

High-Dimensional Measures and Geometry

Lecture Notes from Jan 26, 2010

taken by Nick Maxwell

Denote by $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Grassmanian, which is the collection of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . Define a distance on $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the operator norm of the difference between corresponding orthogonal projections. That is, $P_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V_1$, $P_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V_2$, with V_1, V_2 k -dimensional, then $d(V_1, V_2) = \|P_1 - P_2\|$. This distance is invariant under the orthogonal group. So, $\|P_1 - P_2\| = \|OP_1O^* - OP_2O^*\| = \|O(P_1 - P_2)O^*\|$, $O \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, the set of unitary operators on \mathbb{R}^n .

Also, $\mathcal{O}(n)$ acts transitively on projections, for all rank- k P_1, P_2 , $\exists O \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ s.t. $P_2 = OP_1O^* \Rightarrow \exists!$ Borel probability measure on $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, invariant under $\mathcal{O}(n)$, we denote this measure by $\mu_{n,k}$.

This measure can be obtained from the left-invariant haar measure ν_n on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ by the map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow OP_{V_1}O^*$$

P_{V_1} an orthogonal projection onto some fixed k -dimensional subspacse.

In terms of subspaces, we have

$$\mu_{n,k}(V) = \nu_n(\{U \in \mathcal{O}(n) : U(V_1) \in V\}), V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Why is this identity true? This is because the image measure is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{O}(n)$, by the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(n) & \xrightarrow{O \mapsto O'O \quad [1]} & \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ G_k(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{V \mapsto O'V \quad [1]} & G_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{array} \quad (1)$$

[1] This is left multiplication by O' , some fixed $O' \in \mathcal{O}(n)$

The “effective map” between $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is because $O'OP_{V_1}O^*(O')^*$ and this projection has range $O'(O(V_1)) = (O'O)(V_1)$

0.0.1 Lemma. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0$, let $\mu_{n,k}$ be the $\mathcal{O}(n)$ -invariant measure on $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for each $V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let P_V denote orthogonal projection onto V . Then, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$\mu_{n,k}(\{V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_V(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \|x\|\}) \leq \exp(-\epsilon^2 k/4) + \exp(-\epsilon^2 n/4)$$

and

$$\mu_{n,k}(\{V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_V(x)\| \leq (1-\epsilon) \|x\|\}) \leq \exp(-\epsilon^2 k/4) + \exp(-\epsilon^2 n/4)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, choose $\|x\| = 1$, choose any k -dimensional subspace, V_1 , and if $U \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, let $V = U(V_1)$, P_V the orthogonal projection onto V_1 , and use the fact that the measure ν_n on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ induces the Grassmanian measure $\nu_{n,k}$.

This implies,

$$\mu_{n,k}(\{V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_V(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\}) = \nu_n(\{U \in \mathcal{O}(n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_{U(V_1)}(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\})$$

and

$$\mu_{n,k}(\{V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_V(x)\| \leq (1-\epsilon)\}) = \nu_n(\{U \in \mathcal{O}(n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_{U(V_1)}(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\})$$

The projected length of x is

$$\|P_{U(V_1)}(x)\| = \|U^* P_{U(V_1)} U U^* x\| = \|P_{V_1} U^* x\|$$

and the image measure induced by ν_n under $\Phi_x : \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow S^{n-1} U \mapsto U^* x$ is the surface measure on sphere, μ_n .

Thus,

$$\nu_n(\{U \in \mathcal{O}(n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_{U(V_1)}(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\}) = \mu_n(\{y \in S^{n-1}; \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_{V_1}(y)\| \geq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\})$$

and

$$\nu_n(\{U \in \mathcal{O}(n); \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_{U(V_1)}(x)\| \leq (1-\epsilon)\}) = \mu_n(\{y \in S^{n-1}; \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \|P_{V_1}(y)\| \leq (1-\epsilon)\})$$

now applying the corollary in section 2.3 (Gaussian v.s. surface measure), finishes the proof. \square

Summary: Now reduction for vectors in S_{n-1} under fixed program is “mostly” by factor $\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}(1 \pm \epsilon)$, same is true for fixed vector under projections onto “many subspaces”, in $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Question: what about more than one vector?

0.0.2 Theorem. (*Johnson-Lindenstrauss, Part II*)

Let a_1, \dots, a_N be points in \mathbb{R}^n , given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$N(N+1)(\exp(-k\epsilon^2/4) + \exp(-n\epsilon^2/4)) \leq \frac{1}{3}$$

and let $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of k -dimensional subspaces, then

$$\mu_{n,k}(\{V \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^n); (1-\epsilon)\|a_i - a_j\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\|P_V(a_i - a_j)\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\|a_i - a_j\| \forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N\}) \geq \frac{2}{3}$$

proof:

Let $c_{ij} = a_i - a_j, i > j$, we count $\binom{N}{2} = N(N-1)/2$ such differences, and $\|P_V c_{ij}\| = \|P_V a_i - P_V a_j\|$ the set of subspaces V for which $\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\|P_V c_{ij}\| \geq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\|c_{ij}\|$ or $\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\|P_V c_{ij}\| \leq (1-\epsilon)\|c_{ij}\|$ has by assumption and union bound over choices $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, i \neq j$ measure at most $\frac{1}{3}$.

Thus by taking the complement, gives the desired estimate of the measure.

Question:

What about infinitely many vector, i.e. $\text{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_T\}$, for some $T \in \mathbb{N}$? “restricted isometry property”

Need to choose set of points $Q \subset \{x \in \text{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_T\}; \|x\| = 1\}$ “sufficiently dense”, apply Johnson-Lindenstrauss to Q , combine this with triangle inequality to get estimate for all points.