

# Maps

What does a map consist of?

- Keys
  - Generic
- Values
  - Generic

Operations:

- `get(Object)`
  - returns the value for the key or null if not in map
- `put(K, V)`
  - returns last value for the key or null if it did not previously exist in the map
- `containsKey(Object)`
- `remove(Object)`
  - returns the value for the key or null if not in map
- `containsValue(Object)`
- `clear`
- `size`

Example Map

Key	Value
Earth	planet
Ganymede	moon
Venus	planet
Sirius	star
Andromeda	galaxy
Aldebaran	star
Pleiades	star cluster
Pluto	dwarf planet
Europa	moon
Ceres	dwarf planet
M67	star cluster

# Different Views

- `entrySet`
  - Why is this a set?
    - unique elements
- `keySet`
  - Why is this a set?
    - unique elements
- `values`
  - Why is this a collection?
    - not unique
    - would not have duplicates if it was a set

What does an `entrySet` of our map look like?

{<Earth, planet>, <Ganymede, moon>, <Venus, planet>, <Sirius, star>, <Andromeda, galaxy>, <Aldebaran, star>, <Pleiades, star cluster>, <Pluto, dwarf planet>, <Europa, moon>, <Ceres, dwarf planet>, <M67, star cluster>}

What operations do we have for our `entrySet`?

- `size`
- `remove(Object)` -> `Entry<K,V>`
  - returns a boolean
- `contains(Object)`
- `clear`
- `iterator`

What does the `keySet` of our map look like?

{Earth, Ganymede, Venus, Sirius, Andromeda, Aldebaran, Pleiades, Pluto, Europa, Ceres, M67}

What does the `values` collection of our map look like?

[planet, moon, planet, star, galaxy, star, star cluster, dwarf planet, moon, dwarf planet, star cluster]

Do we have to implement `keySet` and `values`? Why?

- No! The implementation uses `entrySet`, so we only need to implement the `entrySet` and the default implementation of these will work!

# Threading

- Nodes of BST are connected in-order for use by the iterator
- Traverse this just like a singly linked list
- Dummy node at the beginning of the list
- Adding and removing are very similar to adding and removing to a regular BST, except now you need to make sure you take care of the “next” pointers

# Binary Search

What is the time complexity of searching for an element in an array? Why?

- $O(n)$
- We need to iterate through the array, one at a time, until we find the element

What is the time complexity of searching for an element in a BST? Why?

- $O(\log n)$
- Every time we go left or right we cut our search space approximately in half
  - There is no point in searching for an element in both left and right subtrees

How can we improve on searching in an array by using techniques of a BST?

- Do something similar--always cut our search space in half while searching
- Array must be sorted!
- Start with a lo (inclusive) index and a hi (exclusive) index
- Compare the element at the midpoint index with the thing we are searching for
- If the thing we are searching for is smaller than the element at the midpoint, we know the element must be to the left, so we update hi
- If the thing we are searching for is bigger than the element at the midpoint, we know it must be on the right, so we update lo
- If the thing we are searching for is equivalent to the element at the midpoint, we can return the midpoint index