Running head: TITLE 1

The title

First Author¹ & Ernst-August Doelle^{1,2}

- ¹ Wilhelm-Wundt-University
- ² Konstanz Business School

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein
- 7 must be indented, like this line.
- 8 Enter author note here.

5

- The authors made the following contributions. First Author: Conceptualization,
- Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing; Ernst-August Doelle:
- Writing Review & Editing.
- 12 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to First Author, Postal
- 3 address. E-mail: my@email.com

Abstract 14

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a

scientist in any discipline. 16

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists 17

in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular 19

study. 20

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their 21

equivalent). 22

Two or three sentences explaining what the **main result** reveals in direct comparison 23

to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous

knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**. 26

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to 27

a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: keywords 29

Word count: X 30

The title

32 Introduction

** big picture = social implications of online teaching and how that has changed in a post-pandemic world ** small picture = what we are doing in this language program and how can we improve to meet the needs and wants of the students in their new reality

- Address the general idea
- Explain the study
- Address our contribution

Literature Review

39

The development of online education has been evolving over the past few decades, 40 offering an alternative to deliver learning in a more convenient manner to individuals who 41 could not be physically present in the traditional educational classroom. Of special interest 42 is the research and development of language education across time and how online education has played a role in this development, as well as the implementation of communicative strategies within it. Research and technological advances allowed online language education to develop greatly, however, the Covid-19 pandemic appeared to be its hardest challenge to date. According to the National Center for Education Statistics (2022), during the fall of 2020 over 75 percent of undergraduate students (11.8 million) were enrolled in at least one online course, whereas 44 percent (7.0 million) of all undergraduate students exclusively enrolled in online courses. Compared to fall 2019, these 50 numbers represent a 97 percent increase in undergraduates taking at least one online 51 course (11.8 million vs. 6.0 million) and a 186 percent increase in undergraduate students enrolled exclusively in online courses (7.0 million vs. 2.4 million).

Online learning comes with a new set of challenges that are not found in a traditional classroom setting, especially when, for many, it was not an option to have class anywhere but virtually. Being forced to switch modalities had consequences, both from the teachers' and students' perspectives, as they were either not ready for the change or lacked many materials. Top Hat (2020) did a major survey on undergraduate students' and teachers' perceptions during the online emergency switch in US universities. Their findings within students taking a language class were that overall, the quality of instruction received was worse in comparison to the in-person learning setting. In the same way, a vast majority of students felt that the class experience was unengaging as they missed spending time with faculty and fellow students. Within this swift change to online settings, many students also found that their academic load was not only reduced but also experienced problems with

65 using online tools.

```
Language attitudes are key in the language learning environment, as they can
66
   determine the success of a student (eg Thompson, 2021). A learner with a positive attitude
   toward a learning environment will more likely succeed than a learner who finds the
   environment difficult or disengaged. Specifically, the attitudes in online learning have been
   shown to play a key role as students are physically detached from the learning
   environment, and rely more on self-regulation abilities (Algurashi et al., 2016; Horvat,
   Dobrota, Krsmanovic, & Cudanov, 2015; Ke & Kwak, 2013; Lan & Sie, 2010). Within this
   framework, anxiety has been linked in several research studies (e.g., Teimouri, Goetze, &
   Plonsky, 2019) as an indicator of poor linguistic development. Interestingly, anxiety and
   the fear of the unknown is a feeling that fluctuates with empirical contact throughout the
75
   academic appointment. Furthermore, Webb, Doman, and Pusey (2014) studied the
   attitudes of students and teachers in a flipped online classroom of Chinese. Their results
   showed an incremental fluctuation in their perception. That is, they found distance
   education would not meet student expectations at first, but through the semester they
   found a growing acceptance that led to successful results by the end of a 15-week term. A
   similar psychological development is observed in Ushida (2005) study which investigated
   the changes in students' attitudes and motivation over time in online language courses.
   Their results showed a similar evolution within the student's attitudes, as students reduced
   their anxiety level as the semester progressed, feeling more comfortable with the system as
   well as the teaching materials by the end of the educational term. Past educational
   experiences and abilities acquired through these experiences have an effect on how students
   shape attitudes toward a new learning encounter (Saito et al. 2018), as well as the
   familiarity and complexity of the instruments that the course requires (Heckel and
   Ringeisen (2019)), which might involve some training and assistance before the beginning
   of the online course. During the covid outbreak, students and educators lacked experience
   with online tools as well as time to prepare, Tomasik, Helbling, and Moser (2021), leading
```

