

# Discussion

## Contents

Overall this study informs urban soil management by supporting the use of tillage to address compaction issues and improve infiltration, together with cover crops to also reduce weed pressure. Our hypothesis was partially supported, because overall tillage significantly deepened the depth to hardpan by  $\sim 0.5$  (Fig ??a), which was within the range of effect sizes measured among the various cover crop mixes within the no-till treatment (Fig ??b). Additionally, infiltration was significantly affected by tillage, with roto-till showing the fastest rates (Fig ??a), which agreed with our predictions. Furthermore, weed pressure was significantly affected by both cover crop mixes and tillage (Fig ??), although effects from cover crop mixes, especially the weed suppression mix, were more widespread among multiple measured variables (Fig ??b). Despite these significant effects on soils, infiltration, and weeds, yields did not respond to tillage treatments.

Short-term soil compaction issues are commonly alleviated by annual tilling (*Badalíková 2010; Salem et al. 2015*), and in addition to validating this practice, this study showed that cover cropping can also be used to manage compaction under no-till, although effects vary by mixture of taxa used. Under tillage, this study validates that tillage intensity corresponds negatively with compaction (measured as depth to hardpan), and additionally clarifies that tractor-till can alleviate compaction in slightly deeper soils below main root zones under  $\sim 20.6 \pm 4.6$  cm ( $8.1 \pm 1.8$  in), as well as that roto-till can be useful under perennial crops, although under annuals, no-till can be just as effective as roto-till, saving grower time, energy, and cost for areas with crops harvested before rooting surpasses  $\sim 10$  cm ( $4$  in) (*Krause and Black 1995*). However for urban technosol soils, tilling can beneficially remove large metal artifacts and legacy construction debris like rebar, wires, cables, bricks, cinder blocks, and pipes, all of which could limit root growth under strict no-till management. Tillage might also obscure cover crop effects on compaction, although cover crops may still provide other benefits, like soil macro-nutrients (*Chapagain, Lee, and Raizada 2020*). Under no-till, this study found that perennial crop mixes can have significant effects on compaction, but rather than deep roots loosening soils, in some cases depth to hardpan can instead become shallower. This may be due to dense root mats that can form under grasses (*Douglas, Koppi, and Moran 1992*) like sorghum-sudangrass, which could further fill already limited pore space in densely-structured clay soils, helping water to pool under the soil surface (*Hoogmoed and Bouma 1980*). Overall other studies have found similar results (*Ozpinar and Cay 2006*), suggesting short-term benefits of tillage to soil functions (yet long-term costs).

Water infiltration is a key function to improve urban soil functioning for agriculture by minimizing erosion and improving root available water, as well as mitigating storm-water runoff and potentially contaminated flooding (*Masoner et al. 2019*) that often occurs after short heavy rains, due to soil sealing by concrete near hillslopes (*Dreelin, Fowler, and Ronald Carroll 2006*). This study found that roto-till resulted in significantly faster infiltration compared to no-till, unlike tractor-till, suggesting that roto-till management can generally be effective for improving infiltration and drainage. This result could be explained by medium intensity roto-till increasing soil macro-porosity, which compared to soil micro-pores bind water less tightly allowing to flow faster (*Gerke 2006*). In contrast, the tractor diffused tillage energy across deeper soil volume, lowering the density of any added soil macro-pores and thereby making it easier for soil particles to settle back together, whereas no-till may have needed more time to improve macro-porosity via organic matter effects on soil structure (*King 2020*). It is also possible that this result could be explained by compost incorporation, where tractor-till similarly incorporated compost more diffusely throughout the soil profile, diluting any compost benefits to infiltration. Against a one inch rain event, this study supported the use of roto-till, but not no-till or tractor-till, which showed rates of only  $\sim 6.2 \pm 0$  mL per sec ( $0.1 \pm 0$  gal per min), which would likely result in runoff pooling in roads and soil erosion. Regarding cover crops, this study

suggests that perennials may not have notable significant effects on infiltration rates, despite detectable effects on compaction. Based on these findings, roto-till together with compost may be an effective strategy to improve urban soil water infiltration in the short-term, even if no-till may appear to have more evidence as a longer-term strategy (Cusser *et al.* 2020).

