

SEMINAR ON PRISMS Δ

Overview: The goals can be split into parts.

In part I: The discussions roughly follow the self-contained paper, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology*, [10], main accompanying reference is [4].

In part II: we discuss some applications (depending on interest)

- Crystalline Galois representations. [9]
- algebraic K -theory and the motivic filtration, [7].
- Prismaticizations, [13], [3]. A very nice accompanying reference is [5].

The seminar program here serves as a brief guide.

PART I:

0.1. **Motivations.** Survey of motivations. [10, 1], see also [5, 1].

- (1) Question: How could one understand integral/ \mathbb{F}_p coefficient cohomology? ¹ The main comparison theorems, [10, Thm. 1.8]. One implication: [6, 1.1, (ii)], [4, 1], for $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^{\mathrm{sm}, \mathrm{proj}}$, " \mathbb{F}_p cohomology of X_C as an obstruction to integration of forms on X_k ."

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^i(X_C, \mathbb{F}_p) \leq \dim_k H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X_k)$$

This inequality can be strict.

- (2) Motivic filtrations, and their applications to K -theory. Let k be any field, , for $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{sm}, \mathrm{proj}}$, there is the *motivic filtration*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{\geq *} K(X) \text{ where } \mathrm{gr}^i K(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(i)^{\mathrm{mot}}(X)[2i] \quad i \geq 0$$

The analogous statement for singular affine schemes is open. [7] proves a statement for its close cousin ² TC. Remark on syntomic cohomology.

- (3) Absolute prismatic cohomology, [3].

Date: January 25th.

¹Over \mathbb{C} one uses Hodge theory.

²Thanks to advances in trace methods, see [12]

0.2. **δ -rings.** Define δ -rings, [10, 2]. State universal property and the adjunction

$$\delta\mathrm{CAlg} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{W} \end{array} \mathrm{CAlg}$$

The right adjoint is Witt vectors functor. Describe the δ structure on $\mathbb{Z}\{S\}$ denote the free δ ring with generating set S . Sketch equivalence³

$$\delta\text{-}\mathrm{CAlg}^{p\text{-tf}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{(A, \phi) : A \in \mathrm{CAlg}, \phi \text{ is lift of Frob}\}$$

$$(A, \delta) \mapsto (A, \phi_\delta), \phi_\delta : x \mapsto x^p + p\delta(x)$$

Remark why these are called derivations, [11]. Give examples, [10, 2.6, 2.11]. A non-example, see [10, 2.35].

State the equivalence [10, 2.31].

$$\delta\text{-}\mathrm{CAlg}^{p\text{-cpl, perf}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{A \mapsto A/p} \\ \xleftarrow{W(R) \mapsto R} \end{array} \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\heartsuit, \text{perf}}$$

The proof boils down to [10, 2.28], but give alternative proof as [10, 2.30].

State equivalence [4, 2.2], [10, 3.10]

$$(\star) \quad \mathrm{Prisms}^{\text{perf}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Pftd}$$

This will be discussed in 0.3. Omit sections, [10, 2.5, 2.6], this will be discussed in 0.4.

0.3. **Prisms.** We have established a char. 0 theory of perfect char. p rings. This can already be done with Witt vectors - but we extend this to perfectoid rings. Introduce prisms, [10, 3], recall distinguished elements, [10, 2.19]. Discuss its geometric interpretation.

Discuss how [10, 2.20] forms prisms. Focus on crystalline and A_{inf} case. Sketch proof of (\star) .

In [4, IV], Bhatt takes a definition of a ring to be perfectoid if it is of the form A/I for a perfect prism (A, I) . Briefly comment on its relation to the intrinsic versions, [6, 3].

³In this setting, δ -rings are also referred as *derivations*.

0.4. Prismatic site. Define the absolute and relative prismatic site for a general ring R , yielding R_Δ and $(R/A)_\Delta$, where (A, I) is a fixed base prism, see also [3, 4]. Define $\Delta_{R/A}, \bar{\Delta}_{R/A}$. Prismatic site as slice topos, [10, 4.3]. Discuss lemma [10, 2.18].

To gain intuition, we will do some computations and compare the construction in [10, 4] with crystalline cohomology, which plays the same game (with Zariski topology). In particular, state [4, VI, 3.2]

$$(\text{Crys}) \quad (\phi_A^* \Delta_{R/A})^\wedge \simeq \Gamma_{\text{Crys}}(R/A)$$

which is in turn used to prove the Hodge-Tate comparison.

