

6/24

Corollary 4: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be selfadjoint (or even normal if $F = \mathbb{C}$). Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the distinct e-values of T . Then $E_{\lambda_i} \perp E_{\lambda_j} \quad \forall i \neq j$ and $V = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n E_{\lambda_k}$.

proof:

That $E_{\lambda_i} \perp E_{\lambda_j}$ for $i \neq j$ is immediate from Cor. 3. By the spectral theorem, \exists basis (u_1, \dots, u_n) of e-vectors of T . Since it is a basis, any $v \in V$ may be written $v = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k u_k$, $c_k \in F$. Since each u_k is in some E_{λ_j} so is $c_k u_k$ and so $v \in E_{\lambda_1} + E_{\lambda_2} + \dots + E_{\lambda_n}$. Suppose we can write in two ways $v = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k = \sum_{k=1}^n y_k$ where $x_k, y_k \in E_{\lambda_k} \quad \forall k=1, \dots, n$. Let $w \in E_{\lambda_j}$ then $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, w \rangle = \langle x_j, w \rangle$ and similarly $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle y_j, w \rangle$. So $\langle x_j, w \rangle = \langle y_j, w \rangle \quad \forall w \in E_{\lambda_j}$, so $x_j = y_j$ as desired. \square

HW Ch. 6 (4), 6, 7, 9, (10), (14), 15, 16, (27), 28, 31, 32

Ch. 7 1, 2, (4), 6, (8), (9), 10, 11, 13

Ch. 8 (1)(2) (14)(16) 21, 22, 23, 26, 27

() will be graded

CHAPTER 8: Operators on a vector space over \mathbb{C}

Leave i.p.s., normal, self adjoint operators. Back to setting: V is f.d., nonzero, v.s. over F in chapter below. Usually $F = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and λ is an e-value of T , then we say v is a generalized e-vector (gevector, for short), if $(T - \lambda I)^j(v) = 0$ for some $j = 1, 2, \dots$ corresponding to λ .

Let $G_\lambda = \{ \text{gevectors corresponding to } \lambda \}$ this is the generalized eigenspace.

Remark: $E_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda$ that is every e-vector is a gevector (since for evector, $(T - \lambda I)^1(v) = 0$).

Example: $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be $T(x, y, z) = (y, 0, z)$

Find the generalized e-vectors.

soln:

there exists a shortcut, learn later.

E-values: $T(x, y, z) = (y, 0, z) = \lambda(x, y, z)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} y = \lambda x \\ 0 = \lambda y \\ z = \lambda z \end{cases}$$

If $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow y = z = 0 \rightarrow E_0 = \mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

If $\lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow y = 0, x = 0$, and $\lambda = 1$ or $z \neq 0$
so $E_1 = \mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Let's look for gevectors corresponding to $\lambda = 0$: solve $(T - 0I)^j(v) = 0 \quad j = 2, 3, \dots$

When $j = 2 \Rightarrow T^2(x, y, z) = T(y, 0, z) = (0, 0, z) = (0, 0, 0) \Rightarrow z = 0$. So any $(x, y, 0)$ is a gevector corresponding to $\lambda = 0$.

(Note $j = 3, 4, \dots$ doesn't happen, $T^2 = T^3 = \dots$ in this example)

Let's look for gevectors corresponding to $\lambda = 1$:

Solve $(T - I)^j(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$

$j = 2 \Rightarrow (T - I)(x, y, z) = (y - x, -y, 0)$ So $(T - I)^2(x, y, z) = (T - I)(y - x, -y, 0) = (-y - (y - x), y, 0) = (0, 0, 0) \Rightarrow$

$y = 0 = x$ so the gevector is $(0, 0, z) \in E_1$

$j = 3 \Rightarrow (T - I)^3(x, y, z) = (\quad) \rightarrow$ nothing new

and similarly for $j=4,5,\dots$

Summarizing: $G_0 = \{ (x,y,0) : x,y \in \mathbb{F} \} = \text{Span}(\vec{i}, \vec{j})$.

