

# High-Dimensional Measures and Geometry

## Lecture Notes from Feb 11, 2010

taken by Nick Maxwell

We will reexamine the application of the martingale technique, with  $\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ .

Let  $f_k$  depend only on the first  $k$  coordinates, so

$$f_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = \int f_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{n-k}) d\mu_{n-k}(x') =$$
$$\int f_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{n-k}) d\mu_{n-k}(x') = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + (n-k)p$$

Computing  $E_{n-k}[e^{\lambda g_k}]$ ,  $g_k = f_k - f_{k-1}$ , instead of estimating, gives, by

$$g_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_k - p.$$

that

$$e^{\lambda g_k} = \begin{cases} e^{\lambda(1-p)}, & x_k = 1 \\ e^{-\lambda p}, & x_k = 0 \end{cases}$$

which gives,

$$E_{k-1}[e^{\lambda g_k}] = pe^{\lambda(1-p)} + (1-p)e^{-\lambda p}.$$

Iterating as before,  $n$  times,

$$E[e^{\lambda(f-a)}] = (pe^{\lambda(1-p)} + (1-p)e^{-\lambda p})^n.$$

Now using the Laplace transform method,

$$\mu_n(\{x \in I_n; f(x) - np \geq t\}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} (pe^{\lambda(1-p)} + (1-p)e^{-\lambda p})^n.$$

Also, switching  $f \rightarrow -f$ ,  $np \rightarrow -np$  gives

$$\mu_n(\{x \in I_n; f(x) - np \leq t\}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} (pe^{-\lambda(1-p)} + (1-p)e^{\lambda p})^n.$$

Choosing least  $\lambda$  gives,

$$\frac{t}{np(1-p)} = 1 - e^{-\lambda} \Rightarrow \lambda = -\log\left(1 - \frac{t}{np(1-p)}\right).$$

If we now fix  $np = \beta^2$ ,  $p \rightarrow 0$ , and as  $t = \alpha\sqrt{np(1-p)} \rightarrow \alpha\beta$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow -\log(a - \frac{\alpha}{\beta})$ , inserting this RHS estimate gives that

$$\mu_n(\{x \in I_n; f(x) - np \geq t\}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} ((1-p)e^{\lambda p} + pe^{-\lambda(1-p)})^n.$$

Denote the RHS of this inequality as “ $e^{-\lambda t} *$ ”.

Consider  $*$  as  $p \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p = \beta^2/n$

$$(pe^{\lambda(1-p)} + (1-p)e^{-\lambda p})^n = (pe^{-\log(1-\alpha/\beta)} + 1 - p + \log(1 - \alpha/\beta)p + C_n p^2)^n,$$

where  $C_n$  stays constnt in  $n$ . So,

$$* \rightarrow e^{\beta^2}(e^{-\log(1-\alpha/\beta)} + \log(1 - \alpha/\beta))$$

now, for small  $\alpha_k$  and large  $n$ ,

$$* \approx e^{\beta^2(\log(1-\alpha/\beta))^2/2} \approx e^{\alpha^2/2}$$

together with  $e^{\alpha\beta \lim(1-\alpha/\beta)} \approx e^{-\alpha^2}$ . So, RHS is  $\approx e^{-\alpha^2/2}$ .

## 1 General result in product spaces

**1.0.1 Definition.** If  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then define its Legendre transform,  $g^*$ , by  $g^*(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{t\lambda - g(\lambda)\}$ . Note,  $(t\lambda - g(\lambda))$  is concave. If  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g$  is strictly convex, then this supremum is attained, and  $\lambda^*$  solves  $g'(\lambda^*) = t$  uniquely. So,  $\lambda t$  and  $g(\lambda)$  have same slope at  $\lambda^*$ .

ADD diagram.

Examples:  $g(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ ,  $g^*(t) = t^2/4$

We will apply the Legendre transform to the function  $L_f(\lambda) = \log \int_X e^{\lambda f} d\mu$ . And by Jensen's inequality, and by convexity of  $\exp$ ,  $\int_X e^{\lambda f} d\mu \geq \exp(\lambda \int_X f d\mu)$ . So,  $\log \int_X e^{\lambda f} \geq \log \exp \lambda \int f d\mu = \lambda E[f] \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is bounded below in the vicinity of  $\lambda = 0$ .

Also, assuming existence,

$$L'_f(\lambda) = \frac{E[fe^{\lambda f}]}{E(e^{\lambda f})}$$

and,

$$L''_f(\lambda) = \frac{E[f^2 e^{\lambda f}]E[e^{\lambda f}] - (E[fe^{\lambda f}])^2}{(E[e^{\lambda f}])^2},$$

using Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$(E[fe^{\lambda f}])^2 \leq E[f^2 e^{\lambda f}]E[e^{\lambda f}],$$

so  $L_f''(\lambda) \geq 0$ , meaning that  $L_f'$  is convex, so it is in the domain of the Legendre transformation.

**1.0.2 Theorem.** (Varadhan's Lemma) Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a probability space,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

- 1)  $E[f] = a_f$
- 2)  $L_f(\lambda) = \log E[e^{\lambda f}]$  is finite near  $\lambda = 0$
- 3)  $t > a_f$  and  $\mu(\{x; f(x) > t\}) > 0$

Let  $X_n = \prod_{k=1}^n X$ ,  $\mu_n = \mu \times \mu \times \dots \times \mu$ , and let  $h : X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(x) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_n)$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log (\mu(\{x \in X_n; h(x) > nt\})) = -L_f^*(t),$$

where  $L_f(\lambda) = \log \int_X e^{\lambda f} d\mu$ . Moreover, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mu(\{x \in X_n; h(x) > nt\}) \leq e^{-nL_f^*(t)}.$$

*Proof.* Only inequality part.

$$\mu_n \{x \in X_n; h(x) > nt\} \leq e^{-nt\lambda} E[e^{\lambda h}] = (e^{-t\lambda} E_{X_1}[e^{\lambda f}])^n = \left( e^{-t\lambda} e^{\log E[e^{\lambda f}]} \right)^n = e^{-n(t\lambda - L_f(\lambda))}$$

Optimizing this with respect to  $\lambda$  gives

$$\mu_n \{x \in X_n; h(x) > nt\} \leq e^{-nL_f^*(t)}$$

□