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# 1. Interior Columbia Snake River Chinook

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 1.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 1.1: Interior Columbia Snake River Chinook. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 1.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 1.2: Interior Columbia Snake River Chinook. Log spawner count trends. |

## 1.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 1.3.1 Chinook

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 2,558 | 0.449 |
| 2001 | 9,545 | 0.541 |
| 2002 | 9,347 | 0.226 |
| 2003 | 11,724 | 0.278 |
| 2004 | 15,609 | 0.390 |
| 2005 | 9,924 | 0.462 |
| 2006 | 6,485 | 0.600 |
| 2007 | 8,354 | 0.337 |
| 2008 | 11,925 | 0.251 |
| 2009 | 20,685 | 0.207 |
| 2010 | 39,764 | 0.185 |
| 2011 | 23,580 | 0.342 |
| 2012 | 30,363 | 0.373 |
| 2013 | 51,239 | 0.399 |
| 2014 | 52,989 | 0.248 |
| 2015 | 52,285 | 0.295 |
| 2016 | 31,993 | 0.270 |
| 2017 | 21,248 | 0.289 |
| 2018 | 11,705 | 0.420 |
| 2019 | 12,790 | 0.390 |
| \*\* data file: ICSRFchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 2. Upper Columbia Chinook

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 2.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 2.1: Upper Columbia Chinook. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 2.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 2.2: Upper Columbia Chinook. Log spawner count trends. |

## 2.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 2.3.1 Wenatchee R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1,250 | 0.39 |
| 2001 | 3,930 | 0.25 |
| 2002 | 1,595 | 0.45 |
| 2003 | 978 | 0.39 |
| 2004 | 1,605 | 0.63 |
| 2005 | 1,473 | 0.21 |
| 2006 | 939 | 0.33 |
| 2007 | 2,005 | 0.19 |
| 2008 | 2,139 | 0.17 |
| 2009 | 2,195 | 0.27 |
| 2010 | 1,759 | 0.31 |
| 2011 | 2,876 | 0.40 |
| 2012 | 2,511 | 0.37 |
| 2013 | 2,033 | 0.34 |
| 2014 | 2,219 | 0.57 |
| 2015 | 1,605 | 0.41 |
| 2016 | 985 | 0.64 |
| 2017 | 799 | 0.43 |
| 2018 | 1,127 | 0.24 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 2.3.2 Entiat R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 197 | 0.37 |
| 2001 | 323 | 0.70 |
| 2002 | 230 | 0.66 |
| 2003 | 262 | 0.76 |
| 2004 | 449 | 0.32 |
| 2005 | 263 | 0.49 |
| 2006 | 188 | 0.42 |
| 2007 | 468 | 0.44 |
| 2008 | 194 | 0.49 |
| 2009 | 368 | 0.49 |
| 2010 | 445 | 0.75 |
| 2011 | 508 | 0.75 |
| 2012 | 385 | 0.66 |
| 2013 | 192 | 0.79 |
| 2014 | 245 | 0.92 |
| 2015 | 509 | 0.82 |
| 2016 | 353 | 0.84 |
| 2017 | 101 | 0.62 |
| 2018 | 92 | 0.50 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 2.3.3 Methow R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 979 | 0.12 |
| 2001 | 10,971 | 0.17 |
| 2002 | 2,636 | 0.13 |
| 2003 | 1,138 | 0.05 |
| 2004 | 1,497 | 0.33 |
| 2005 | 1,376 | 0.38 |
| 2006 | 1,748 | 0.19 |
| 2007 | 1,079 | 0.25 |
| 2008 | 1,002 | 0.30 |
| 2009 | 2,641 | 0.21 |
| 2010 | 2,364 | 0.25 |
| 2011 | 2,935 | 0.33 |
| 2012 | 1,280 | 0.20 |
| 2013 | 1,089 | 0.22 |
| 2014 | 2,063 | 0.25 |
| 2015 | 1,353 | 0.29 |
| 2016 | 697 | 0.46 |
| 2017 | 464 | 0.38 |
| 2018 | 500 | 0.53 |
| 2019 | 570 | 0.20 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 3. Lower Columbia Chinook

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 3.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 3.1: Lower Columbia Chinook. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 3.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 3.2: Lower Columbia Chinook. Log spawner count trends. |