$G_1 = \{ (0,0,z) : z \in \mathbb{F} \} = \text{Span}(\vec{k}) = E_1$

Note: $G_0 \oplus G_1 = \mathbb{F}^3$, \exists "enough" (a basis of) generalized e-vectors.

Fact: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ then $\ker(T^k) \subseteq \ker(T^{k+1})$ so
 $\{0\} = \ker(T^0) \subseteq \ker(T^1) \subseteq \ker(T^2) \subseteq \dots$

proof:

$$v \in \ker(T^k) \implies T^k v = 0 \implies T^{k+1} v = T(T^k v) = T(0) = 0. \quad \square$$

Similarly, $\text{Ran}(T^k) \supseteq \text{Ran}(T^{k+1})$ since $T^{k+1}(v) = T^k(Tv)$

Proposition 1: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\ker(T^m) = \ker(T^{m+1})$, then
 $\ker(T^m) = \ker(T^{m+k}) \quad \forall k=1,2,\dots$

proof:

If $k=1,2,\dots$ then if $v \in \ker T^{m+k+1}$ we have
 $0 = T^{m+k+1} v = T^{m+1}(T^k v) \implies T^k v \in \ker T^{m+1}$

So $T^k v \in \ker T^m \implies T^{m+k} v = T^m(T^k v) = 0 \implies$

$v \in \ker T^{m+k}$ So $\ker T^{m+k+1} = \ker T^{m+k} \quad \forall k=1,2,\dots$

Proposition 2: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $n = \dim V$, then $\ker(T^n) = \ker(T^{n+1}) = \dots$

proof:

Suppose $\ker(T^n) \neq \ker(T^{n+1})$. By Prop. 1, $\ker(T^k) \neq \ker(T^{k+1})$ for $k=1,\dots,n$. So $\{0\} = \ker(T^0) \subsetneq \ker(T^1) \subsetneq \ker(T^2) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \ker(T^n) \subsetneq \ker(T^{n+1})$

So $0 = \dim \ker(T^0) < \dim \ker(T^1) < \dim \ker(T^2) < \dots < \dim \ker(T^{n+1})$. So the last place has dimension $\geq n+1$, which is impossible inside an n -dimensional space. Contradiction. So $\ker(T^n) = \ker(T^{n+1})$ and this

equals later terms by Prop. 1. \square

Corollary 1: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and λ is an e-value of T , then $G_\lambda = \ker((T - \lambda I)^{\dim V})$

proof:

The generalized e-values are the vectors inside one of the following:

$$\ker(T - \lambda I) \subseteq \ker(T - \lambda I)^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \ker(T - \lambda I)^{\dim V} \xrightarrow{\text{Prop 2}} \ker((T - \lambda I)^{(\dim V)+1}) = \dots \quad \square$$

Proposition 3: $T \in \mathcal{L}(V) \Rightarrow \text{Ran}(T^{\dim V}) = \text{Ran}(T^{(\dim V)+1}) = \text{Ran}(T^{(\dim V)+2}) = \dots$

proof:

let $m = \dim V + 1, \dim V + 2, \dots$. By Ch. 3 Thm 1

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\text{Ran}(T^m)) &= \dim V - \dim(\ker(T^m)) \\ &= \dim V - \dim(\ker(T^{\dim V})) \text{ by Prop. 2.} \\ &= \dim(\text{Ran}(T^{\dim V})) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, and suppose we fix a basis for V for which $M(T)$ is upper triangular, then the number of times λ appears on the main diagonal of $M(T)$ equals $\dim(G_\lambda)$.

Definition: If λ is an e-value of T , we call the number in the theorem, the multiplicity of λ . ($= \dim G_\lambda$)

Example: Revisit previous example

$T(x, y, z) = (y, 0, z)$. Let $B = (\vec{k}, \vec{i}, \vec{j})$; notice

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{k}) &= \vec{i}, T(\vec{i}) = 0, T(\vec{j}) = \vec{j} \implies M(T, B, B) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 0\vec{k} + 1\vec{i} + 0\vec{j} \end{aligned}$$

By Thm. 1, Eigenvalue, $\lambda=1$, has multiplicity 1
 $= \dim(G_1)$ (see previous ex.)
 Eigenvalue 0 has multiplicity 2 $= \dim(G_2)$

proof of Thm. 1:

Observation 1: If the theorem is true when $\lambda=0$ then it's true for any λ . This is because, case $\lambda=0$ says: number of times 0 appears on main diagonal of $M(T) = \dim(\ker(T)^{\dim V})$.