Crystalline cohomology. A summary can be found in [4, VI, 1], [14]. DP⁴-envelope, [8, 3]. Give examples: [16, Ex. 2.2, 2.3], [4, VI, 1.3]. Give DP envelope of $\mathbb{Z}_p\{x\}$.

Crystalline site, [16, 3]. State Crystalline-de Rham comparison, [1]: For $P \twoheadrightarrow R$ surjection with kernel J ,

$$(\text{cdR}) \quad R\Gamma_{\text{Crys}}(R/A) \simeq \Omega_{P/A}^\bullet \hat{\otimes}_P D_J(P)$$

State the characteristic 0 version: Grothendieck's infinitesimal cohomology. Mention how one obtains F -crystal.

0.5. Hodge-Tate comparison, I. State the Hodge Tate comparison, [5, V, 3.8]: If $(A, (d))$ is a bounded prism, $R \in \text{CAlg}_{A/(d)}^{\text{fm, sm}}$

$$(\text{HT}) \quad \eta_R^* : (\Omega_{R/(A/d)}^\bullet, d_{\text{dR}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(\bar{\Delta}_{R/A}, \beta_d)$$

link back to 0.1. Our first goal is to construct this map.

The universal property of de Rham complex. See also [2, 3]. State the universal property for the completed de Rham complex, [5, 3.4]. This is the version we use.

Introduce Brueil-Kisin twist [10, 3.7] and define Bockstein homomorphism as those induced from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_\Delta/I^{n+2} \rightarrow I^n\mathcal{O}_\Delta/I^{n+2} \rightarrow I^n\mathcal{O}_\Delta/I^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathcal{O}_Δ -modules in $(R/A)_\Delta$.

⁴Also referred as PD.

If time permits: Discuss how one can compute crystalline cohomology groups using Čech-Alexander complex and the indiscrete topology, see [4, V], [4, VI, 1.4], [15, 07JK]. That is

$$\Gamma(X_{\text{indis}}, \mathcal{F}|_{\text{indis}}) \simeq \Gamma((X/S)_{\text{Cris}}, \mathcal{F})$$

Set the stage for discussion for the Crystalline case, $(A, (d)) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, (p))$.

0.6. Hodge-Tate comparison, II. Our goal is to discuss the proof of (HT) for crystalline prism, $(\mathbb{Z}_p, (p))$, and R is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . This case is sufficient, to generalize, one uses Quillen's formalism of non-abelian derived functors, [4, VII].

Split the argument into two parts. Describe how one deduces from characteristic p case :

- Cartier isomorphism, (Cart)
- the Crystalline comparison theorem, (Crys).

Recall the Cartier isomorphism [2, 3.34] and its variation [4, 1.9]

(Cart)

$$\text{Cart} : (\Omega_{R^{(1)}/(A/p)}^\bullet, d_{\text{dR}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\Omega_{R/(A/p)}^\bullet, \beta_p) \simeq H^*(R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(R/A) \otimes_A R/p)$$

where the last equivalence is crystalline de Rham comparison, (cdR). Prove the crystalline comparison. [4, 3.2].

REFERENCES

- [1] B Bhatt and A J. De Jong, *Crystalline cohomology and de Rham cohomology*.
- [2] Bhargav and Lurie Bhatt Jacob and Mathew, *Revisiting the de Rham-Witt complex* (2018).
- [3] Bhargav Bhatt and J Lurie, *absolute prismatic cohomology* (2022).
- [4] B Bhatt, *Eilenberg Lectures at Columbia University, Prismatic Cohomology* (2018).
- [5] Bhargav Bhatt, *Prismatic F -gauges, updating* (2022), available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~bhatt/teaching/mat549f22/lectures.pdf>.
- [6] B Bhatt, M Morrow, and P Scholze, *Integral p -adic Hodge theory* (2019).
- [7] ———, *Topological Hochschild homology Integral p -adic Hodge theory* (2019).
- [8] Berthlot and Ogus, *Notes on Crystalline Cohomology* (1978).
- [9] B Bhatt and P Scholze, *Prismatic F -crystals and crystalline Galois representations* (2021).
- [10] ———, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology* (2022).
- [11] Alexandru Buium, *Arithmetic Analogues of Derivations* (1997).
- [12] Dustin Clausen and Akhil Mathew, *Hyperdescent and étale K -theory*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **225** (2021), no. 3, 981–1076.
- [13] Drinfeld, *Prismatization*.
- [14] Antoine Chambert-Loir, *Cohomologie, cristallinen: un survol*.
- [15] SP, *Stacks Project*.
- [16] Guo Haoyang, *A mini-course on crystalline cohomology* (2018).