## 3.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 3.3.1 Grays & Chinook R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 89 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 241 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 78 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 373 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 726 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 122 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 383 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 96 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 95 | 0.347 |
| 2009 | 555 | 0.378 |
| 2010 | 170 | 0.486 |
| 2011 | 416 | 0.149 |
| 2012 | 160 | 0.219 |
| 2013 | 1,644 | 0.055 |
| 2014 | 969 | 0.191 |
| 2015 | 762 | 0.289 |
| 2016 | 356 | 0.226 |
| 2017 | 565 | 0.523 |
| 2018 | 734 | 0.702 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.2 Youngs Bay

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2012 | 6,686 | 0.025 |
| 2013 | 8,485 | 0.048 |
| 2014 | 2,345 | 0.051 |
| 2015 | 2,026 | 0.189 |
| 2016 | 768 | 0.243 |
| 2017 | 1,927 | 0.097 |
| 2018 | 2,383 | 0.014 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.3 Big Ck.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2012 | 1,096 | 0.050 |
| 2013 | 946 | 0.000 |
| 2014 | 2,583 | 0.016 |
| 2015 | 2,586 | 0.000 |
| 2016 | 582 | 0.077 |
| 2017 | 1,279 | 0.000 |
| 2018 | 12,301 | 0.009 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.4 Elochoman R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 146 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 2,806 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 7,893 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 7,348 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 6,880 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 2,699 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 324 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 168 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 1,320 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 1,467 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 1,260 | 0.108 |
| 2011 | 1,083 | 0.058 |
| 2012 | 206 | 0.301 |
| 2013 | 448 | 0.178 |
| 2014 | 680 | 0.220 |
| 2015 | 989 | 0.237 |
| 2016 | 368 | 0.249 |
| 2017 | 114 | 0.677 |
| 2018 | 77 | 0.643 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.5 Clatskanie R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2002 | 389 | 0.10 |
| 2003 | 475 | 0.10 |
| 2004 | 110 | 0.10 |
| 2005 | 101 | 0.10 |
| 2006 | 42 | 0.10 |
| 2007 | 94 | 0.10 |
| 2008 | 94 | 0.10 |
| 2009 | 167 | 0.56 |
| 2010 | 103 | 0.12 |
| 2011 | 152 | 0.08 |
| 2012 | 80 | 0.10 |
| 2013 | 39 | 0.08 |
| 2014 | 76 | 0.09 |
| 2015 | 76 | 0.09 |
| 2016 | 76 | 0.06 |
| 2017 | 0 | -99.00 |
| 2018 | 76 | 0.01 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.6 Mill/Abernathy/Germany Ck.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 370 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 3,860 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 3,299 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 3,792 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 4,611 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 2,066 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 622 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 335 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 780 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 604 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 2,410 | 0.065 |
| 2011 | 1,192 | 0.079 |
| 2012 | 147 | 0.143 |
| 2013 | 657 | 0.194 |
| 2014 | 554 | 0.062 |
| 2015 | 989 | 0.081 |
| 2016 | 397 | 0.219 |
| 2017 | 95 | 0.174 |
| 2018 | 14 | 0.394 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.7 Low. Cowlitz R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 2,363 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 4,652 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 13,514 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 10,048 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 4,466 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 2,870 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 2,944 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 1,847 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 1,828 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 2,602 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 3,734 | 0.683 |
| 2011 | 3,685 | 0.745 |
| 2012 | 2,725 | 0.570 |
| 2013 | 4,320 | 0.805 |
| 2014 | 4,347 | 0.672 |
| 2015 | 5,981 | 0.700 |
| 2016 | 3,885 | 0.741 |
| 2017 | 3,630 | 0.806 |
| 2018 | 3,553 | 0.845 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.8 Coweeman R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 290 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 802 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 877 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 1,106 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 1,503 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 853 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 566 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 251 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 424 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 783 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 584 | 0.707 |
| 2011 | 707 | 0.881 |
| 2012 | 526 | 0.882 |
| 2013 | 2,322 | 0.675 |
| 2014 | 830 | 0.957 |
| 2015 | 1,391 | 0.977 |
| 2016 | 439 | 0.936 |
| 2017 | 841 | 0.857 |
| 2018 | 244 | 0.884 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.9 Toutle R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 879 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 4,971 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 7,896 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 13,943 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 4,711 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 3,303 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 5,752 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 1,149 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 1,725 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 539 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 1,917 | 0.119 |
