Running head: TITLE 1

The title

First Author¹ & Ernst-August Doelle^{1,2}

- ¹ Wilhelm-Wundt-University
- ² Konstanz Business School

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein
- 7 must be indented, like this line.
- 8 Enter author note here.

5

- The authors made the following contributions. First Author: Conceptualization,
- Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing; Ernst-August Doelle:
- Writing Review & Editing.
- 12 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to First Author, Postal
- 3 address. E-mail: my@email.com

Abstract 14

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a

scientist in any discipline. 16

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists 17

in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular 19

study. 20

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their 21

equivalent). 22

Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct comparison 23

to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous

knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**. 26

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to 27

a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: keywords 29

Word count: X 30

The title

32 Introduction

** big picture = social implications of online teaching and how that has changed in a post-pandemic world ** small picture = what we are doing in this language program and how can we improve to meet the needs and wants of the students in their new reality

- Address the general idea
- Explain the study

36

40

- Address our contribution
- pero claro el esta lli (lee2022factors?) lkasla

Literature Review

The development of online education has been evolving over the past few decades,
offering an alternative to deliver learning in a more convenient manner to individuals who
could not be physically present in the traditional educational classroom. Of special interest
is the research and development of language education across time and how online
education has played a role in this development, as well as the implementation of
communicative strategies within it. Research and technological advances allowed online
language education to develop greatly, however, the Covid-19 pandemic appeared to be its
hardest challenge to date. According to the National Center for Education Statistics
(2022), during the fall of 2020 over 75 percent of undergraduate students (11.8 million)
were enrolled in at least one online course, whereas 44 percent (7.0 million) of all
undergraduate students exclusively enrolled in online courses. Compared to fall 2019, these
numbers represent a 97 percent increase in undergraduates taking at least one online

course (11.8 million vs. 6.0 million) and a 186 percent increase in undergraduate students enrolled exclusively in online courses (7.0 million vs. 2.4 million).

Online learning comes with a new set of challenges that are not found in a traditional 55 classroom setting, especially when, for many, it was not an option to have class anywhere 56 but virtually. Being forced to switch modalities had consequences, both from the teachers' 57 and students' perspectives, as they were either not ready for the change or lacked many materials. Top Hat (2020) did a major survey on undergraduate students' and teachers' perceptions during the online emergency switch in US universities. Their findings within students taking a language class were that overall, the quality of instruction received was 61 worse in comparison to the in-person learning setting. In the same way, a vast majority of students felt that the class experience was unengaging as they missed spending time with 63 faculty and fellow students. Within this swift change to online settings, many students also found that their academic load was not only reduced but also experienced problems with using online tools.

Language attitudes are key in the language learning environment, as they can
determine the success of a student (e.g., Thompson, 2021). A learner with a positive
attitude toward a learning environment will more likely succeed than a learner who finds
the environment difficult or disengaged. Specifically, the attitudes in online learning have
been shown to play a key role as students are physically detached from the learning
environment, and rely more on self-regulation abilities (Alqurashi, 2016; Horvat et al., 2015;
Ke & Kwak, 2013; Wurst et al., 2008). Within this framework, anxiety has been linked in
several research studies (e.g., Teimouri et al., 2019) as an indicator of poor linguistic
development. Interestingly, anxiety and the fear of the unknown is a feeling that fluctuates
with empirical contact throughout the academic appointment. Furthermore, Webb et
al. (2014) studied the attitudes of students and teachers in a flipped online classroom of
Chinese. Their results showed an incremental fluctuation in their perception. That is, they
found distance education would not meet student expectations at first, but through the

