

# Boxes and Recursive Data

CAS CS 392: Rust, in Theory and in Practice

Lecture 9

# Outline

Boxes

Deref

## Reminder: Stack vs. Heap

Everything in Rust is put on the stack by default, and must have fixed size, known at compile time

The data associated with vectors and strings are put on the heap because *they're implemented that way*:

```
pub struct Vec<T> {  
    ptr: NonNull<T>, // ignore for now  
    cap: usize,  
    len: usize,  
}
```

(The structure itself is put on the stack like everything else)

## Question

```
int main(void) {  
    int *x = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));  
    *x = 5;  
    free(x);  
    return 0;  
}
```

*What if we want to put something on the heap anyway?*

# Boxes

Boxes are a type-safe way to allocating memory on the heap:

```
fn main() {  
    let b = Box::new(5);  
    println!("b = {b}");  
}  
  
// prints: b = 5
```

Deallocating data is handled automatically through Rust's ownership system

*Note: There's something interesting going on in this example, how does rust know to print 5 and not something "box-like"?*

# Recursive Data

Boxes are necessary to define recursive data types like lists and trees:

```
// THIS DOES NOT COMPILE
enum List {
    Cons(i32, List),
    Nil,
}
fn main() {}
```

This code does not compile because Rust *cannot possibly know* how much space to allocate on the stack for this type

# Type Layout

How much space do different structures take? How does Rust know?

```
struct Foo = {  
    foo: i32,  
    bar: i64,  
    baz: i8  
}
```

How much space does a value of the above structure take up?

# Type Layout

The layout of every type in our program is determined at compile time

```
struct Foo = {  
    foo: i32,  
    bar: i64,  
    baz: i8  
}
```

A **type layout** consists of

1. Size (how many bytes does a value take up)
2. Alignment (addresses values can be stored at, must be  $2^k$ )
3. field offsets (where do fields live in the data, if applicable)



## size\_of and align\_of

Rust provides functions for determining size and alignment:

```
struct Foo {bar : i32, foo : i64, baz : i8}

fn main() {
    println!("{}", std::mem::size_of::<Foo>());
    println!("{}", std::mem::align_of::<Foo>());
    // print:
    // 16
    // 8
}
```

# Type Layout Guarantees

```
struct Foo = {foo: i32, bar: i64, baz: i8}
```

Rust guarantees three things (at the moment) for structures:

1. Fields are aligned (they respect the alignment of the type of the field, this might require padding)
2. Fields do not overlap (seems obvious)
3. The alignment of a structure is the maximum over the alignments of its fields

Rust *does not* guarantee that fields are laid out so in the same order they're defined

# What about enumerations?

```
enum Message {  
    Quit,  
    Move { x: i32, y: i64 },  
    Write(String),  
    ChangeColor(i32, i32, i32)  
}
```

- ▶ every discriminant/constructor/variant gets a u8 tag (so only 255 discriminants)
- ▶ and carries its data as if it were a structure

The size/alignment is the maximum over the size/alignment of every discriminant

# Cons Lists

What is the size (and alignment) of this type?

```
// THIS DOES NOT COMPILE  
enum List {Cons(i32, List), Nil}
```

(morally speaking) the same as that of:

```
struct ConsDeterminant {  
    tag: u8,  
    value : i32,  
    tail : List  
}
```

which is  $1 + padding + 4 + ???$

## Size and Alignment of Boxes

A Box is just a structure with a usize pointer to data on the heap, so the size and alignment will match that of usize (which is 8 on my machine):

```
use std::mem::{size_of, align_of};  
fn main() {  
    println!("{}", size_of::<Box<String>>());  
    println!("{}", align_of::<Box<String>>());  
    // prints:  
    // 8  
    // 8  
}
```

# Size of a Cons Cell

What is the size (and alignment) of this type?

```
enum List {  
    Cons(i64, Box<List>),  
    Nil,  
}
```

Cons is (morally speaking) the same as:

```
struct ConsDeterminant {  
    tag: u8,  
    value : i64,  
    tail : Box<List> // usize  
}
```

## Aside: Null Pointer Optimization

Given a structure with a single unit-like constructor, we can use the *null pointer* instead of a tag!

```
enum List {Cons(i64, Box<List>), Nil}
```

Cons is (morally speaking) the same as:

```
struct ConsDeterminant {  
    value : i64, tail : Box<List>  
}
```

# Outline

Boxes

Deref



# Boxes vs. Pointers

Boxes are similar to pointers, but like references *we can't have "shared" boxes*

In particular, we can't define circular data structures

```
fn main() {  
    // THIS DOES NOT COMPILE  
    let mut l : List = Cons(1, Box::new(Nil));  
    if let Cons (_h, t) = l {  
        *t = l  
    };  
}
```

## Reminder: Deref

Any type in Rust can be made to behave like a reference using the Deref trait:

```
use std::ops::Deref;
struct MyBox<T>(T);

impl<T> Deref for MyBox<T> {
    type Target = T;
    fn deref(&self) -> &Self::Target {&self.0}
}
```

## Using Boxes

If we want to make a reference to the data held, by the box, we can make a "reference" to the box itself:

```
fn main() {  
    let x : Box<i32> = Box::new(5);  
    let y : &i32 = &x;  
    assert_eq!(*y, 5);  
}
```

If we want to move the data from a box, we can dereference the box itself

```
fn main() {  
    let x = Box::new(5);  
    assert_eq!(*x, 5);  
}
```

# Deref Coercions

Types which implement Deref are "chained" when dereferenced:

```
fn hello(name: &str) {  
    println!("Hello, {name}!");  
}  
  
fn main() {  
    let m = MyBox::new(String::from("Rust"));  
    hello(&m); // instead of hello(&(*m)[..]);  
}
```