| 2011 | 1,498 | 0.132 |
| 2012 | 907 | 0.259 |
| 2013 | 1,754 | 0.521 |
| 2014 | 783 | 0.514 |
| 2015 | 598 | 0.632 |
| 2016 | 803 | 0.461 |
| 2017 | 594 | 0.529 |
| 2018 | 244 | 0.571 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.10 Up. Cowlitz R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 3,646 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 6,113 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 4,165 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 2,145 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 2,901 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 1,782 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 1,325 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 1,845 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 7,491 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 9,808 | 0.215 |
| 2011 | 12,914 | 0.330 |
| 2012 | 5,564 | 0.350 |
| 2013 | 6,488 | 0.505 |
| 2014 | 6,231 | 0.363 |
| 2015 | 5,647 | 0.598 |
| 2016 | 3,959 | 0.774 |
| 2017 | 1,520 | 0.983 |
| 2018 | 674 | 0.923 |
| 2014 | 2,915 | 0.078 |
| 2015 | 14,981 | 0.012 |
| 2016 | 11,946 | 0.017 |
| 2017 | 6,260 | 0.024 |
| 2018 | 779 | 0.198 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.11 Kalama R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1,420 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 3,613 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 18,809 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 24,710 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 6,612 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 9,168 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 10,386 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 3,296 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 3,734 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 7,546 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 5,315 | 0.112 |
| 2011 | 7,591 | 0.056 |
| 2012 | 7,477 | 0.039 |
| 2013 | 8,487 | 0.096 |
| 2014 | 9,451 | 0.081 |
| 2015 | 6,423 | 0.451 |
| 2016 | 4,226 | 0.602 |
| 2017 | 3,041 | 0.570 |
| 2018 | 2,548 | 0.647 |
| 2000 | 33 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 555 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 886 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 756 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 352 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 380 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 292 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 3,469 | 0.011 |
| 2008 | 352 | 0.168 |
| 2009 | 80 | 1.000 |
| 2010 | 46 | 1.000 |
| 2011 | 172 | 1.000 |
| 2012 | 81 | 1.000 |
| 2013 | 107 | 1.000 |
| 2014 | 55 | 1.000 |
| 2015 | 31 | 1.000 |
| 2016 | 28 | 1.000 |
| 2017 | 60 | 1.000 |
| 2018 | 57 | 1.000 |
| 2019 | 52 | 1.000 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.12 Lewis R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1,689 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 4,132 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 5,224 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 6,518 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 2,171 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 2,536 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 1,332 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 1,012 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 1,256 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 2,437 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 2,490 | 0.640 |
| 2011 | 2,364 | 0.707 |
| 2012 | 1,950 | 0.677 |
| 2015 | 7,653 | 0.453 |
| 2016 | 4,854 | 0.456 |
| 2017 | 3,781 | 0.527 |
| 2018 | 2,243 | 0.633 |
| 2019 | 2,020 | 0.735 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.13 Sandy R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2009 | 3,128 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 1,713 | -99.000 |
| 2011 | 1,635 | -99.000 |
| 2012 | 570 | -99.000 |
| 2013 | 2,489 | -99.000 |
| 2014 | 565 | -99.000 |
| 2015 | 2,006 | -99.000 |
| 2016 | 1,281 | -99.000 |
| 2017 | 1,403 | -99.000 |
| 2018 | 4,347 | -99.000 |
| 2019 | 2,449 | -99.000 |
| 2000 | 363 | 0.237 |
| 2001 | 3,510 | 0.237 |
| 2002 | 5,463 | 0.237 |
| 2003 | 3,993 | 0.237 |
| 2004 | 2,524 | 0.237 |
| 2005 | 3,998 | 0.237 |
| 2006 | 5,359 | 0.237 |
| 2013 | 2,413 | 0.907 |
| 2014 | 1,658 | 0.871 |
| 2015 | 3,023 | 0.885 |
| 2016 | 3,615 | 0.952 |
| 2017 | 5,706 | 0.915 |
| 2018 | 2,900 | 0.913 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.14 Clackamas R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2012 | 321 | 0.187 |
| 2013 | 422 | 0.924 |
| 2014 | 183 | 0.694 |
| 2015 | 308 | 0.623 |
| 2016 | 910 | 0.781 |
| 2017 | 90 | 0.378 |
| 2018 | 709 | 0.949 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.15 Washougal R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 2,078 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 3,836 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 5,725 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 3,440 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 10,404 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 2,671 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 2,600 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 1,528 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 2,491 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 2,741 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 5,530 | 0.107 |
| 2011 | 3,224 | 0.146 |
| 2012 | 965 | 0.262 |
| 2013 | 3,612 | 0.331 |
| 2014 | 1,529 | 0.653 |
| 2015 | 2,925 | 0.456 |
| 2016 | 2,198 | 0.400 |
| 2017 | 1,112 | 0.592 |
| 2018 | 1,019 | 0.886 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.16 Lewis R. Bright

