

# Computations of $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules for some non semisimple representations modulo $p^2$

Nataniel Marquis

October 6, 2025

This text aims to compute Fontaine's functor for specific representations. We consider several variations around the same example of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representation  $V$  whose underlying  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{F}_p)$  but for which  $V[p]$  isn't semi-simple as Galois representation.

In this text, the prime  $p$  is odd.

## 1 First univariable case

Consider  $E = \mathbb{F}_p((X))$ . Following the notations of [Fon07, §1.2], we fix  $\mathcal{O}_E$  a  $p$ -adically complete and separated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra, discrete valuation ring with uniformiser  $p$  and residue field  $E$ , equipped with a lift of Frobenius. We also denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{E^{\text{ur}}}}$  its strict henselisation.

Fix a non trivial character

$$\chi : \mathcal{G}_E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$$

and define  $F|E$  to be the Galois extension of degree  $p$  corresponding to  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$ . Consider  $V_\chi$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  whose underlying  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module is

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) e_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}_p e_2$$

and whose action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ \sigma \cdot e_2 &= p\chi(\sigma)e_1 + e_2 \end{aligned}$$

One feature of this representation, is that  $V_\chi[p]$  has  $(pe_1, e_2)$  for basis and that the Galois action in this basis expresses as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi(\sigma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $V_\chi[p]$  isn't semi-simple.

We compute the  $\varphi^{\mathbb{N}}$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}_E$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(V_\chi) &:= (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{E^{\text{ur}}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} V_\chi)^{\mathcal{G}_E} \\ &\cong \left\{ (x, y) \in \left( \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{E^{\text{ur}}}} / p^2 \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{E^{\text{ur}}}} \right) \times E^{\text{sep}} \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{G}_E, \begin{aligned} \sigma(x) + p\chi(\sigma)\sigma(y) &= x \\ \sigma(y) &= y \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

These equalities imply that  $x$  is invariant by  $\mathcal{G}_F$  and  $y$  by  $\mathcal{G}_E$ . Thus, they respectively land in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}/p^2\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $E$ . The group  $\text{Gal}(F|E)$  is cyclic ; call  $\Sigma := \chi^{-1}(1)|_F$  which is a generator . We obtain that

$$\mathbb{D}(V_{\chi}) \cong \left\{ (x, y) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}/p^2\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}) \times E \mid \Sigma(x) + py = x \right\}.$$

We use property A.1 on  $F|E$  to produce an element  $Y_{\diamond} \in F$  such that  $\Sigma(Y_{\diamond}) + 1 = Y_{\diamond}$ . We then compute that

$$\mathbb{D}(V_{\chi}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/p^2\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}) d_1 \oplus E d_2$$

where  $d_1 = e_1$  and  $d_2 = pY_{\diamond}e_1 + e_2$ .

Nonetheless, computing the Frobenius in this case requires an understanding of  $F$  in terms of Artin-Schreier theory (see [Neu99, Chapter IV, §3] for  $\wp = \varphi - \text{Id}$ ). Precisely, we use

**Theorem 1.1** (Artin-Schreier). *Let  $E$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  and  $\wp = \varphi - \text{Id}$ . The map*

$$E/\wp(E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{TopGP}}(\mathcal{G}_E, \mathbb{F}_p), \quad x + \wp(E) \mapsto [\sigma \mapsto \sigma(y) - y],$$

*for any  $y$  such that  $\wp(y) = x$  is well defined. It is an linear isomorphism.*

Using that  $Y_{\diamond} \in F$  and  $\Sigma(Y_{\diamond}) - Y_{\diamond} = -1$ , we know that

$$\chi = [\sigma \mapsto Y_{\diamond} - \sigma(Y_{\diamond})].$$

The Artin-Schreier theory says that  $X_{\diamond} := Y_{\diamond}^p - Y_{\diamond} \in E$ , that  $\chi$  is associated  $-X_{\diamond} + \wp(E)$  and  $F$  is the decomposition field of  $T^p - T + X_{\diamond}$ . With these notations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d_1) &= d_1 \\ \varphi(d_2) &= pX_{\diamond}d_1 + d_2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the character  $\chi$  (i.e. the extension  $F|E$  with generator of its Galois group) entirely determines  $X_{\diamond} + \wp(E)$ . Changing the representative only modify the given base  $\mathbb{D}(V_{\chi})$ .