semester they found a growing acceptance that led to successful results by the end of a 15-week term. A similar psychological development is observed in Ushida's (2005) study 81 which investigated the changes in students' attitudes and motivation over time in online 82 language courses. Their results showed a similar evolution within the student's attitudes. 83 as students reduced their anxiety level as the semester progressed, feeling more comfortable with the system as well as the teaching materials by the end of the educational term. Past 85 educational experiences and abilities acquired through these experiences have an effect on how students shape attitudes toward a new learning encounter (Saito et al. 2018), as well as the familiarity and complexity of the instruments that the course requires (Heckel & Ringeisen, 2019), which might involve some training and assistance before the beginning of the online course. During the covid outbreak, students and educators lacked experience with online tools as well as time to prepare, Moser et al. (2021), leading to an overall feeling of less effective education Top hat (2020), Hodges et al. (2020), Lee (2021). While the immediate transition to online education seemed rushed in Ana Ruiz-Alonso-Bartol et al (YEAR) the stress levels of undergraduates diminished from the beginning to the end of the academic term as they became more familiar with the instructional materials, and therefore gained more experience with their newfound educational setting. Their study of US undergraduates found varied responses in which some students enjoyed the increased autonomy and self-paced learning opportunities offered by the new online format. Along 98 the same line, a survey of university students found a common belief that online education helped them continue their education while still learning, observing, and improving the 100 quality of teaching as their professors gained more experience with the teaching instruments 101 Chakraborty et al. (2020). The outbreak of COVID-19 has caused online teaching and 102 learning to evolve very rapidly during the past two years. This was a global phenomenon in 103 the history of language education which had many educational consequences that students 104 most likely will continue to experience when approaching online education. With a global 105 decrease in Covid-19 cases, most US universities slowly began to switch to a pre-pandemic 106

educational setting or blend hybrid classes. The goal of this paper is dual, first to
determine if online education is still in demand among our undergraduate students, and to
identify what are the attitudes towards it in a context where online education is no longer
mandatory. Our second goal is to better understand how our new post-pandemic reality
modulates tendencies in university language class enrollment.

Research gap and theoretical approach

112

113

114

115

116

117

131

Education mirrors society and its challenges, with Covid-19 as a global example of the adaptations that educators around the world had to implement in order to continue providing education to their students. In this sense, education can be understood as a continuum that has endlessly developed according to the socioeconomic changes that society experiences throughout history.

Our premise in this research study is to gather information from our current students 118 who are in an educational environment that has been in continuous change for the past few 119 years. The effects of this ever-changing learning environment have affected students and 120 continue to affect the way they interact with and view their education. Post-pandemic 121 effects, along with world socio-economic changes such as inflation and the need to work, 122 have brought a new educational reality that affects tendencies toward language enrollment. 123 To better understand these tendencies, we employed the lens of The Grounded Theory, as 124 it allows a cyclical observation of a changing world. The Grounded Theory considers a 125 changing environment that can evolve, becoming the researcher a constant observer of the 126 changes produced (Hernández Carrera, 2014). Having a better understanding of the 127 constant change of tendencies that affect our students can help us not only to provide them 128 with adequate tools to succeed but also help us in providing courses that match their needs and expectations. 130

This theoretical approach allowed us to perform mixed models including qualitative,

quantitative, and open questionary deriving the hypothesis we present in this paper. This
approach was chosen as it allows the researcher to observe a constant change in tendencies
and derive a hypothesis based on the collected data. Due to the geographical limitations,
we adopt a substantive Grounded Theory that helps explain the tendencies seen within our
community of students and the factors that affect them (Corbin & Strauss, 2014).

Current Study

Our premise in this research study is to gather information from our current students 138 who are in an educational environment that has been in continuous change for the past few 139 years. The effects of this ever-changing learning environment have affected students and continue to affect modulating the way they interact with and view their education. Post-pandemic effects, along with world socio-economic changes, have brought a new 142 educational reality that affects tendencies toward enrollment. Having a better 143 understanding of the constant change of tendencies that affect our students can help us not 144 only in providing them with adequate tools to succeed but also help us in providing courses 145 that match their needs and expectations. 146

147 Methods

 \mathbf{RQs}

149

150

151

152

153

137

The current study aims to answer the following research questions:

- How effective are online classes according to self-reported measures of language abilities?
- How does our new post-pandemic reality modulate tendencies in language enrollment (in person vs online)?

We predict X based on Y.

In order to answer the research questions, a total of X students completed an anonymous survey. The following is a description of the participants that took part in the study and the task that they completed.