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 8,741 | -99 |
| 2001 | 11,274 | -99 |
| 2002 | 13,293 | -99 |
| 2003 | 12,912 | -99 |
| 2004 | 12,928 | -99 |
| 2005 | 9,775 | -99 |
| 2006 | 5,066 | -99 |
| 2007 | 3,708 | -99 |
| 2008 | 5,485 | -99 |
| 2009 | 6,283 | -99 |
| 2010 | 9,294 | 1 |
| 2011 | 8,205 | 1 |
| 2012 | 8,143 | 1 |
| 2013 | 17,022 | 1 |
| 2014 | 20,489 | 1 |
| 2015 | 18,635 | 1 |
| 2016 | 9,311 | 1 |
| 2017 | 7,149 | 1 |
| 2018 | 4,671 | 1 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.17 NF Lewis R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 473 | -99 |
| 2001 | 678 | -99 |
| 2002 | 493 | -99 |
| 2003 | 679 | -99 |
| 2004 | 494 | -99 |
| 2005 | 116 | -99 |
| 2006 | 847 | -99 |
| 2007 | 264 | -99 |
| 2008 | 25 | -99 |
| 2009 | 58 | -99 |
| 2010 | 157 | -99 |
| 2011 | 90 | -99 |
| 2012 | 190 | -99 |
| 2013 | 60 | -99 |
| 2014 | 403 | -99 |
| 2015 | 147 | -99 |
| 2016 | 49 | -99 |
| 2017 | 68 | -99 |
| 2018 | 326 | -99 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.18 Low. Gorge Tribs.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 681 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 653 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 1,796 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 1,503 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 1,724 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 1,346 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 3,282 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 552 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 497 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 609 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 670 | 0.954 |
| 2011 | 1,246 | 0.948 |
| 2012 | 671 | 0.941 |
| 2013 | 1,554 | 0.782 |
| 2014 | 1,451 | 0.820 |
| 2015 | 1,569 | 0.921 |
| 2016 | 8,514 | 0.968 |
| 2017 | 2,268 | 0.971 |
| 2018 | 16,221 | 0.988 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.19 Up. Gorge Tribs.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 105 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 868 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 621 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 3,670 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 3,099 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 656 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 466 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 701 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 651 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 661 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 565 | 0.781 |
| 2011 | 3,084 | 0.369 |
| 2012 | 1,090 | 0.309 |
| 2013 | 2,239 | 0.272 |
| 2014 | 2,191 | 0.246 |
| 2015 | 3,826 | 0.320 |
| 2016 | 1,231 | 0.442 |
| 2017 | 697 | 0.826 |
| 2018 | 303 | 0.716 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 3.3.20 Big White Salmon R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 147 | -99.000 |
| 2001 | 1,668 | -99.000 |
| 2002 | 1,787 | -99.000 |
| 2003 | 11,480 | -99.000 |
| 2004 | 8,691 | -99.000 |
| 2005 | 1,448 | -99.000 |
| 2006 | 755 | -99.000 |
| 2007 | 898 | -99.000 |
| 2008 | 770 | -99.000 |
| 2009 | 964 | -99.000 |
| 2010 | 1,887 | 0.725 |
| 2011 | 723 | 0.885 |
| 2012 | 593 | 0.936 |
| 2013 | 984 | 0.658 |
| 2014 | 1,034 | 0.775 |
| 2015 | 773 | 0.485 |
| 2016 | 565 | 0.680 |
| 2017 | 747 | 0.538 |
| 2018 | 194 | 0.568 |
| 2013 | 88 | 0.170 |
| 2014 | 217 | 0.097 |
| 2015 | 94 | 0.160 |
| 2016 | 54 | 0.111 |
| 2017 | 15 | 0.333 |
| 2018 | 82 | 0.110 |
| \*\* data file: LCchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 4. Upper Willamette Chinook