Replace T by $T - \lambda I$: the number of times 0 appears on diagonal of $M(T - \lambda I) = \Theta(T - \lambda I) = \Theta(T) - \lambda \Theta(I) = M(T) - \lambda I_n$, equals $\dim(\ker(T - \lambda I)^{\dim V}) = \dim(G_\lambda)$. That is $\dim(G_\lambda)$ equals the number of times 0 appears on diagonal of

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & a_{22} & & \\ \vdots & & a_{33} & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

\uparrow
 $M(T)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11}-\lambda & & & & * \\ 0 & a_{22}-\lambda & & & \\ & & a_{33}-\lambda & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{nn}-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

But this equals the number of times λ appears on main diagonal of $M(T)$. This finishes proof of observation.

Henceforth we can assume $\lambda=0$.

We proved the theorem by induction on n , where $n = \dim V$. Check case $\dim V = 1$ yourself.

Assume the theorem is true for all spaces

of dimension k . Now let V be a space of $\dim k+1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$

upper triangular with respect to basis (v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}) . Let $U = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$, and let $R = T|_U$ (Note: $Tv_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$ by Ch. 5 Prop. 1 so $T(U) \subseteq U$) and $M(R) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} \quad (*)$

By the inductive hypothesis 0 appears on diagonal of $(*)$, $\dim(\ker(R^k))$ times. Now $\dim(\ker(R^k)) = \dim(\ker(R^{k+1}))$ by Prop. 2 so 0 appears on diagonal of $(*)$ $\dim(\ker(R^{k+1}))$ times. I will assume for simplicity that $\lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$ (case $\lambda_{k+1} = 0$ is slightly different, see Axler). Claim: $\ker T^{k+1} \subseteq U$. If this claim is true we are done because: then if $T^{k+1}x = 0 \Rightarrow x \in U \Rightarrow x \in \ker(R^{k+1})$, so $\ker(T^{k+1}) = \ker(R^{k+1})$ so by above, 0 appears on diagonal of $M(T)$ exactly $\dim(\ker(T)^{k+1})$ times.

Ending the proof of claim: $M(T^n) = M(T)^n$ (think in terms of θ) $= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^n & & & & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_n^n \end{bmatrix}, n = k+1$

This implies by looking at last column that $T^n v_n = \lambda_n^n v_n + u$, some $u \in U$. If $v \in \ker T^n$, $v = \hat{u} + c v_n$, $\hat{u} \in U$ so $0 = T^n v = T^n \hat{u} + c T^n v_n = T^n \hat{u} + c u + c \lambda_n^n v_n \Rightarrow c = 0 \Rightarrow v \in U$. \square

25 G_λ = generalized e-space corresp. to e-value $\lambda = \ker((T - \lambda I)^{\dim V})$

Thm. 1: $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $M(T)$ upper Δ with respect to some basis. Then $\dim(G_\lambda) = \#$ of times λ appears on main diagonal of $M(T)$

Prop. 4: V a complex v.s., $T \in \mathcal{L}(V) \implies \dim V = \text{sum of the multiplicities of the e-values of } T.$

proof:

By Ch. 5 Thm 3, \exists a basis with respect to which $M(T)$ is upper Δ . By Thm. 1¹, ^{or def. of multiplicity} the sum of the multiplicities of e-values equals the number of elements on the diagonal of $M(T) = \dim V$. \square

Definition: If V is a v.s. over \mathbb{C} , $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ whose distinct e-values are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$, we define the characteristic polynomial of T to be $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{d_1} (z - \lambda_2)^{d_2} \dots (z - \lambda_m)^{d_m}$ where $d_k = \text{multiplicity of } \lambda_k$

Remarks:

- 1) You may have seen different def. in 2331, later we'll prove they are the same.
- 2) The characteristic poly. has degree $\dim V$ by Prop. 4.
- 3) " " " " roots which are precisely the e-values of T .
- 4) If $M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & & * \\ & c_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & c_n \end{bmatrix}$ (upper Δ), then characteristic polynomial is $(z - c_1)(z - c_2) \dots (z - c_n)$.