to an overall feeling of less effective education Top hat (2020), Hodges, Moore, Lockee, Trust, and Bond (2020), Lee (2022). While the immediate transition to online education 93 seemed rushed in Ruiz-Alonso-Bartol, Querrien, Dykstra, Fernández-Mira, and Sánchez-Gutiérrez (2022) the stress levels of undergraduates diminished from the beginning to the end of the academic term as they became more familiar with the instructional materials, and therefore gained more experience with their newfound educational setting. Their study of US undergraduates found varied responses in which some students enjoyed the increased autonomy and self-paced learning opportunities offered by the new online format. Along the same line, a survey of university students found a common belief that 100 online education helped them continue their education while still learning, observing, and 101 improving the quality of teaching as their professors gained more experience with the 102 teaching instruments (Chakraborty, Mittal, Gupta, Yadav, & Arora, 2021). The outbreak of COVID-19 has caused online teaching and learning to evolve very rapidly during the 104 past two years. This was a global phenomenon in the history of language education which 105 had many educational consequences that students most likely will continue to experience 106 when approaching online education. With a global decrease in Covid-19 cases, most US 107 universities slowly began to switch to a pre-pandemic educational setting or blend hybrid 108 classes. The goal of this paper is dual, first to determine if online education is still in 109 demand among our undergraduate students, and to identify what are the attitudes towards 110 it in a context where online education is no longer mandatory. Our second goal is to better 111 understand how our new post-pandemic reality modulates tendencies in university 112 language class enrollment. 113

Research gap and theoretical approach

Education mirrors society and its challenges, with Covid-19 as a global example of the adaptations that educators around the world had to implement in order to continue providing education to their students. In this sense, education can be understood as a

114

continuum that has endlessly developed according to the socioeconomic changes that 118 society experiences throughout history. 119

Our premise in this research study is to gather information from our current students 120 who are in an educational environment that has been in continuous change for the past few 121 years. The effects of this ever-changing learning environment have affected students and 122 continue to affect the way they interact with and view their education. Post-pandemic 123 effects, along with world socio-economic changes such as inflation and the need to work, 124 have brought a new educational reality that affects tendencies toward language enrollment. 125 To better understand these tendencies, we employed the lens of The Grounded Theory, as 126 it allows a cyclical observation of a changing world. The Grounded Theory considers a 127 changing environment that can evolve, becoming the researcher a constant observer of the 128 changes produced (Hernandez Carrera, 2014). Having a better understanding of the constant change of tendencies that affect our students can help us not only to provide them 130 with adequate tools to succeed but also help us in providing courses that match their needs 131 and expectations. 132

This theoretical approach allowed us to perform mixed models including qualitative, 133 quantitative, and open questionary deriving the hypothesis we present in this paper. This 134 approach was chosen as it allows the researcher to observe a constant change in tendencies 135 and derive a hypothesis based on the collected data. Due to the geographical limitations, 136 we adopt a substantive Grounded Theory that helps explain the tendencies seen within our community of students and the factors that affect them (Corbin & Strauss, 2014). 138

137

139

Current Study

Our premise in this research study is to gather information from our current students 140 who are in an educational environment that has been in continuous change for the past few 141 years. The effects of this ever-changing learning environment have affected students and

continue to affect modulating the way they interact with and view their education.