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 4.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 4.1: Upper Willamette Chinook. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 4.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 4.2: Upper Willamette Chinook. Log spawner count trends. |

## 4.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 4.3.1 Clackamas R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 2,596 | 0.326 |
| 2001 | 4,474 | 0.326 |
| 2002 | 2,783 | 0.693 |
| 2003 | 4,313 | 0.784 |
| 2004 | 6,636 | 0.783 |
| 2005 | 3,695 | 0.621 |
| 2006 | 1,345 | 0.761 |
| 2007 | 2,122 | 0.712 |
| 2008 | 2,272 | 0.918 |
| 2009 | 1,049 | 0.922 |
| 2010 | 1,719 | 0.933 |
| 2011 | 2,263 | 0.899 |
| 2012 | 2,346 | 0.945 |
| 2013 | 2,869 | 0.955 |
| 2014 | 1,261 | 0.976 |
| 2015 | 3,161 | 0.962 |
| 2016 | 4,462 | 0.974 |
| 2017 | 4,591 | 0.985 |
| 2018 | 2,965 | 0.966 |
| \*\* data file: UWchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 4.3.2 Willamette Falls ESC

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 39,073 | -99.00 |
| 2001 | 53,973 | -99.00 |
| 2002 | 83,136 | -99.00 |
| 2003 | 87,749 | -99.00 |
| 2004 | 96,776 | -99.00 |
| 2005 | 36,633 | -99.00 |
| 2006 | 37,041 | -99.00 |
| 2007 | 23,134 | -99.00 |
| 2008 | 14,672 | -99.00 |
| 2009 | 28,529 | -99.00 |
| 2010 | 67,051 | -99.00 |
| 2011 | 45,147 | -99.00 |
| 2012 | 37,213 | -99.00 |
| 2013 | 29,561 | -99.00 |
| 2014 | 31,669 | -99.00 |
| 2015 | 53,088 | 0.18 |
| 2016 | 32,478 | 0.22 |
| 2017 | 36,628 | 0.17 |
| 2018 | 26,542 | 0.20 |
| 2019 | 20,617 | 0.34 |
| \*\* data file: UWchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 4.3.3 N Santiam R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2007 | 1,399 | 0.221 |
| 2009 | 809 | 0.443 |
| 2010 | 1,656 | 0.176 |
| 2011 | 1,521 | 0.363 |
| 2012 | 1,470 | 0.242 |
| 2013 | 1,578 | 0.223 |
| 2014 | 1,707 | 0.303 |
| 2015 | 1,338 | 0.271 |
| 2016 | 2,228 | 0.187 |
| 2017 | 1,125 | 0.375 |
| 2018 | 1,226 | 0.202 |
| \*\* data file: UWchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 4.3.4 S Santiam R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2007 | 1,735 | 0.141 |
| 2008 | 855 | 0.378 |
| 2009 | 1,418 | 0.644 |
| 2010 | 2,378 | 0.158 |
| 2011 | 1,943 | 0.389 |
| 2012 | 1,662 | 0.327 |
| 2013 | 1,155 | 0.546 |
| 2014 | 1,533 | 0.579 |
| 2015 | 1,544 | 0.407 |
| 2016 | 3,443 | 0.145 |
| 2017 | 2,458 | 0.104 |
| 2018 | 908 | 0.178 |
| \*\* data file: UWchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 4.3.5 McKenzie R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2005 | 2,967 | 0.720 |
| 2006 | 2,894 | 0.708 |
| 2007 | 3,585 | 0.715 |
| 2008 | 2,432 | 0.571 |
| 2009 | 2,540 | 0.470 |
| 2010 | 3,266 | 0.387 |
| 2011 | 3,871 | 0.649 |
| 2012 | 2,885 | 0.613 |
| 2013 | 1,786 | 0.673 |
| 2014 | 2,415 | 0.434 |
| 2015 | 2,973 | 0.562 |
| 2016 | 3,539 | 0.482 |
| 2017 | 2,377 | 0.630 |
| 2018 | 2,889 | 0.622 |
| \*\* data file: UWchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 4.3.6 MF Willamette R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2012 | 2,472 | 0.068 |
| 2013 | 1,058 | 0.051 |
| 2014 | 675 | 0.126 |
| 2015 | 1,160 | 0.120 |
| 2018 | 143 | 0.021 |
| \*\* data file: UWchinook.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 5. Interior Columbia Snake River Chinook steelhead