Example: (from last class) $T(x, y, z) = (y, 0, z)$ we saw if $B = (\vec{k}, \vec{i}, \vec{j})$ then $M(T, B, B) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. So from 4)

characteristic poly. of T is $(z - 1)z^2 = z^3 - z^2$

Theorem 2: (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem) If T is a v.s. over \mathbb{C} , $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and p is the characteristic poly. of T then $p(T) = 0$.

proof:

Suppose $M(T)$ is upper Δ 'r with respect to basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) . Say $M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & & * \\ & c_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & c_n \end{bmatrix}$ If $p(T)v_k = 0$

$\forall k$ then for any $v \in V$, $v = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k v_k$. So $p(T)(v_k) =$

$\sum c_k p(T)v_k = \sum c_k 0 = 0$ and so $p(T) = 0$. We need to show $p(T)v_k = 0 \quad \forall k$; that is $(T - c_1 I)(T - c_2 I) \dots (T - c_n I)v_k = 0 \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, n$. We prove this by

induction on k . For $k=1$, we are asking if $(T - c_1 I)v_1 = Tv_1 - c_1 v_1 = 0 \iff Tv_1 = c_1 v_1$ which is just what first column of $M(T)$ says. Now suppose statement is true for $k \leq j-1$. For $k=j$, note $M(T - c_j I) = M(T) - c_j I = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 - c_j & & * \\ & c_2 - c_j & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & c_n - c_j \end{bmatrix}$ has 0 in

the $j-j$ entry, which by definition of (j th column of) $M(T - c_j I)$ says $(T - c_j I)(v_j) \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$ so $(T - c_j I)v_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} d_i v_i$ and hence $(T - c_1 I) \dots (T - c_{j-1} I)(T - c_j I)v_j =$

$(T - c_1 I) \dots (T - c_{j-1} I)(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} d_i v_i) = 0$ by case $k \leq j-1$. \square

Definition: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U is a subspace of V s.t. $T(U) = \{T(u) : u \in U\} \subseteq U$, then we say U is T -invariant, or say U is an invariant subspace for T .

Prop. 5 : If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, p a polynomial, then $\ker p(T)$ is T -invariant.

proof:

Let $v \in \ker p(T) \Rightarrow p(T)v = 0 \Rightarrow p(T)(Tv) = Tp(T)v = T0 = 0$. So $Tv \in \ker p(T)$. We've shown $T(\ker p(T)) \subseteq \ker p(T)$. \square

Theorem 3 : If V is a v.s. over \mathbb{C} , $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ whose distinct e-values are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$

(a) $V = G_{\lambda_1} \oplus G_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus G_{\lambda_m}$

(b) each G_{λ_j} is T -invariant

proof (b)

Let $p(z) = (z - \lambda_j)^{\dim V}$, then $p(T) = (T - \lambda_j I)^{\dim V}$. By Prop. 5 the $\ker p(T) = \ker (T - \lambda_j I)^{\dim V} = G_{\lambda_j}$ is T -invariant.

(a)

Prop. 4 says $\dim(V) = \sum_{k=1}^m \dim(G_{\lambda_k})$. Let $U = G_{\lambda_1} + G_{\lambda_2} + \dots + G_{\lambda_m}$. Then U is T -invariant by (b), (since if $u = \sum x_k$,

$x_k \in G_{\lambda_k} \Rightarrow T(u) = \sum_k T(x_k) \in U$ since $T(x_k) \in G_{\lambda_k}$ by (b))

Let $R \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ be defined by $R = T|_U$. It is easy to see R and T have same e-values, ge-vectors and gespaces. For example, if $v \in G_{\lambda_k} = \ker((T - \lambda_k I)^{\dim V})$ then $v \in U$, so $(R - \lambda_k I)^{\dim V}(v) = (T - \lambda_k I)^{\dim V}(v) = 0$, so $v \in \ker((R - \lambda_k I)^{\dim V}) = k^{\text{th}}$ gespace for R .

