

# FR Type / Borrow Safety II

## Thm (Type / Borrow Safety):

### data:

$S_1$ : store

$\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ : typing env.

$e$ : expression

$\tau$ : type

$l$ : lifetime

$\gamma$ : fresh variable

### premises:

▶  $S_1$  is valid (no dup. owned loc.  $l_1^* \dots l_n^*$ )

▶  $\Gamma_1$  is  $l$ -well-formed  $\begin{cases} \textcircled{1} \text{ referent outlines reference} \\ \textcircled{2} \text{ everything outlines } l \end{cases}$

▶  $\Gamma_1$  is borrow safe (multiple refs  $\Rightarrow$  immutable)

▶  $\Gamma_1 \sim S_1$

▶  $\Gamma_1 \vdash \langle e : \tau \rangle^l \vdash \Gamma_2$  ( $e$  is well-typed)

### conclusions:

▶  $\exists S_2$  (store),  $v$  (value) s.t.  $\langle S_1 \triangleright e \Downarrow S_2 \triangleright v \rangle^l$  (progress)

▶  $S_2 \vdash v \sim \tau$  (value typing, valid typing) (preservation)

►  $\Gamma_2 \sim S_2$  *why the added binding?*

►  $S_2 [l_x \mapsto \langle v \rangle^l]$  is valid

►  $\Gamma_2 [\gamma \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^l]$  is  $l$ -well-formed

►  $\Gamma_2 [\gamma \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^l]$  is borrow safe (borrow safety)

Proof. By induction on derivations,

case (int):

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \langle c : \text{int} \rangle^l + \Gamma} \text{ (int)}$$

$$\frac{}{\langle S \triangleright c \Downarrow S \triangleright c \rangle^e} \text{ (int-eval)}$$

$$S \vdash c \sim \text{int} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\Gamma \sim S \quad \checkmark$$

$$S[l_x \mapsto \langle c \rangle^l] \text{ is valid} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\Gamma[\gamma \mapsto \langle \text{int} \rangle^l] \text{ is l-wf.} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\Gamma[\gamma \mapsto \langle \text{int} \rangle^l] \text{ is borrow safe} \quad \checkmark$$

case (copy):

$$\Gamma \vdash w : \langle \tau \rangle^m$$

$$\text{copy}(\tau)$$

$$\neg \text{rdP}(\Gamma, w)$$

(copy)

$$\Gamma \vdash \langle \hat{w} : \tau \rangle^l \vdash \Gamma$$

$$\text{read}(S, w) = \langle v \rangle^m$$

(copy-eval)

$$\langle S \triangleright \hat{w} \Downarrow S \triangleright v \rangle^l$$

Lemma: If  $\Gamma \vdash w : \langle \tau \rangle^m$  and  $S \sim \Gamma$ , then  $\exists v$ . s.t.  
 $\text{read}(S, w) = \langle v \rangle^m$  and  $S \vdash v \sim \tau$

not partial  
 if  $\tau$   
 is not  
 partial

$$S \vdash v \sim \tau \quad \checkmark$$

$$\Gamma \sim S \quad \checkmark$$

$S[l_x \mapsto \langle v \rangle^l]$  is valid because by  $\text{copy}(\tau)$ ,  $\tau = \text{int}$  or  $\tau = \&w$   $\checkmark$   
 so  $v \neq l_n$

$\Gamma[x \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^l]$  is l-wf.  $\checkmark$

$\Gamma[x \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^l]$  is borrow safe since  $w$  is not read prohibited in  $\Gamma$ .

case (move):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash w : \langle \tau \rangle^m \quad \boxed{\neg wP(\Gamma, \tau)}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle w : \tau \rangle^l \vdash \text{move}(\Gamma, w)} \quad (\text{move})$$

$$\frac{\text{read}(S_1, w) = \langle v \rangle^m}{\langle S_1 \triangleright w \Downarrow \text{write}(S_1, w, \perp) \triangleright v \rangle^l} \quad (\text{move-erase})$$

$$S_2 = \text{write}(S_1, w, \perp) \quad v = v \quad \checkmark \quad (\text{by prev. lemma})$$

$$S_2 \vdash v \sim \tau \quad \checkmark \quad (\text{by prev. lemma})$$

$$\Gamma_2 \sim S_2$$

$\text{move}(\Gamma_{\perp}, w) \sim \text{write}(S, w, \perp)$

Lemma: if  $\Gamma \sim S$  then  $\text{move}(\Gamma, w) \sim \text{write}(S, w, \perp)$

$S_2[l_x \mapsto \langle v \rangle^l]$  is valid

$\text{write}(S_{\perp}, w, \perp)[l_x \mapsto \langle v \rangle^l]$  is valid because if  $v = l_n^{\bullet}$  then we removed it from  $S_{\perp}$  ✓

$\Gamma[l_x \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^l]$  is l-wf ✓

$\Gamma[l_x \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^l]$  is borrow-safe because if  $\tau = \&mut u$  then the type is moved out by move. If  $\tau = \&u$  then we're okay by write prohibit.   
 write prohibit

case (box):

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash (e : \tau)^L \vdash \Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1 \vdash (\text{box } e : \Box \tau)^L \vdash \Gamma_2} \text{ (box)}$$

by IH  $\exists S_2, v$  s.t.  $\langle S_1 \triangleright e \Downarrow S_2 \triangleright v \rangle^L$  so

$$\langle S_1 \triangleright e \Downarrow S_2 \triangleright v \rangle^L \quad n \text{ is fresh} \quad \text{--- (box-in)}$$

$$\langle S_1 \triangleright \text{box } e \Downarrow \underbrace{S_2 [l_n \mapsto v]}_{S_2} \triangleright \underbrace{l_n^\bullet}_v \rangle^L$$