Post-pandemic effects, along with world socio-economic changes, have brought a new educational reality that affects tendencies toward enrollment. Having a better understanding of the constant change of tendencies that affect our students can help us not only in providing them with adequate tools to succeed but also help us in providing courses

149 Methods

that match their needs and expectations.

 \mathbf{RQs}

148

151

152

153

154

155

The current study aims to answer the following research questions:

- How effective are online classes according to self-reported measures of language abilities?
- How does our new post-pandemic reality modulate tendencies in language enrollment (in person vs online)?
- We predict X based on Y.
- In order to answer the research questions, a total of X students completed an anonymous survey. The following is a description of the participants that took part in the study and the task that they completed.

60 Participants

Students who were taking in person and online Spanish language classes at a Big 10 northeastern university were asked to complete the survey. A total number of 87 people responded (update this with final count from Qualtrics). The data from 6 surveys were removed due to incomplete responses. The participants were compensated with extra

credit towards their homework grades in their Spanish courses for completing the survey.

They were all typical university aged students (ages from 18-25) except one student, who

belongs to the 25-35 age range. [I don't know if this will be relevant, probably not, but we

could include: 35 of the students were first years, 27 were second years, 11 were third years,

and 9 were fourth years.] Of the # participants who completed the survey, 23 were taking

a fully asynchronous online Spanish class, 51 were taking an in person class, and 7 were

taking a hybrid course.

We also collected information about their linguistic backgrounds. Of the # of
participants, 37 of the students speak another language besides English and Spanish. The
participants reported learning Spanish between the ages of X and X. They had reported
learning Spanish in X setting. X number of participants had taken X number of years of
Spanish in a high school setting. X students have never taken Spanish courses prior to this
course. (look up this information in the survey)

Additionally, we collected information about their work and commuting lives of these 178 participants to be able to make judgements about how these factors impact learning 179 attitudes. The students ranged from living on campus (32 students) to living relatively 180 close to campus 5-15 miles away (17 students), to living 15+ miles away from campus and 181 having a long commute (33 students). As for their working schedules, 51 students reported 182 to not be currently working while attending university while 31 students are currently 183 studying and working at the same time. Of those 31 students who are working, five work 184 between zero and five hours a week, ten work between five to ten hours a week, ten work 185 between ten to fifteen hours and week and six work more than fifteen hours a week. 186

Material and Procedure

The survey was created on Qualtrics. It was distributed via an online anonymous link to undergraduate students taking Spanish courses in a large university located in the

northeast United States and it took an average of # minutes to complete (find this info on 190 qualtrics). In total, the participants answered 46 questions. The questions were divided 191 into 4 sections (background information, online learning tools/accessibility, attitudes, and 192 enrollment) that each aimed to gather information about different factors that might 193 impact the responses. The background information section asked questions about their age, 194 how long they have studied Spanish, how far they live away from campus, and if they are 195 working. The online learning tools/accessibility questions asked how comfortable they felt 196 using the learning management systems that their courses are using and how readily 197 accessible stable Wifi, a computer or tablet, and the software and other technologies are 198 that are required for the courses that they are taking. The attitudes section aimed to 199 gather the participants' thoughts about learning languages in person and in online settings, 200 their motivations for taking a language course, and how they feel their language abilities 201 have progressed. The last section, enrollment, asked questions about school-work balance 202 and the reasons why they enroll in online courses. 203

The aforementioned sections of the survey followed a mixed-methods design to
examine students' perspectives through an interaction of quantitative and qualitative
questions. By using open-ended and close-ended questionnaires we were able to collect,
contrast and students' testimonies. The mixed-methods design of this study allowed us to
compare open-ended questions, with close-ended questions obtaining tendencies,
preferences, as well as attitudes toward enrollment. Close-ended quantitative questions
were presented in a scale from 5 to 1 in the questionnaire, with a 5 being valued as "very
useful" and a 1 as "not very useful".

