

Notes and exercises from “An Introduction to Statistical Learning” by James, Witten, Hastie & Tibshirani

Nicholas McGlincy

July 21st 2016

Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Statistical Learning	1
We estimate f for two ends:	2
How do we estimate f ?	2

Chapter 1 Introduction

The introduction outlines three basic tasks in statistical learning:

1. **Regression** - predicting *continuous numerical* values from other continuous values
2. **Classification** - predicting class membership; has a *categorical* or *qualitative* output.

In both these tasks, we are trying to predict an output.

3. **Clustering** - here we are not trying to predict an output variable, but instead are trying to understand how similar/different a set of observations are, usually based on a number of variables.

It is clear that I need to revise matrix multiplication, I didn't really understand the example on p. 12.

The book's website is here.

Chapter 2 Statistical Learning

Broadly, the goal of statistical learning is to estimate f in the equation:

$$Y = f(X) + \epsilon$$

Where:

Y is the *response/output/dependent variable*.

X is one or more *input/independent variables*, or *predictors* or *features*.

f is a function that relates X to Y , and

ϵ is a random error term, that is independent of X and has mean zero.

We estimate f for two ends:

1. To predict Y

Here we are not primarily concerned with the exact nature of the function, rather how accurately it predicts Y . Thus our estimate of Y comes from our estimate of f :

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{f}(X)$$

The accuracy of Y depends on two quantities:

I. The reducible error

\hat{f} will be a more-a-less imperfect estimate of f . This inaccuracy is termed the *reducible error* as it is reducible by determining a better \hat{f} . This reduction is the main point of statistical learning.

II. The irreducible error

Even if our estimate of f was perfect, it would still have error in it, as Y is also a function of ϵ , which by definition cannot be predicted from X - hence *irreducible error*. This error may come from unmeasured (or unmeasurable) variables that might be useful in predicting Y , but since we didn't measure them, they are not included in f . The irreducible error will always provide an upper bound on the accuracy of our prediction of Y .

2. To infer the relationship between Y and X_1, \dots, X_p

In order to do this we need to know the exact form of f . We can then aim to answer the following questions:

1. Which predictors are associated with the response? 2. What is the relationship between the response and each predictor? 3. Can the relationship between Y and each predictor be adequately summarised using a linear equation, or is the relationship more complicated?

Some examples will combine both prediction and inference.

How do we estimate f ?

We estimate f based on a subset of observations called the *training data*. We then use this function to predict the values of Y for new values of X .

There are two classes of methods for producing \hat{f} .

1. Parametric Methods

Parametric methods involve a two-step model-based approach. First, we make an assumption about the form of f ; for example, that it is linear:

$$f(X) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

Where p is the number of variables X . The second step uses the training data to *fit* or *train* the model. In this case, this means estimating the parameters $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ (the coefficients), such that:

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

The most common method for doing this is called (*ordinary*) *least squares*, but there are many others. Parametric approaches are termed this because they reduce the problem of estimating f to one of estimating a set of *parameters*.

2. Non-parametric Methods