Weed suppression is important for reducing competition with crops as well as asthma and respiratory health risks from pollen (Katz and Carey 2014), and can also be achieved by tilling (Barberi and Lo Cascio 2001; Cordeau, Baudron, and Adeux 2020), but this study additionally suggests that cover crops may be more likely to be effective. Tractor-till, while able to combat relatively deep soil compaction, resulted in the highest weed density of the two most common weeds, velvet leaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*) and pigweed (*Palmer amaranth*), whose root density may have also slowed soil water infiltration rates. This may have been due to fast-growing weed life histories taking advantage of looser soil, such as to re-sprout clonally, and/or looser soil facilitating the establishment of weed seed banks (Hesse, Rees, and Müller-Schärer 2007). However more notably, the targeted weed suppression mix of sorghum-sudangrass, buckwheat, and cowpea significantly reduced both weed density and richness by about half compared to the other cover crop mixes. This result agrees with other studies pairing buckwheat and sorghum-sudangrass (Smith, Marín-Spiotta, and Balser 2015), and may have occurred due to competitive exclusion by sorghum-sudangrass and/or buckwheat via allelopathic chemical root defenses (Weston, Harmon, and Mueller 1989) or competition for light (Liu *et al.* 2009), better phosphorus mining and use by buckwheat (Zhu *et al.* 2002), facilitation or amplification of these previous effects by cowpea’s added nitrogen supply (Sanginga, Lyasse, and Singh 2000; Martins *et al.* 2003), and/or existing adaptations to poor dry soils (Barberi *et al.* 2018) allowing high biomass accumulation. Given both effectiveness and relative ease of re-seeding and winter-kill, this weed suppression mix can be used to frame crop beds, keeping out encroaching weeds, or to reduce weed pressure in an area that might be planted in the fall or following season.

Despite overall significant effects by tillage on compaction, infiltration, and weeds, tillage did not significantly affect radish yield. As is, this study does not rule out more complex relationships between soil compaction, infiltration, and crop yield, as suggested by emerging ideas (Ryan, Ludwig, and Mcalpine 2007; Vandermeer and Perfecto 2017). However, with further replication, it is possible that no-till would show slightly higher yields, validating some similar studies (Nunes *et al.* 2018). Overall yields can respond more to longer-term reservoirs of water and nutrients like mulched compost compared to shorter-term, transient influxes brought by infiltration processes (A. J. Schlegel and Havlin 1995; Alan J. Schlegel *et al.* 2015). As a result, it is possible that similar alternative soil management practices like no-till combined with compost and mulching application may lead to better yields, although the translation of no-till benefits to soil structure (Du *et al.* 2015; Sheehy *et al.* 2015) on yield are not guaranteed (Martínez *et al.* 2016) nor do they appear to be widespread (Pittelkow *et al.* 2015; VandenBygaart 2016). Additionally, other studies suggest that forage radish can be an effective cover crop in reducing compaction and building soil structure, with minimal or no mechanical tillage (Chen and Weil 2010; Lawley, Weil, and Teasdale 2011). However ultimately this study suggests the need for future studies of processes tying yield to land management strategies particularly in similar urban clay soils with legacy compaction and pH concerns under smallholder management and possibly including occasional tillage (Ekboir 2001; Blanco-Canqui and Wortmann 2020).

Taken together, this study presents data that, in addition to validating previous studies supporting general tillage for short-term soil fertility, also supports the partial use of medium-intensity roto-till and cover crop mixtures (Chapagain, Lee, and Raizada 2020) specifically for weed suppression. This study serves as a model demonstration of both widely accessible and effective strategies for growing on re-purposed urban soils after urban land-use turnover. We advocate for the maximal use of cover crop mixes for various target functions, with medium-intensity tillage to jump-start urban cultivation.

Badalíková, Barbora. 2010. “Influence of Soil Tillage on Soil Compaction.” In *Soil Engineering*, edited by Athanasios P. Dedousis and Thomas Bartzanas, 20:19–30. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03681-1\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03681-1_2).

Barberi, P, and B Lo Cascio. 2001. “Long-Term Tillage and Crop Rotation Effects on Weed Seedbank Size and Composition.” *Weed Research* 41 (4): 325–40. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3180.2001.00241.x>.

Bàrberi, P, G Bocci, S Carlesi, L Armengot, J M Blanco-Moreno, and F X Sans. 2018. “Linking Species Traits to Agroecosystem Services: A Functional Analysis of Weed Communities.” Edited by Jonathan Storkey. *Weed Research* 58 (2): 76–88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12283>.