## 2 Second univariable case

In the previous setup, consider  $W_{\chi}$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  whose underlying  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module is

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) e_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}_p e_2$$

and whose action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot e_1 &= e_1 + \chi(\sigma)e_2 \\ \sigma \cdot e_2 &= p\chi(\sigma)e_1 + e_2 \end{aligned}$$

Like  $V_{\chi}[p]$ , the representation  $W_{\chi}[p]$  isn't semi-simple. It is even funnier : where  $V_{\chi}$  had a  $\mathcal{G}_E$ -stable submodule isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ , the representation  $W_{\chi}$  has none. Indeed,

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p, \quad \sigma(e_1 + \lambda e_2) = (1 + p\lambda\chi(\sigma)) (e_1 + (\lambda + \chi(\sigma))e_2).$$

We compute the  $\varphi^{\mathbb{N}}$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(W_{\chi}) &:= (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{ur}}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} W_{\chi})^{\mathcal{G}_E} \\ &\cong \left\{ (x, y) \in (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{ur}}}}/p^2\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{ur}}}}) \times E^{\text{sep}} \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{G}_E, \begin{aligned} \sigma(x) + p\chi(\sigma)\sigma(y) &= x \\ \sigma(y) + \chi(\sigma)\sigma(x \bmod p) &= y \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

These equalities for  $\sigma$  in the absolute Galois group of  $F$  give that both  $x$  and  $y$  are invariant by  $\mathcal{G}_F$ . Thus, they respectively land in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}/p^2\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $F$ . The group  $\text{Gal}(F|E)$  is cyclic ; call  $\Sigma := \chi^{-1}(1)|_F$  which is a generator . We obtain that

$$\mathbb{D}(W_\chi) \cong \left\{ (x, y) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}/p^2\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}) \times F \mid \Sigma(x) + p\Sigma(y) = x \text{ and } \Sigma(y) + \Sigma(x \bmod p) = y \right\}.$$

We use property A.1 on  $F|E$  to produce a sequence  $(1, Y_\diamond, Y_\blacklozenge)$  in  $F$  such that  $\Sigma(Y_\diamond) + 1 = Y_\diamond$  and  $\Sigma(Y_\blacklozenge) + \Sigma(Y_\diamond) = Y_\blacklozenge$ . Then, we compute that

$$\mathbb{D}(V_\chi) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/p^2\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}) d_1 \oplus E d_2$$

where  $d_1 = (1 + pY_\blacklozenge)e_1 + Y_\diamond e_2$  and  $d_2 = pY_\diamond e_1 + e_2$ .

Again, the Artin-Shreier theory says that  $X_\diamond := Y_\diamond^p - Y_\diamond \in E$ , that  $\chi$  is associated  $-X_\diamond + \wp(E)$  and  $F$  is the decomposition field of  $T^p - T + X_\diamond$ . We also compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(Y_\blacklozenge^p - Y_\blacklozenge) - (Y_\blacklozenge^p - Y_\blacklozenge) &= \Sigma(Y_\blacklozenge)^p - \Sigma(Y_\blacklozenge) - (Y_\blacklozenge^p - Y_\blacklozenge) \\ &= (Y_\blacklozenge - Y_\diamond + 1)^p - (Y_\blacklozenge - Y_\diamond + 1) - (Y_\blacklozenge^p - Y_\blacklozenge) \\ &= -(Y_\diamond^p - Y_\diamond) \\ &= -X_\diamond \end{aligned}$$

Our analysis of  $(\Sigma - \text{Id})$  implies that

$$\exists X_\blacklozenge \in E, \quad Y_\blacklozenge^p - Y_\blacklozenge = X_\diamond Y_\diamond + X_\blacklozenge.$$

With these notations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d_1) &= (1 + pX_\blacklozenge)d_1 + X_\diamond d_2 \\ \varphi(d_2) &= pX_\diamond d_1 + d_2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the character  $\chi$  (i.e. the extension  $F|E$  with generator of its Galois group) entirely determines  $X_\diamond + \wp(E)$  and  $X_\blacklozenge + E^p X_\diamond + \wp(E)$ . Any compatible choice of representatives only change the given base  $\mathbb{D}(W_\chi)$ .

