Pse-in-One 2.0: a web server for generating comprehensive modes of pseudo components of DNA, RNA, and protein sequences (2017 update)

2017-01-08

Home-page: http://bioinformatics.hitsz.edu.cn/Pse-in-One2.0/







Content

| 1. DNA | 4 |
|---|----|
| 1.1 Deoxyribonucleic acid composition | 4 |
| 1.1.1 Basic kmer (Kmer) | 4 |
| 1.1.2 Reverse complementary kmer (RevKmer) | 4 |
| 1.1.3 Increment of diversity (IDKmer) | |
| 1.1.4 Mismatch | 5 |
| 1.1.5 Subsequence | 5 |
| 1.2 Autocorrelation | 5 |
| 1.2.1 Dinucleotide-based auto covariance (DAC) | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Dinucleotide-based cross covariance (DCC) | |
| 1.2.3 Dinucleotide-based auto-cross covariance (DACC) | 6 |
| 1.2.4 Trinucleotide-based auto covariance (TAC) | |
| 1.2.5 Trinucleotide-based cross covariance (TCC) | |
| 1.2.6 Trinucleotide-based auto-cross covariance (TACC) | |
| 1.2.7 Moran autocorrelation (MAC) | |
| 1.2.8 Geary autocorrelation (GAC) | |
| 1.2.9 Normalized Moreau–Broto autocorrelation (NMBAC) | 8 |
| 1.3 Pseudo deoxyribonucleic acid composition | |
| 1.3.1 Pseudo dinucleotide composition (PseDNC) | |
| 1.3.2 Pseudo k-tuple nucleotide composition (PseKNC) | 10 |
| 1.3.3 General parallel correlation pseudo dinucleotide | |
| (PC-PseDNC-General) | |
| 1.3.4 General parallel correlation pseudo trinucleotide | |
| (PC-PseTNC-General) | |
| 1.3.5 General series correlation pseudo dinucleotide | |
| (SC-PseDNC-General) | |
| 1.3.6 General series correlation pseudo trinucleotide | |
| (SC-PseTNC-General) | |
| 2. RNA | |
| 2.1 Ribonucleic acid composition | |
| 2.1.1 Basic kmer (Kmer) | |
| 2.1.2 Mismatch. | |
| 2.1.3 Subsequence | |
| 2.2 Autocorrelation | |
| 2.2.1 Dinucleotide-based auto covariance (DAC) | |
| 2.2.2 Dinucleotide-based cross covariance (DCC) | |
| 2.2.3 Dinucleotide-based auto-cross covariance (DACC) | 16 |
| 2.2.4 Moran autocorrelation (MAC) | |
| 2.2.5 Geary autocorrelation (GAC) | |
| 2.2.6 Normalized Moreau–Broto autocorrelation (NMBAC) | |
| 2.3 Pseudo ribonucleic acid composition | |
| 2.3.1 General parallel correlation pseudo dinucleotide | |
| (PC-PseDNC-General) | - |
| 2.3.2 General series correlation pseudo dinucleotide | |
| (SC-PseDNC-General) | _ |
| 2.4 Predicted structure composition | |
| 2.4.1 Local structure-sequence triplet element (Triplet) | |
| 2.4.1 Local structure-sequence triplet element (triplet) | 19 |

| 2.4.2 Pseudo-structure status composition (PseSSC) |
|---|
| 2.4.3 Pseudo-distance structure status pair composition (PseDPC)21 |
| 3. Protein |
| 3.1 Amino acid composition |
| 3.1.1 Basic kmer (Kmer) |
| 3.1.2 Distance-based Residue (DR) |
| 3.1.3 PseAAC of Distance-Pairs and reduced alphabet scheme (Distance Pair).22 |
| 3.2 Autocorrelation |
| 3.2.1 Auto covariance (AC) |
| 3.2.2 Cross covariance (CC) |
| 3.2.3 Auto-cross covariance (ACC) |
| 3.2.4 Physicochemical distance transformation (PDT)24 |
| 3.3 Pseudo amino acid composition |
| 3.3.1 Parallel correlation pseudo amino acid composition (PC-PseAAC)24 |
| 3.3.2 Series correlation pseudo amino acid composition (SC-PseAAC)26 |
| 3.3.3 General parallel correlation pseudo amino acid composition |
| (PC-PseAAC-General)28 |
| 3.3.4 General series correlation pseudo amino acid composition |
| (SC-PseAAC-General)29 |
| 3.4 Frequency Profile |
| 3.4.1 Top-n-gram30 |
| 3.4.2 Profile-based physicochemical distance transformation (PDT-Profile)30 |
| 3.4.3 Distance-based Top-n-gram (DT) |
| 3.4.4 Profile-based Auto covariance (AC-PSSM) |
| 3.4.5 Profile-based Cross covariance (CC-PSSM) |
| 3.4.6 Profile-based Auto-cross covariance (ACC-PSSM) |
| Table 1. The names of the 148 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (DNA)32 |
| Table 2. The names of the 12 physicochemical indices for trinucleotides (DNA)33 |
| Table 3. The names of the 90 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (DNA)33 |
| Table 4. The names of the 6 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (DNA)35 |
| Table 5. The names of the 22 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (RNA)35 |
| Table 6. The names of the 11 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (RNA)35 |
| Table 7. The names of the 547 physicochemical indices for amino acids |
| Table 8. The names of the 3 physicochemical indices for amino acids40 |
| Table 9. The names of the 2 physicochemical indices for amino acids40 |
| References |