- Blanco-Canqui, Humberto, and Charles S. Wortmann. 2020. "Does Occasional Tillage Undo the Ecosystem Services Gained with No-till? A Review." *Soil and Tillage Research* 198 (April): 104534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104534>.
- Chapagain, Tejendra, Elizabeth A. Lee, and Manish N. Raizada. 2020. "The Potential of Multi-Species Mixtures to Diversify Cover Crop Benefits." *Sustainability* 12 (5): 2058. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12052058>.
- Chen, Guihua, and Ray R. Weil. 2010. "Penetration of Cover Crop Roots Through Compacted Soils." *Plant and Soil* 331 (1-2): 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0223-7>.
- Cordeau, Stéphane, Auxence Baudron, and Guillaume Adeux. 2020. "Is Tillage a Suitable Option for Weed Management in Conservation Agriculture?" *Agronomy* 10 (11): 1746. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10111746>.
- Cusser, Sarah, Christie Bahlai, Scott M. Swinton, G. Philip Robertson, and Nick M. Haddad. 2020. "Long-Term Research Avoids Spurious and Misleading Trends in Sustainability Attributes of No-till." *Global Change Biology* 26 (6): 3715–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15080>.
- Douglas, J. T., A. J. Koppi, and C. J. Moran. 1992. "Alteration of the Structural Attributes of a Compact Clay Loam Soil by Growth of a Perennial Grass Crop." *Plant and Soil* 139 (2): 195–202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009310>.
- Dreelin, Erin A., Laurie Fowler, and C. Ronald Carroll. 2006. "A Test of Porous Pavement Effectiveness on Clay Soils During Natural Storm Events." *Water Research* 40 (4): 799–805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.12.002>.
- Du, Zhangliu, Tusheng Ren, Chunsheng Hu, and Qingzhong Zhang. 2015. "Transition from Intensive Tillage to No-till Enhances Carbon Sequestration in Microaggregates of Surface Soil in the North China Plain." *Soil and Tillage Research* 146 (March): 26–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2014.08.012>.
- Ekboir, Javier. 2001. "Developing No-Till Packages for Small-Scale Farmers."
- Gerke, Horst H. 2006. "Preferential Flow Descriptions for Structured Soils." *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 169 (3): 382–400. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200521955>.
- Hesse, Elze, Mark Rees, and Heinz Müller-Schärer. 2007. "Seed Bank Persistence of Clonal Weeds in Contrasting Habitats: Implications for Control." *Plant Ecology* 190 (2): 233–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-006-9203-7>.
- Hoogmoed, W. B., and J. Bouma. 1980. "A Simulation Model for Predicting Infiltration into Cracked Clay Soil." *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 44 (3): 458–61. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400030003x>.
- Katz, Daniel S. W., and Tiffany S. Carey. 2014. "Heterogeneity in Ragweed Pollen Exposure Is Determined by Plant Composition at Small Spatial Scales." *Science of the Total Environment* 485–486 (1): 435–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.099>.
- King, Alison E. 2020. "Soil Organic Matter as Catalyst of Crop Resource Capture." *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 8: 8.
- Krause, Mark A., and J. Roy Black. 1995. "Optimal Adoption Strategies for No-till Technology in Michigan." *Review of Agricultural Economics* 17 (3): 299. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1349575>.
- Lawley, Yvonne E., Ray R. Weil, and John R. Teasdale. 2011. "Forage Radish Cover Crop Suppresses Winter Annual Weeds in Fall and Before Corn Planting." *Agronomy Journal* 103 (1): 137–44. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2010.0187>.
- Liu, J G, K J Mahoney, P H Sikkema, and C J Swanton. 2009. "The Importance of Light Quality in Crop-Weed Competition: Light Quality and Crop Competition." *Weed Research* 49 (2): 217–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2008.00687.x>.
- Martínez, Ingrid, Andreas Chervet, Peter Weisskopf, Wolfgang G. Sturny, Ararso Etana, Matthias Stettler, Johannes Forkman, and Thomas Keller. 2016. "Two Decades of No-till in the Oberacker Long-Term Field Experiment: Part I. Crop Yield, Soil Organic Carbon and Nutrient Distribution in the Soil Profile." *Soil and Tillage Research* 163 (November): 141–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.05.021>.
- Martins, L. M. V., G. R. Xavier, F. W. Rangel, J. R. A. Ribeiro, M. C. P. Neves, L. B. Morgado, and N. G. Rumjanek. 2003. "Contribution of Biological Nitrogen Fixation to Cowpea: A Strategy for Improving Grain Yield in the Semi-Arid Region of Brazil." *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 38 (6): 333–39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-003-0668-4>.
- Masoner, Jason R., Dana W. Kolpin, Isabelle M. Cozzarelli, Larry B. Barber, David S. Burden, William T. Foreman, Kenneth J. Forshay, et al. 2019. "Urban Stormwater: An Overlooked Pathway of Extensive