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 5.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 5.1: Interior Columbia Snake River Chinook steelhead. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 5.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 5.2: Interior Columbia Snake River Chinook steelhead. Log spawner count trends. |

## 5.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 5.3.1 Asotin Ck.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 431 | 0.89 |
| 2001 | 844 | 0.90 |
| 2002 | 740 | 0.90 |
| 2003 | 766 | 0.90 |
| 2004 | 707 | 0.90 |
| 2005 | 779 | 0.94 |
| 2006 | 696 | 0.92 |
| 2007 | 371 | 0.83 |
| 2008 | 328 | 0.94 |
| 2009 | 375 | 0.97 |
| 2010 | 1,418 | 1.00 |
| 2011 | 1,132 | 1.00 |
| 2012 | 930 | 0.98 |
| 2013 | 540 | 1.00 |
| 2014 | 542 | 0.98 |
| 2015 | 841 | 1.00 |
| 2016 | 583 | 1.00 |
| 2017 | 316 | 0.99 |
| 2018 | 169 | 0.99 |
| \*\* data file: ICSRsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 5.3.2 Joseph Ck.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 2,020 | 1.00 |
| 2001 | 2,596 | 1.00 |
| 2002 | 4,752 | 1.00 |
| 2003 | 2,381 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | 1,756 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | 1,832 | 1.00 |
| 2006 | 1,428 | 1.00 |
| 2007 | 1,212 | 1.00 |
| 2008 | 2,322 | 1.00 |
| 2009 | 3,598 | 1.00 |
| 2010 | 1,831 | 1.00 |
| 2011 | 5,810 | 0.97 |
| 2012 | 1,357 | 0.96 |
| 2013 | 2,197 | 0.98 |
| 2014 | 2,720 | 0.97 |
| 2015 | 2,969 | 0.98 |
| 2016 | 1,663 | 0.96 |
| 2017 | 1,610 | 0.97 |
| \*\* data file: ICSRsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 5.3.3 Grande Ronde R. Up. Mainstem

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1,084 | 0.76 |
| 2001 | 1,898 | 0.89 |
| 2002 | 1,581 | 0.92 |
| 2003 | 1,581 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | 678 | 0.99 |
| 2005 | 1,310 | 0.99 |
| 2006 | 949 | 0.99 |
| 2007 | 806 | 1.00 |
| 2008 | 2,096 | 1.00 |
| 2009 | 3,148 | 1.00 |
| 2010 | 2,876 | 0.95 |
| 2011 | 3,275 | 1.00 |
| 2012 | 3,260 | 1.00 |
| 2013 | 1,553 | 0.99 |
| 2014 | 2,512 | 1.00 |
| 2015 | 4,939 | 0.99 |
| 2016 | 2,572 | 0.99 |
| 2017 | 1,733 | 0.98 |
| 2018 | 341 | 1.00 |
| \*\* data file: ICSRsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 6. Upper Columbia steelhead

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 6.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 6.1: Upper Columbia steelhead. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 6.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 6.2: Upper Columbia steelhead. Log spawner count trends. |