$_{212}$ Data analysis

We used R (Version 4.1.2; R Core Team, 2021) and the R-packages *papaja* (Version 0.1.1; Aust & Barth, 2022), and *tinylabels* (Version 0.2.3; Barth, 2022) for all our analyses.

215	Results
216	Discussion
217	• Tie to RQs and big picture
218	Conclusion
219	• Big Picture
220	• Limitations
221	• Future directions
222 223	The results of this research study can help inform the decisions that administrations at similar universities make about the courses that they offer in the future.

References

²²⁵ Alqurashi, E. et al. (2016). Self-efficacy in online learning environments: A literature

- review. Contemporary Issues in Education Research (CIER), 9(1), 45–52.
- ²²⁷ Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2022). papaja: Prepare reproducible APA journal articles with R
- 228 Markdown. Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja
- Barth, M. (2022). tinylabels: Lightweight variable labels. Retrieved from
- 230 https://cran.r-project.org/package=tinylabels
- ²³¹ Chakraborty, P., Mittal, P., Gupta, M. S., Yadav, S., & Arora, A. (2021). Opinion of
- students on online education during the COVID-19 pandemic. Human Behavior and
- Emerging Technologies, $\Im(3)$, 357-365.
- ²³⁴ Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2014). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures
- for developing grounded theory. Sage publications.
- Heckel, C., & Ringeisen, T. (2019). Pride and anxiety in online learning environments:
- Achievement emotions as mediators between learners' characteristics and learning
- outcomes. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 35(5), 667–677.
- Hernandez Carrera, R. M. (2014). La investigación cualitativa a traves de entrevistas: Su
- analisis mediante la teoria fundamentada. Cuestiones Pedagogicas, 23, 187-210.
- Hodges, C. B., Moore, S., Lockee, B. B., Trust, T., & Bond, M. A. (2020). The difference
- between emergency remote teaching and online learning.
- Horvat, A., Dobrota, M., Krsmanovic, M., & Cudanov, M. (2015). Student perception of
- moodle learning management system: A satisfaction and significance analysis.
- Interactive Learning Environments, 23(4), 515–527.
- Ke, F., & Kwak, D. (2013). Constructs of student-centered online learning on learning
- satisfaction of a diverse online student body: A structural equation modeling approach.
- Journal of Educational Computing Research, 48(1), 97–122.
- Lan, Y.-F., & Sie, Y.-S. (2010). Using RSS to support mobile learning based on media
- richness theory. Computers & Education, 55(2), 723-732.

Lee, S.-M. (2022). Factors affecting the quality of online learning in a task-based college

- course. Foreign Language Annals, 55(1), 116–134.
- 253 R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
- Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
- https://www.R-project.org/
- Ruiz-Alonso-Bartol, A., Querrien, D., Dykstra, S., Fernández-Mira, P., &
- Sánchez-Gutiérrez, C. (2022). Transitioning to emergency online teaching: The
- experience of spanish language learners in a US university. System, 104, 102684.
- Teimouri, Y., Goetze, J., & Plonsky, L. (2019). Second language anxiety and achievement:
- A meta-analysis. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 41(2), 363–387.
- Thompson, A. S. (2021). Attitudes and beliefs. In The routledge handbook of the
- psychology of language learning and teaching (pp. 149–160). Routledge.
- Tomasik, M. J., Helbling, L. A., & Moser, U. (2021). Educational gains of in-person vs.
- Distance learning in primary and secondary schools: A natural experiment during the
- 265 COVID-19 pandemic school closures in switzerland. International Journal of
- 266 Psychology, 56(4), 566–576.
- Ushida, E. (2005). The role of students' attitudes and motivation in second language
- learning in online language courses. CALICO Journal, 49–78.
- Webb, M., Doman, E., & Pusey, K. (2014). Flipping a chinese university EFL course:
- What students and teachers think of the model. The Journal of Asia TEFL, 11(4),
- ₂₇₁ 53–87.