- Mixed Contaminants to Surface and Groundwaters in the United States.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 53 (17): 10070–81. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02867>.
- Nunes, Márcio Renato, Harold Mathijs van Es, Robert Schindelbeck, Aaron James Ristow, and Matthew Ryan. 2018. “No-till and Cropping System Diversification Improve Soil Health and Crop Yield.” *Geoderma* 328 (October): 30–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.04.031>.
- Ozpinar, Sakine, and Anıl Cay. 2006. “Effect of Different Tillage Systems on the Quality and Crop Productivity of a Clay-loam Soil in Semi-Arid North-Western Turkey.” *Soil and Tillage Research* 88 (1-2): 95–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2005.04.009>.
- Pittelkow, Cameron M., Bruce A. Linquist, Mark E. Lundy, Xinqiang Liang, Kees Jan van Groenigen, Juhwan Lee, Natasja van Gestel, Johan Six, Rodney T. Venterea, and Chris van Kessel. 2015. “When Does No-till Yield More? A Global Meta-Analysis.” *Field Crops Research* 183 (November): 156–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.07.020>.
- Ryan, Justin G., John A. Ludwig, and Clive A. Mcalpine. 2007. “Complex Adaptive Landscapes (CAL): A Conceptual Framework of Multi-Functional, Non-Linear Ecohydrological Feedback Systems.” *Ecological Complexity* 4 (3): 113–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2007.03.004>.
- Salem, Haytham M., Constantino Valero, Miguel Ángel Muñoz, María Gil Rodríguez, and Luis L. Silva. 2015. “Short-Term Effects of Four Tillage Practices on Soil Physical Properties, Soil Water Potential, and Maize Yield.” *Geoderma* 237–238 (January): 60–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.08.014>.
- Sanginga, N, O Lyasse, and B B Singh. 2000. “Phosphorus Use Efficiency and Nitrogen Balance of Cowpea Breeding Lines in a Low P Soil of the Derived Savanna Zone in West Africa.” *Plant and Soil*, 10.
- Schlegel, A. J., and J. L. Havlin. 1995. “Corn Response to Long-Term Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilization.” *Journal of Production Agriculture* 8 (2): 181–85. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jpa1995.0181>.
- Schlegel, Alan J., Yared Assefa, H. Dewayne Bond, Scott M. Wetter, and Loyd R. Stone. 2015. “Soil Physicochemical Properties After 10 Years of Animal Waste Application.” *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 79 (3): 711–19. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2014.11.0461>.
- Sheehy, Jatta, Kristiina Regina, Laura Alakukku, and Johan Six. 2015. “Impact of No-till and Reduced Tillage on Aggregation and Aggregate-Associated Carbon in Northern European Agroecosystems.” *Soil and Tillage Research* 150 (July): 107–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.01.015>.
- Smith, A. Peyton, Erika Marín-Spiotta, and Teri Balser. 2015. “Successional and Seasonal Variations in Soil and Litter Microbial Community Structure and Function During Tropical Postagricultural Forest Regeneration: A Multiyear Study.” *Global Change Biology* 21 (9): 3532–47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12947>.
- VandenBygaart, A. J. 2016. “The Myth That No-till Can Mitigate Global Climate Change.” *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 216 (January): 98–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.09.013>.
- Vandermeer, John, and Ivette Perfecto. 2017. “Ecological Complexity and Agroecosystems : Seven Themes from Theory.” *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems* 41 (7): 697–722. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2017.1322166>.
- Weston, Leslie A., Roselee Harmon, and Sara Mueller. 1989. “Allelopathic Potential of Sorghum-Sudangrass Hybrid (Sudex).” *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 15 (6): 1855–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01012272>.
- Zhu, Y. G., Y. Q. He, S. E. Smith, and F. A. Smith. 2002. “Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum Esculentum* Moench) Has High Capacity to Take up Phosphorus (P) from a Calcium (Ca)-Bound Source.” *Plant and Soil* 239 (1): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014958029905>.