## 6.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 6.3.1 Wenatchee R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1,049 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | 1,656 | 0.43 |
| 2002 | 5,051 | 0.39 |
| 2003 | 2,598 | 0.33 |
| 2004 | 2,940 | 0.22 |
| 2005 | 3,608 | 0.23 |
| 2006 | 2,214 | 0.41 |
| 2007 | 869 | 0.45 |
| 2008 | 1,835 | 0.39 |
| 2009 | 1,733 | 0.41 |
| 2010 | 6,236 | 0.36 |
| 2011 | 3,048 | 0.72 |
| 2012 | 2,514 | 0.57 |
| 2013 | 1,981 | 0.47 |
| 2014 | 1,735 | 0.66 |
| 2015 | 1,738 | 0.54 |
| 2016 | 1,676 | 0.49 |
| 2017 | 752 | 0.49 |
| 2018 | 677 | 0.49 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 6.3.2 Entiat R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 211 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | 380 | 0.26 |
| 2002 | 794 | 0.34 |
| 2003 | 456 | 0.26 |
| 2004 | 988 | 0.10 |
| 2005 | 892 | 0.13 |
| 2006 | 461 | 0.28 |
| 2007 | 266 | 0.22 |
| 2008 | 278 | 0.44 |
| 2009 | 693 | 0.15 |
| 2010 | 1,033 | 0.29 |
| 2011 | 892 | 0.33 |
| 2012 | 747 | 0.25 |
| 2013 | 519 | 0.25 |
| 2014 | 433 | 0.37 |
| 2015 | 595 | 0.39 |
| 2016 | 401 | 0.31 |
| 2017 | 161 | 0.31 |
| 2018 | 160 | 0.31 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 6.3.3 Methow R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 1,860 | 0.13 |
| 2001 | 3,374 | 0.10 |
| 2002 | 9,884 | 0.06 |
| 2003 | 4,561 | 0.11 |
| 2004 | 4,928 | 0.13 |
| 2005 | 4,304 | 0.12 |
| 2006 | 3,119 | 0.14 |
| 2007 | 3,375 | 0.11 |
| 2008 | 3,306 | 0.22 |
| 2009 | 4,031 | 0.16 |
| 2010 | 7,781 | 0.14 |
| 2011 | 3,103 | 0.32 |
| 2012 | 4,204 | 0.18 |
| 2013 | 3,225 | 0.15 |
| 2014 | 2,528 | 0.39 |
| 2015 | 2,635 | 0.42 |
| 2016 | 3,165 | 0.30 |
| 2017 | 1,899 | 0.24 |
| 2018 | 1,384 | 0.28 |
| 2019 | 1,301 | 0.31 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

### 6.3.4 Okanogan R.

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 973 | 0.07 |
| 2001 | 1,786 | 0.06 |
| 2002 | 4,842 | 0.03 |
| 2003 | 2,436 | 0.06 |
| 2004 | 2,391 | 0.08 |
| 2005 | 2,123 | 0.07 |
| 2005 | 1,226 | 0.12 |
| 2006 | 899 | 0.22 |
| 2007 | 1,268 | 0.12 |
| 2008 | 1,386 | 0.16 |
| 2009 | 2,133 | 0.10 |
| 2010 | 3,496 | 0.21 |
| 2011 | 1,674 | 0.20 |
| 2012 | 2,802 | 0.12 |
| 2013 | 1,937 | 0.13 |
| 2014 | 1,356 | 0.38 |
| 2015 | 1,461 | 0.31 |
| 2016 | 1,566 | 0.25 |
| 2017 | 1,044 | 0.11 |
| 2018 | 453 | 0.27 |
| \*\* data file: ICUCsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 7. Upper Willamette steelhead

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Clark (1993) vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo (Ansley and Davis 1981; Collins et al. 1996; Deuel and Clark 1968) . Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

## 7.1 General location

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 7.1: Upper Willamette steelhead. Map of the general location of the ESU. |

## 7.2 Recent trends

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae ante quis dui egestas fringilla ac vitae justo. Pellentesque quis magna vel odio malesuada rutrum a volutpat nisl. Aliquam fermentum, urna eget tristique mattis, augue augue tristique ipsum, eget finibus nunc eros non nisi. Phasellus mattis hendrerit sapien, quis accumsan dui pretium eget. Nunc eleifend laoreet urna a luctus. Nulla vel sapien in nulla gravida tempus sit amet a metus. Vivamus porta condimentum tempus. Maecenas rhoncus elit id ultricies scelerisque. In gravida urna in ligula fringilla euismod. Curabitur efficitur porta libero ac fermentum. Cras fringilla et libero at posuere. Curabitur sodales dapibus elit a convallis.

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 7.2: Upper Willamette steelhead. Log spawner count trends. |

## 7.3 Population raw data

Morbi iaculis eget augue eget facilisis. Etiam non orci dignissim, efficitur purus viverra, pellentesque neque. Aliquam ornare, magna ut dictum mollis, nunc lorem iaculis nibh, eu consequat lectus urna euismod tortor. Etiam ut felis nisl. Nunc quis euismod felis. Vestibulum gravida nisi mi, quis mollis velit ullamcorper non. Aliquam tempus fringilla bibendum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce viverra nulla elementum libero mollis, quis cursus velit sagittis.

