quality: The quality of the data available on software sites and NVD may be questionable, leading to unreliable results.
* Lack of Standardization: Different software sites and NVD may have different methods for classifying and presenting information, making it difficult to compare results across sources.
* Data Duplication: There may be duplication of data across different software sites and NVD, leading to a need for manual verification of information.
* Time-consuming: The process of searching and auditing software sites and NVD can be time-consuming, and the results may not be up-to-date due to the dynamic nature of the information.
* Data Security: The security of the data stored on software sites and NVD is a concern, as unauthorized access to sensitive information can result in data breaches.
* Limited Relevance: The information available on software sites and NVD may not be relevant to a specific audit or research project, leading to the need for additional searches and auditing.
* Cost: Some software sites and NVD may charge a fee for access to their data, which can be a barrier for individuals or organizations with limited resources.
* How did you overcome them?
* Rephrased and created specific question that are precise to the search
* Relied of facts that are scientifically or academically proven based on references
* Narrowed search to specific keyword that questions required
* Filtered out irrelevant information
* Avoided websites and database that required payments