Kripke Semantics

Valvations

Det. A ralvation is a function

Y: V -> ED, IS

FALSE TRUE

T. P = {0, 1}

Crimen valuation v, me defined  $\overline{V}(PVQ) = \begin{cases} 1 & \overline{V}(P) = 1 & \text{or } \overline{V}(Q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$ 

Semantics of Classical Pop. Logic (CPL)

r = P ; f \ r (P) = 1

EP if VEP for any V DEV if VEAT implies VEV UUU

Last Time: Defined the proof system IPL and Notation: Alle Dif there is a

derivation in this system

Soundness Det. A proof system is sound with a semantics if TIP implies TED 11 if we can prove it then it is tre!

CPL is sound w. A. valvations. Thm: For any  $D, \Gamma, \phi$ , if  $\Delta \Gamma \leftarrow \phi$ then T = \$ (for any valuation only over the raidles in ) Example: A, B | A VB + ( - ( - A N - B) For any valuation v: {A, B} => {0,13, if J (AVB) = 1 then T ((-AN-B)) = 1

Proof of Soundness for CPL Induction on derivations. PEA c.g. DITHA A A A B Suppose  $\overline{r}(\Gamma)$  then  $\overline{r}(A)$  and  $\overline{r}(B)$ so by det. T (ANB)

## Completeness

"Anything true, he can prove"

CPL is complete wirt valuations Thm. For any D, T, Y, if TE Hen Shen For then DIDLY

lemna: 15

 $A \mid \phi \mid_{L_{\alpha}} \rightarrow A$ eg.  $F \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$  so

TPL is not completeness v.r.t valuations

$$\begin{array}{ll}
PL & \text{is not completeness } v.r.t & \text{valuations} \\
\hline
P & \text{Fas } A & \text{v} - A & \text{since} & \text{v} : \text{FAS} > \text{Fo, 13} \\
\hline
+ & \text{then} & \text{v} & \text{Fo, 13} \\
\hline
+ & \text{valuations} & \text{v} & \text{valuations} \\
\hline
- & \text{V} & \text{Av} - A & \text{since} & \text{v} : \text{FAS} > \text{Fo, 13} \\
\hline
+ & \text{valuations} & \text{v} & \text{valuations} \\
\hline
- & \text{V} & \text{Av} - A & \text{volume} & \text{visions} \\
\hline
- & \text{V} & \text{Av} = 1 & \text{or visions} \\
\hline
- & \text{V} & \text{Av} = 1 & \text{or visions} \\
\hline
- & \text{V} & \text{O} & \text{o.v.} \\
\hline
- & \text{V} & \text{O} & \text{o.v.} \\
\hline
- & \text{O} & \text{o.v.} \\
\hline
\end{array}$$

2 A J Ø FI A V - A (+oday)

Law of Excluded Middle in CPL

Davide Negation Elim:  $n - A \rightarrow A$   $\rightarrow to V : (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (-A \vee B)$ Peirce's Law :  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$ 

Other principle:

TPL is sound with valuations

IPL CPL

Kipke Mode)

There is no notion tath except what is prosable. this does not allow for non-constructive existence proof. eg. Intermodiate Valve Theorem. De we have computational interpretation of logic and proof.

Intuitionism and Proof

Intuitionism and Time · We have to wait for a proof of A to say is tree 5 Soneore porce A (Now) B, C, D, ... . I A holds only if no one can evalually pore

Kripke Models time Det. A mode) is node up of ► Wm: set of possible worlds A: W > 2 (a set pop. renables ► < M XW which reflexive at transitive W Sm W (reflexive) WENU, USV then WEV (transitive)  $w \leq_n u$  then  $d(w) \subseteq d(u)$ 

Kripke modeks as semanties Given  $M = (W, X, \leq)$  and  $w \in W$  we define M, w = A for A & V if A & X (w) DM, w = PVQ if M, w = P or M, w = Q

DM, w = PNQ if M, w = P and M, w = Ø M, WK I DM, WEA >B if WEV and M,VEA then
M,VEB

GM, w F JA = A = L if w EV M, V KA.

## Semantic Notions

MEX if MED for any we Win

 $T = \emptyset$  if  $E_{\kappa} \wedge T \rightarrow \emptyset$ .

A new semanties based of Kripke model.

Main Theorem Theorem. IPL is sound and complete urt Kripke models. DITH ( ) TEK P Lemma: If M, w & Q then 

Kripke Conterexamples lets worsider ALDYTAVA (i) w = { w, v3  $M, w \not\models A v - A$ M, w & A and M, w & - A d (v) = { A3 A K ox (w) + + M, v = A 4 monotonility?  $\alpha(\alpha) \in \alpha(\lambda)$  $A \mapsto A \in A \otimes_{A} (A) \to A$