1. DNA

1.1 Deoxyribonucleic acid composition

1.1.1 Basic kmer (Kmer)

Basic kmer (1) is the simplest approach to represent the DNAs, in which the DNA sequences are represented as the occurrence frequencies of k neighboring nucleic acids. This approach has been successfully applied to human gene regulatory sequence prediction (2,3), enhancer identification (1), etc.

1.1.2 Reverse complementary kmer (RevKmer)

The reverse complementary kmer (2,3) is a variant of the basic kmer, in which the kmers are not expected to be strand-specific, so reverse complements are collapsed into a single feature. For example, if k=2, there are totally 16 basic kmers ('AA', 'AC', 'AG', 'AT', 'CA', 'CC', 'CG', 'CT', 'GA', 'GC', 'GG', 'GT', 'TA', 'TC', 'TG', 'TT'), but by removing the reverse complementary kmers, there are only 10 distinct kmers in the reverse complementary kmer approach ('AA', 'AC', 'AG', 'AT', 'CA', 'CC', 'CG', 'GA', 'GC', 'TA'). For more information of this approach, please refer to (2,3).

1.1.3 Increment of diversity (IDKmer)

Suppose a DNA sequence **D** with *L* nucleic acid residues; i.e.

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{R}_3 \mathbf{R}_4 \mathbf{R}_5 \mathbf{R}_6 \mathbf{R}_7 \cdots \mathbf{R}_L \tag{1}$$

where R_1 represents the nucleic acid residue at the sequence position 1, R_2 the nucleic acid residue at position 2 and so forth.

The increment of diversity has been successfully applied in the prediction of exonintron splice sites for several model genomes (4), transcription start site prediction, and studying the organization of nucleosomes around splice sites (4). In this method, the sequence features are converted into the increment of diversity (ID), defined by the relation of sequence *X* with standard source *S*:

$$ID = Diversity(X + S) - Diversity(S) - Diversity(X)$$
 (2)

We obtain an r-dimensional feature vector. The feature vector \mathbf{R} is designed by the following considerations. The kmers are responsible for the discrimination between positive samples and negative samples, and therefore they construct the diversity sources. Based on this, 2 kmer-based increments of diversities $\mathrm{ID}_1(\mathrm{ID}_2)$ between sequence \mathbf{D} and the standard source in positive (negative) training set can be easily introduced as the feature vectors. For more information of this approach, please refer to (5), (6) and (7).

1.1.4 Mismatch

Mismatch (8-10) calculates the occurrences of a k-length neighboring nucleic acids that differ by at most m mismatches (m < k). For a 3-length subsequence "AAC", and max one mismatch, we need to consider 3 cases, "-AC", "A-C" and "AA-", "-" can be replaced by any nucleic acid residue. The mismatch feature vector of sequence **D** (**Eq.** 1) is defined:

$$f_{k,m}(\mathbf{D}) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{1,j}, \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{2,j}, ..., \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{4^{k},j}\right)$$
(3)

where $c_{i,j}$ represents the occurrences of *i*-th *k*-mer type in **D**, with *j* mismatches, $i = 1, 2, ..., 4^k$; j = 0, 1, ..., m.

1.1.5 Subsequence

Subsequence (8,10,11) is an approach that allows non-contiguous matching. For a 3-mer "AAC" in a sequence **D** (Eq. 1), we need to consider a pattern, "A*A*C", "*" can be replaced by 0 or more letters which represents nucleic acid residues, and when "*" represents 0, it represents an exact matching, or represents non-contiguous matching. For each subsequence, there is a dimension of the feature vector and the value of such coordinate depends on its occurrences, length l and a decay factor $\delta \in [0,1]$. The subsequence feature vector of sequence **D** is defined:

$$f_{k,m}(\mathbf{D}) = \left(\sum_{k-mer\ a_1\ in\ x} \delta^{l(a_1)}, \sum_{k-mer\ a_2\ in\ x} \delta^{l(a_2)}, ..., \sum_{k-mer\ a_{4^k}\ in\ x} \delta^{l(a_{4^k})}\right)$$
(4)

where

$$l(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha_i \text{ is exact matching;} \\ |\alpha_i|, & \alpha_i \text{ is non-contiguous matching.} \end{cases}$$
 (5)

 $|\alpha_i|$ represents the length of α_i , $i = 1, 2, ..., 4^k$.