### 3 First multivariable case

We place in the setting of [CKZ21], once again for  $E = \mathbb{F}_p((X))$ . This article fixes a finite set  $\Delta$  and construct a multivariable version of Fontaine's equivalence. All indexes  $\alpha$  on objects stand for a labelled copy of the corresponding object in the univariable case. The three authors construct rings

$$E_\Delta := \mathbb{F}_p[[X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta]][X_\Delta^{-1}]$$

where  $X_\Delta = \prod X_\alpha$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta} := (\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta]][X_\Delta^{-1}])^{\wedge p}$$

and a ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ur}}}}$ .

Each  $E_\alpha$  (resp. each  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_\alpha}$ , resp. each  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{ur}}}}$ ) embeds into  $E_\Delta$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ur}}}}$ ). The three rings are equipped with a linear action of the monoid  $\Phi_{\Delta, p} := \prod \varphi_{\alpha, p}^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying

$$\varphi_{\alpha, p}(X_\alpha) = (1 + X_\alpha)^p - 1 \text{ and } \forall \beta \neq \alpha, \quad \varphi_{\beta, p}(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha.$$

The ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}}$  is also equipped with an action of  $\mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta} := \prod \mathcal{G}_{E_{\alpha}}$ , acting via the quotient  $\mathcal{G}_{E_{\alpha}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha}^{\text{ur}}}$  as predicted. The two actions commutes and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}}^{\mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}}^{\Phi_{\Delta,p}} = \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Now, we consider the functor

$$\mathbb{D}_{\Delta} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Phi_{\Delta,p}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}), \quad V \mapsto \left( \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \right)^{\mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta}}$$

from finite type continuous  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representations of  $\mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -modules with a semilinear action of  $\Phi_{\Delta,p}$ . Our goal is to compute this functor in one case.

Take  $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Choose a pair of continuous characters

$$\underline{\chi} = (\chi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{G}_{E_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p, \chi_{\beta} : \mathcal{G}_{E_{\beta}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Call  $F_{\alpha}$  and  $F'_{\beta}$  their kernels, which are extensions of degree  $p$  of  $E_{\alpha}$  and  $E_{\beta}$ . Consider  $V_{\underline{\chi}}$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta}$  whose underlying  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module is

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) e_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}_p e_2$$

and whose action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha} \cdot e_1 &= (1 + p\chi_{\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha}))e_1 & \sigma_{\beta} \cdot e_1 &= e_1 + \chi_{\beta}(\sigma_{\beta})e_2 \\ (\sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\beta}) \cdot e_2 &= e_2 \end{aligned}$$

Like  $V_{\chi}[p]$ , the representation  $V_{\underline{\chi}}[p]$  isn't semi-simple and  $V_{\underline{\chi}}$  has no  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable submodule isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed,

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p, \quad \sigma_{\beta}(e_1 + \lambda e_2) = e_1 + (\lambda + \chi_{\beta}(\sigma_{\beta}))e_2.$$