### 7.3.1 Willamette Falls ESC

| Year | Spawners | Fracwild |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 | 4,761 | 1 |
| 2001 | 12,525 | 1 |
| 2002 | 17,998 | 1 |
| 2003 | 9,092 | 1 |
| 2004 | 11,842 | 1 |
| 2005 | 5,963 | 1 |
| 2006 | 6,404 | 1 |
| 2007 | 5,497 | 1 |
| 2008 | 5,442 | 1 |
| 2009 | 2,813 | 1 |
| 2010 | 7,337 | 1 |
| 2011 | 7,441 | 1 |
| 2012 | 7,616 | 1 |
| 2013 | 4,944 | 1 |
| 2014 | 5,349 | 1 |
| 2015 | 4,508 | 1 |
| 2016 | 5,778 | 1 |
| 2017 | 822 | 1 |
| 2018 | 1,829 | 1 |
| 2019 | 3,202 | 1 |
| \*\* data file: UWsthd.csv mod date: Wed Aug 10 17:57:25 2022 -0600 | | |
| \* These spawner counts are from river redd surveys. | | |
| flextable | | |

**?(caption)**

# 8. Conclusion

We want to reference the Interior Columbia Upper Columbia Entiat population **?@tbl-rawICUCchinook-100**. It is in Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nam commodo sit amet nibh non molestie. Maecenas hendrerit nisl velit, a condimentum enim lobortis sit amet. Ut vitae nunc sed mauris condimentum fermentum. Mauris pellentesque nec neque id elementum. Suspendisse a quam aliquam, facilisis urna venenatis, malesuada diam. Pellentesque in fringilla orci. Cras sed purus urna. Ut pharetra enim ut ligula egestas mattis. I need to reference the work of Hardy (1978).

Phasellus non diam posuere, laoreet velit sed, egestas felis. Etiam eget neque in tellus lacinia tincidunt. Pellentesque scelerisque odio velit, nec fringilla nibh iaculis non. Aenean sit amet nulla ipsum. Cras felis lacus, pulvinar ac nisi et, convallis pulvinar turpis. Morbi non nibh lacus. Morbi vitae lorem massa. Sed ut turpis vel felis posuere commodo lacinia ac mi. Donec finibus lectus sit amet elit finibus, vitae rhoncus ligula tincidunt. Phasellus vitae blandit lacus. Integer sed nisl fermentum, pulvinar mauris in, posuere enim. Proin sit amet semper urna. Vivamus aliquet rutrum diam ac luctus.

Quisque in nibh sit amet nunc mollis porttitor quis et mauris. Sed non condimentum leo, ac condimentum est. Duis ac venenatis nulla, et aliquet elit. Suspendisse potenti. Duis mollis dui at semper luctus. Maecenas euismod finibus condimentum. Fusce vitae gravida massa. Mauris metus est, pretium non semper vel, dictum vel augue.

Curabitur tempus, leo quis volutpat rhoncus, turpis elit vehicula dolor, id tincidunt augue nunc at enim. In vel enim mattis, varius orci at, tempus ante. Morbi massa elit, pharetra ac libero at, porta tempus quam. Ut fringilla, tortor ac tristique euismod, magna felis vestibulum turpis, quis congue mauris leo nec felis. Aliquam viverra et nibh ut blandit. Praesent sed luctus odio. Pellentesque finibus velit dolor. Morbi ac pulvinar ex, id dapibus eros. Cras interdum arcu viverra auctor tristique. Suspendisse venenatis volutpat ultricies.

Donec bibendum pharetra arcu vitae porttitor. Morbi ac quam nunc. Ut cursus dolor a mauris aliquet vulputate. Morbi elementum ullamcorper augue, et tincidunt libero facilisis posuere. Nam congue velit non elit sollicitudin aliquet. Donec lobortis nunc ligula, id sollicitudin erat rhoncus cursus. Ut egestas orci libero, eu malesuada ex sollicitudin sed. Sed ornare nunc eget massa scelerisque, nec egestas nulla commodo. Pellentesque efficitur accumsan ullamcorper. Nulla facilisi. Maecenas tristique luctus malesuada. Phasellus id enim maximus, tempus tellus eu, dignissim sapien. Integer et mauris in lectus condimentum pellentesque non a felis.
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