1.2 Autocorrelation

1.2.1 Dinucleotide-based auto covariance (DAC)

The DAC (12-14) measures the correlation of the same physicochemical index between two dinucleotides separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated as:

$$DAC(u, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-1} (P_u(R_i R_{i+1}) - \overline{P}_u) (P_u(R_{i+lag} R_{i+lag+1}) - \overline{P}_u) / (L - lag - 1)$$
 (6)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the DNA sequence \mathbf{D} , $P_u(\mathbf{R}_i\mathbf{R}_{i+1})$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for the dinucleotide $\mathbf{R}_i\mathbf{R}_{i+1}$ at position i, \overline{P}_u is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{R}_{j+1}) / (L-1)$$
 (7)

In such a way, the length of DAC feature vector is N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 1**) extracted from two papers (14,15), and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

1.2.2 Dinucleotide-based cross covariance (DCC)

Given a DNA sequence \mathbf{D} (Eq. 1), the DCC (12,14) approach measures the correlation of two different physicochemical indices between two dinucleotides separated by lag nucleic acids along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$DCC(u_1, u_2, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-1} (P_{u_1}(R_i R_{i+1}) - \overline{P}_{u_1}) (P_{u_2}(R_{i+lag} R_{i+lag+1}) - \overline{P}_{u_2}) / (L - lag - 1)$$
 (8)

where u_1 , u_2 are two different physicochemical indices, L is the length of the DNA sequence, $P_{u_1}(R_iR_{i+1})$ ($P_{u_2}(R_iR_{i+1})$) is the numerical value of the physicochemical index $u_1(u_2)$ for the dinucleotide R_iR_{i+1} at position i, $\overline{P}_{u_1}(\overline{P}_{u_2})$ is the average value for physicochemical index value $u_1(u_2)$ along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{R}_{j+1}) / (L-1)$$
(9)

In such a way, the length of the DCC feature vector is $N^*(N-1)^*LAG$, where LAG is the maximum of lag (lag=1, 2, ..., LAG); N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 1**).

1.2.3 Dinucleotide-based auto-cross covariance (DACC)

DACC(12,14) is a combination of DAC and DCC. Therefore, the length of the DACC feature vector is N*N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 1**) and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

1.2.4 Trinucleotide-based auto covariance (TAC)

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the TAC approach (12-14) measures the correlation of the same physicochemical index between two trinucleotides separated by *lag* nucleic acids along the sequence, which can be calculated as:

$$TAC(lag, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-2} (P_u(R_i R_{i+1} R_{i+2}) - \overline{P}_u) (P_u(R_{i+lag} R_{i+lag+1} R_{i+lag+2}) - \overline{P}_u) / (L - lag - 2) \quad (10)$$

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the DNA sequence, $P_u(R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2})$ represents the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for the trinucleotide $R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2}$ at position i, \overline{P}_u is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-2} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{R}_{j+1} \mathbf{R}_{j+2}) / (L-2)$$
(11)

In such a way, the length of TAC feature vector is *N**LAG, where *N* is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 2**) extracted from (14), and LAG is the maximum of *lag* (lag=1, 2, ..., LAG).

1.2.5 Trinucleotide-based cross covariance (TCC)

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the TCC(12,14)approach measures the correlation of two different physicochemical indices between two trinucleotides separated by lag nucleic acids along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$TCC(u_1, u_2, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-2} (P_{u_1}(R_i R_{i+1} R_{i+2}) - \overline{P}_{u_1}) (P_{u_2}(R_{i+lag} R_{i+lag+1} R_{i+lag+2}) - \overline{P}_{u_2}) / (L-lag-2)$$
(12)

where u_1 , u_2 are two physicochemical indices; L is the length of the DNA sequence; $P_{u_1}(R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2})$ ($P_{u_2}(R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2})$) represents the numerical value of the physicochemical index u_1 (u_2) for the trinucleotide R_iR_{i+1} R_{i+2} at position i; $\overline{P}_{u_1}(\overline{P}_{u_2})$ is the average value for physicochemical index u_1 (u_2) along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{R}_{j+1} \mathbf{R}_{j+2}) / (L-2)$$
(13)

In such a way, the length of TCC feature vector is $N^*(N-1)^*LAG$, where N is the number of physicochemical index (**Table 2**) extracted from (14), and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

1.2.6 Trinucleotide-based auto-cross covariance (TACC)

TACC (12,14) is a combination of TAC and TCC. Therefore, the length of the TACC feature vector is N*N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 2**) extracted from (14), and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