The other direction is easier, so R and T have same k^{th} gespace. By Prop. 4, apply to R , $\dim(U) = \sum_{k=1}^m \dim(G_{\lambda_k})$. So $\dim U = \dim V$ so $U = V$ by HW 2,

so $V = G_{\lambda_1} + \dots + G_{\lambda_m}$. By Ch. 2 Prop. 8 $V = G_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus G_{\lambda_m}$. \square

Corollary 2 : V is v.s. over \mathbb{C} $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ then V has a basis consisting of ge-vectors of T .

proof:

Let (u_1, \dots, u_{n_1}) be a basis for E_{λ_1} , $(u_{n_1+1}, \dots, u_{n_2})$ be a basis for E_{λ_2} , etc. Then when you put them together $(u_1, \dots, u_{n_1}, u_{n_1+1}, \dots, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_m})$ is a set of vectors. As in proof of Ch. 2 Prop. 8, it is also a basis of V . \square

Corollary 3: If V is a v.s. over \mathbb{C} and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, \exists a basis of V with respect to which $M(T) =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & & & \\ & & A_3 & & \\ & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & A_m \end{bmatrix}$$

*"block diagonal"

, where $A_j = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & & * \\ & \lambda_j & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_j \end{bmatrix}$

(upper Δ 'r with λ_j on each diagonal entry)

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are the distinct e-values of T .

proof:

Similar to proof of Cor. 2. Let $R_j = T|_{E_{\lambda_j}}$ (remember by Thm 3 (b), E_{λ_j} is T -invariant. So $R_j \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\lambda_j})$ so by Ch. 5 Thm. 3, \exists a basis B_j for E_{λ_j} s.t. $M(R_j, B_j, B_j)$ is upper Δ 'r $= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_j \end{bmatrix}$ Put these

CHECK \rightarrow

bases together as in proof of Cor. 2 and then it's easy to check by def. of $M(T)$ that $M(T)$ is of desired form. \square

So for example, if $v \in B_j$, note $T(v) \in E_{\lambda_j}$ by last theorem (b), so the column of $M(T)$ corresponding to v has 0's in all positions corresponding to E_{λ_i} for $i \neq j$ and in positions corresponding to E_{λ_j} we get exactly what we got in $M(R_j)$ above.

In fact, with a little more work (see Axler) you can improve Corollary 3 to get \exists a basis for which $M(T) =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & 0 \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & A_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{but } A_j = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda_j & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_j \end{bmatrix}$$

This is called the Jordan normal form

CHAPTER 10: Matrices

• Change of basis matrices

Definition: Let B, C be two basis for a v.s. V . The 'change of basis matrix' is $M(I_V, B, C)$

example 1:

$$V = \mathbb{R}^2, B = (\vec{i}, \vec{j}), C = (\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$$

$$M(I, C, B) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad M(I, B, C) = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

by Ch. 3 Prop. 5

example 2:

$V = \mathbb{F}^n$, $S = (\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n)$ = standard basis, C another basis.
Just as in example 1, we get $M(I, C, S)$ = matrix whose columns are the vectors in C .

Definition: Matrices $A, B \in M_n$ are called similar, if \exists invertible $Q \in M_n$ s.t. $A = Q^{-1} B Q$ (or equivalently, $B = Q A Q^{-1}$)

Theorem 1: Let B, C be two bases for V , $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then

$$M(T, B, B) = Q^{-1} M(T, C, C) Q \text{ where } Q = M(I, B, C)$$

proof:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{By Ch. 3 Prop. 5 + Cor. 7, } Q^{-1} M(T, C, C) Q = M(I, C, B) M(T, C, C) M(I, B, C) \\ &= M(I \circ I, B, B) = M(T, B, B) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Prop. 1: If B, C are o.n.b. of an i.p.s. V then
 $Q = \mathcal{M}(I, B, C)$ is unitary (i.e. $Q^{-1} = Q^*$, or what
 is the same, $QQ^* = Q^*Q = I_n$)

proof:

By Ch. 6 Prop. 5, $Q^*Q = \mathcal{M}(I, B, C)^* \mathcal{M}(I, B, C) =$
 $\mathcal{M}(I, C, B) \mathcal{M}(I, B, C) \stackrel{\text{Ch. 3 Prop 5}}{=} \mathcal{M}(I) = I_n$ Similarly
 $QQ^* = I_n. \quad \square$

6/26

- A, B in M_n are similar if $A = Q^{-1} B Q$ some invertible $Q \in M_n$
- U unitary if $U^* = U^{-1}$ ($U \in M_n$)

Definition: Say A and B are unitarily equivalent if \exists unitary $U \in M_n$ s.t. $A = U^* B U$ (or equivalently, $B = U A U^*$)

Rephrase some earlier results in terms of matrices:

Cor. 1: Every $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unitarily equivalent to an upper Δ 'r matrix.

proof:

Let $T\vec{x} = A\vec{x}$ for $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $M(T, S, S) = A$ (see Ch. 3), where S = standard basis. By Ch 6 Cor. 6, \exists an o.n.b. B with $M(T, B, B)$ with $M(T)$ being upper Δ 'r. By Prop. 1 $M(I, C, B)$ is unitary, call it U , by Thm. 1, $A = M(T, S, S) = U^{-1} M(T, B, B) U$. \square

Cor. 2: (Spectral Theorem for normal matrices) If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ then A is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix iff $A^* A = A A^*$.

proof:

(\Rightarrow) If $A = U^* D U$, D diagonal, U unitary, then $A^* A = (U^* D U)^* U^* D U = U^* D^* U U^* D U = U^* D D^* U = A A^*$ (only facts at end of Ch 6)

(\Leftarrow) follow prove of Cor. 1 above, $M(T, S, S) = A$, then $A^* A = A A^* \Rightarrow T^* T = T T^*$ by simpler version of the argument in \mathbb{C} -spectral theorem of Ch. 7 (12) \Rightarrow (11). By that result, \exists an o.n.b. B s.t. $M(T, B, B)$ is diagonal and

then $A = U^{-1}M(T, B, B)U$ as in proof of Cor. 1. \square

Cor. 3: If $A \in M_n(F)$ then A is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix with real numbers on diagonal iff $A = A^*$ (selfadjoint matrix).

proof: (\Leftarrow)

Similar to proof of Cor. 2, but use Thm. 2 Ch. 7 (spectral theorem for self-adjoint maps.)

(\Rightarrow) If $A = U^*DU$, U unitary, D diagonal then $A^* = (U^*DU)^* = U^*D^*U = U^*DU = A$. \square

Cor. 4: Every $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is similar to a matrix of form $\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix}$ with each $A_k = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_k & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}$

proof:

Similar to proof for Cor. 1 but use Ch. 8 Cor. 3 in place of Ch. 6 Cor. 6. \square

Examples: See 2433 (prereq)

- V is a f.d. v.s. over \mathbb{C} , $V \neq \{0\}$. Recall the characteristic polynomial of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, this is $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \dots (z - \lambda_n)$. Here V is n -dimensional, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the e-values, repeated according to multiplicity. Multiplying, $p(z) = z^n - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n)z^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$

The negative of the coefficient of z^{n-1} is called $\text{tr}(T)$ in this case $= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$.

The last (constant) term in $p(z)$, multiplied by $(-1)^n$ is called the $\det(T)$, in this case $\det(T) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$.

- Back to square matrices $A \in M_n$, define $\text{trace}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma 1: $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$ if $A, B \in M_n$.

proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}], \text{ then } AB &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} \right], \\ BA &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} a_{kj} \right]. \text{ So } \text{trace}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{ki} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ki} a_{ik} = \text{trace}(BA). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Prop. 2: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ then $\text{tr}(T) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{M}(T, B, B))$ for any basis B for V .