We compute the  $\Phi_{\Delta,p}$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{\Delta}(V_{\underline{\chi}}) &:= \left( \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}} V_{\underline{\chi}} \right)^{\mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta}} \\ &\cong \left\{ (x, y) \in \left( \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}} / p^2 \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}^{\text{ur}}} \right) \times E_{\Delta}^{\text{sep}} \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{E,\Delta}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{aligned} (1 + p\chi_{\alpha}(\sigma_{\alpha}))\sigma_{\alpha}(x) &= x \\ \sigma_{\alpha}(y) &= y \\ \sigma_{\beta}(x) &= x \\ \sigma_{\beta}(y) + \chi_{\beta}(\sigma_{\beta})(\sigma_{\beta}(x) \bmod p) &= y \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Considering  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_{\alpha}}$  or  $\mathcal{G}_{F_{\alpha}}$  and  $\sigma_{\beta} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_{\beta}}$  or  $\mathcal{G}_{F'_{\beta}}$  and using [Záb18, Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3] we found that

$$x \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}) / p^2 \text{ and } y \in E_{\Delta} \otimes_{E_{\beta}} F'_{\beta}.$$

As in the first univariable case, we call  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  the generator of  $\text{Gal}(F_{\alpha}|E_{\alpha})$  pinned down by  $\chi_{\alpha}$ . Take  $Y_{\diamond,\alpha} \in F_{\alpha}$  such that  $\Sigma_{\alpha}(Y_{\diamond,\alpha}) + 1 = Y_{\diamond,\alpha}$  and  $X_{\diamond,\alpha} := Y_{\diamond,\alpha}^p - Y_{\diamond,\alpha} \in E_{\alpha}$ . Likewise, define  $\Sigma_{\beta}, Y'_{\diamond,\beta} \in F'_{\beta}$  and  $X_{\diamond,\beta} \in E_{\beta}$ . We obtain

$$\mathbb{D}_{\Delta}(V_{\underline{\chi}}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}} / p^2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}) d_1 \oplus E_{\Delta} d_2$$

where  $d_1 = (1 + pY_{\diamond,\alpha})e_1 + Y'_{\diamond,\beta}e_2$  and  $d_2 = e_2$ . Remark that  $pe_1$  belongs to this module and is equal to  $pd_1$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\alpha,p}(d_1) &= (1 + pX_{\diamond,\alpha})d_1 \\
\varphi_{\beta,p}(d_1) &= d_1 + X_{\diamond,\beta}d_2 \\
\varphi_{\alpha,p}(d_2) &= \varphi_{\beta,p}(d_2) = d_2
\end{aligned}$$

## A One useful proposition

We prove the proposition about cyclic  $p$ -prime extension of characteristic  $p$  fields.

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and Let  $E$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  and  $F|E$  be a cyclic Galois extension of order  $p^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\tau$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(F|E)$ . If  $k \leq p^n$  and  $x_1 \in E$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, \dots, x_k) \in F^k$  such that*

$$\forall 1 \leq i < k, \tau(x_{i+1}) - x_{i+1} = x_i.$$

*Proof.* Considerer the map

$$T : F \rightarrow F, x \mapsto \tau(x) - x.$$

It is an  $E$ -linear endomorphism of a  $p^n$ -dimensional  $E$ -vector space. Because the group  $\text{Gal}(F|E)$  is cyclic generated by  $\tau$ , the kernel of  $T$  equals  $E$  (hence one dimensional). Moreover,

$$T^{\circ p^n} = (X - 1)^{p^n}(\tau) = \tau^{\circ p^n} - \text{Id}_F = 0.$$

The endomorphism  $T$  is nilpotent of order precisely  $p^n$  thanks to the decreasing of the dimensional gaps in the iterated kernel sequence. Jordan decomposition concludes.  $\square$

## References

- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Vol. 322. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Link, 1999.
- [Fon07] Jean-Marc Fontaine. “Représentations  $p$ -adiques des corps locaux, 1<sup>ère</sup> partie”. In: *The Grothendieck Festschrift*. Vol. II. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2007, pp. 249–309.
- [Záb18] Gergely Zábrádi. “Multivariable  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules and products of Galois groups”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 25(2) (2018), pp. 687–721.
- [CKZ21] Annie Carter, Kiran Kedlaya, and Gergely Zábrádi. “Drinfeld’s lemma for perfectoid spaces and overconvergence of multivariate  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules”. In: *Documenta Math.* (2021), pp. 1329–1393.