58 Participants

Students who were taking in person and online Spanish language classes at a Big 10 159 northeastern university were asked to complete the survey. A total number of 87 people 160 responded (update this with final count from Qualtrics). The data from 6 surveys were 161 removed due to incomplete responses. The participants were compensated with extra 162 credit towards their homework grades in their Spanish courses for completing the survey. 163 They were all typical university aged students (ages from 18-25) except one student, who belongs to the 25-35 age range. If don't know if this will be relevant, probably not, but we 165 could include: 35 of the students were first years, 27 were second years, 11 were third years, 166 and 9 were fourth years.] Of the # participants who completed the survey, 23 were taking 167 a fully asynchronous online Spanish class, 51 were taking an in person class, and 7 were 168 taking a hybrid course. 169

We also collected information about their linguistic backgrounds. Of the # of
participants, 37 of the students speak another language besides English and Spanish. The
participants reported learning Spanish between the ages of X and X. They had reported
learning Spanish in X setting. X number of participants had taken X number of years of
Spanish in a high school setting. X students have never taken Spanish courses prior to this
course. (look up this information in the survey)

Additionally, we collected information about their work and commuting lives of these participants to be able to make judgements about how these factors impact learning attitudes. The students ranged from living on campus (32 students) to living relatively

close to campus 5-15 miles away (17 students), to living 15+ miles away from campus and
having a long commute (33 students). As for their working schedules, 51 students reported
to not be currently working while attending university while 31 students are currently
studying and working at the same time. Of those 31 students who are working, five work
between zero and five hours a week, ten work between five to ten hours a week, ten work
between ten to fifteen hours and week and six work more than fifteen hours a week.

185 Material and Procedure

The survey was created on Qualtrics. It was distributed via an online anonymous link 186 to undergraduate students taking Spanish courses in a large university located in the 187 northeast United States and it took an average of # minutes to complete (find this info on 188 qualtrics). In total, the participants answered 46 questions. The questions were divided 189 into 4 sections (background information, online learning tools/accessibility, attitudes, and 190 enrollment) that each aimed to gather information about different factors that might 191 impact the responses. The background information section asked questions about their age, 192 how long they have studied Spanish, how far they live away from campus, and if they are 193 working. The online learning tools/accessibility questions asked how comfortable they felt 194 using the learning management systems that their courses are using and how readily 195 accessible stable Wifi, a computer or tablet, and the software and other technologies are 196 that are required for the courses that they are taking. The attitudes section aimed to 197 gather the participants' thoughts about learning languages in person and in online settings, 198 their motivations for taking a language course, and how they feel their language abilities 199 have progressed. The last section, enrollment, asked questions about school-work balance 200 and the reasons why they enroll in online courses. 201

The aforementioned sections of the survey followed a mixed-methods design to
examine students' perspectives through an interaction of quantitative and qualitative
questions. By using open-ended and close-ended questionnaires we were able to collect,

contrast and students' testimonies. The mixed-methods design of this study allowed us to
compare open-ended questions, with close-ended questions obtaining tendencies,
preferences, as well as attitudes toward enrollment. Close-ended quantitative questions
were presented in a scale from 5 to 1 in the questionnaire, with a 5 being valued as "very
useful" and a 1 as "not very useful".

210 Data analysis

We used R (Version 4.1.2; R Core Team, 2021) and the R-packages *papaja* (Version 0.1.1; Aust & Barth, 2022), and *tinylabels* (Version 0.2.3; Barth, 2022) for all our analyses.

213 Results

214 Discussion

• Tie to RQs and big picture

216 Conclusion

• Big Picture

215

- Limitations
- Future directions

The results of this research study can help inform the decisions that administrations at similar universities make about the courses that they offer in the future.

\sim 222	References
------------	------------

- ²²³ Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2022). papaja: Prepare reproducible APA journal articles with R
- 224 Markdown. Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja
- Barth, M. (2022). tinylabels: Lightweight variable labels. Retrieved from
- https://cran.r-project.org/package=tinylabels
- 227 R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
- Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
- https://www.R-project.org/