proof:

$$\text{By Ch. 5 Thm. 3, } \overset{+ \text{ Ch. 8}}{\exists} \text{ basis } C \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{M}(T, C, C) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice $\text{trace}(\mathcal{M}(T, C, C)) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \text{tr}(T)$. If B is any basis for T , then by Thm. 1, $\mathcal{M}(T, B, B) = A^{-1} \mathcal{M}(T, C, C) A$, some $A \in M_n$. So $\text{trace}(\mathcal{M}(T, B, B)) = \text{trace}(A^{-1} (\mathcal{M}(T, C, C)) A)$
 $\overset{\text{Lemma 1}}{=} \text{trace}(\mathcal{M}(T, C, C) A A^{-1}) = \text{trace}(\mathcal{M}(T, C, C)) = \text{tr}(T). \quad \square$

• Determinants

Prop. 3: $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is invertible iff $\det(T) \neq 0$.

proof: T is invertible iff 0 is not an e -value (ie. $\exists v$ s.t. $Tv \neq 0 \iff \ker(T) \neq \{0\}$). T is invertible

iff:

- product of e -values is not 0 .
- $\det(T) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 2: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ then the characteristic poly. of T is $\det(zI - T)$

proof:

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the e -values of T repeated according to multiplicity. Then the e -values of $zI - T$ are $z - \lambda_1, z - \lambda_2, \dots, z - \lambda_n$, repeated according to multiplicity. [one way to see this: $M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$

$$\text{so } M(zI - T) = zM(I) - M(T) = zI_n - M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} z - \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & z - \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and the numbers on the diagonal are the e -values repeated according to multiplicity] Hence by definition of $\det(zI - T) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \dots (z - \lambda_n) =$ characteristic poly. of T . \square

END FOR TEST #2 MATERIAL **

Determinants of matrices

A permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ is a list $\sigma = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ that contains each of $1, \dots, n$ exactly once. Eg. $\sigma = (3, 2, 4, 1)$ is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4)$

The set of all permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ is written $\text{perm } n$. Ex. $\text{perm } 3 = \{(1, 2, 3), (3, 2, 1), (2, 1, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2), (1, 3, 2)\}$

The sign of a permutation σ is defined to be $(-1)^{\text{number of pairs } (j, k) \text{ with } j < k \text{ s.t. } j \text{ appears after } k \text{ in } \sigma}$.

E.g. 1) $\text{sign}(2,1,3,4) = -1$
 2) $\text{sign}(2,3,4,5,6,1) = (-1)^5 = -1$

(1,2) - flipped
 (1,3)
 (1,4)
 (2,3)
 (2,4)
 (3,4)

Definition: If $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$, define determinant(A), or $|A|$, to be the number $\sum_{\sigma \in \text{perm } n} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1),1} a_{\sigma(2),2} \dots a_{\sigma(n),n}$

here $\sigma(k)$ is the k^{th} entry in the list σ .

Example: $\text{determinant} \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad n=2, \text{perm } 2 = \{(1,2), (2,1)\}$

$$= \text{sign}(1,2) a_{2,1} a_{2,2} + \text{sign}(2,1) a_{2,1} a_{1,2}$$

$$= (+1)ad + (-1)cb$$

$$= ad - bc$$

Example: $\begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ x & y & z \end{vmatrix} = \text{sign}(1,2,3) a_{1,1} a_{2,2} a_{3,3} + \text{sign}(1,3,2) a_{1,1} a_{3,2} a_{2,3} + \dots$

$$= 1 \cdot aez - 1(ayf) + \dots$$

pg. 230 in Axler

Prop. 4: $\text{determinant} \left(\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & \dots & * \\ 0 & \dots & c_n \end{bmatrix} \right) = c_1 c_2 \dots c_n, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{F}$

proof:

Divide perm n into 2 classes, $\{(1,2,\dots,n)\}$ and $\{\text{the rest}\}$
 The 1st class makes a contribution to the determinant of $\text{sign}(1,2,\dots,n) a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \dots a_{n,n} = 1 c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$. Let σ be a permutation in 2nd class.

Claim: $\sigma(k) > k$ for some $k=1,2,\dots,n$

Because if this was false $\sigma(k) \leq k \ \forall k$, so
 $\sigma(1)=1 \Rightarrow \sigma(2)=2 \Rightarrow$ etc, a contradiction. So claim
is true, so for such k , $a_{\sigma(k),k} = 0$, so the
contribution to determinant of this σ is 0. \square