1.2.7 Moran autocorrelation (MAC)

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the MAC (14,16) approach measures the correlation of the same properties between two residues separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$MAC(u,k,lag) = \frac{\left[1/\left(L - lag - k + 1\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{L - lag - k + 1} \left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)\left(P_{u}\left(x_{i + lag}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)}{\left(1/L - k + 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{L - k + 1} \left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(14)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the DNA sequence, x represents trinucleotide or dinucleotide. When x represents dinucleotide, the value of k is 2, its

corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 3**. When x represents trinucleotide, the value of k is 3, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 2**. $P_u(x)$ represents the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for x at position i, $\overline{P}_u(x)$ is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence. When lag = 1, the nearest neighbor correlations at a physicochemical property u are measured; When lag = 2, next second nearest neighbor correlation are considered, and so on.

1.2.8 Geary autocorrelation (GAC)

Given a DNA sequence **D** (Eq. 1), the GAC (14,17) approach measures the correlation of the same properties between two residues separated by a distance of lag along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

GAC(u,k,lag) =
$$\frac{\left[1/\left(L-lag-k+1\right)\right]\sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-k+1}\left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right)-P_{u}\left(x_{i+lag}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(1/L-k+1\right)\sum_{i=1}^{L-k+1}\left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right)-\overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(15)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the DNA sequence, x represents trinucleotide or dinucleotide. When x represents dinucleotide, the value of k is 2, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 3**. When x represents trinucleotide, the value of k is 3, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 2**. $P_u(x)$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for x at position i, $\overline{P}_u(x)$ is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence. When lag = 1, the nearest neighbor correlations at a physicochemical property u are measured; When lag = 2, next second nearest neighbor correlations are considered, and so on.

1.2.9 Normalized Moreau–Broto autocorrelation (NMBAC)

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the NMBAC (14,18) approach measures the correlation of the same properties between two residues separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

NMBAC(u, k, lag) =
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-k+1} (P_u(x_i) \times P_u(x_{i+lag}))^2}{L-k-lag+1}$$
 (16)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the DNA sequence, x represents trinucleotide or dinucleotide. When x represents dinucleotide, the value of k is 2, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 3**. When x represents trinucleotide, the value of k is 3, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 2**. $P_u(x)$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for x at position i. When lag = 1, the nearest neighbor correlations at a physicochemical property u are measured; When lag = 2, next second nearest neighbor correlations are considered, and so on.

1.3 Pseudo deoxyribonucleic acid composition

1.3.1 Pseudo dinucleotide composition (PseDNC)

PseDNC (19) is an approach incorporating the contiguous local sequence-order information and the global sequence-order information into the feature vector of the DNA sequence.

Given a DNA sequence **D** (Eq. 1), the PseDNC feature vector of **D** is defined:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{16} & d_{16+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (17)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 16) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-16}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (17 \le k \le 16 + \lambda) \end{cases}$$

$$(18)$$

where f_k (k=1,2,···,16) is the normalized occurrence frequency of dinucleotides in the DNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a DNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranged from 0 to 1; θ_j (j=1,2,···, λ) is called the j-tier correlation factor that reflects the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along a DNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{i+1} \mathbf{R}_{i+2}) \\ \theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{i+2} \mathbf{R}_{i+3}) \\ \theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{i+3} \mathbf{R}_{i+4}) \\ \dots \\ \theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-1-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1-\lambda} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{i+\lambda} \mathbf{R}_{i+\lambda+1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(19)$$

where the correlation function is given by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{u=1}^{\mu} [P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}) - P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1})]^{2}$$
(20)

where μ is the number of physicochemical indices, in this approach, 6 indices reflecting the local DNA structural properties (19) (**Table 4**) are employed to generate the PseDNC feature vector; $P_u(R_iR_{i+1})$ ($P_u(R_jR_{j+1})$) represents the numerical value of the u-th ($u = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) physicochemical index of the dinucleotide R_iR_{i+1} (R_jR_{j+1}) at position i (j).

1.3.2 Pseudo k-tuple nucleotide composition (PseKNC)

PseKNC (20,21) extends the PseDNC approach by incorporating k-tuple nucleotide composition.

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the feature vector of **D** is defined:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{4^k} & d_{4^{k+1}} & \cdots & d_{4^k + \lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (21)

where

$$d_{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{u}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4^{k}} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \leq u \leq 4^{k}) \\ \frac{w \theta_{u-4^{k}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4^{k}} f_{i} + w \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (4^{k} \leq u \leq 4^{k} + \lambda) \end{cases}$$

$$(22)$$

where λ is the number of the total counted ranks (or tiers) of the correlations along a DNA sequence; f_u (u=1,2,...,4 k) is the frequency of oligonucleotide that is normalized to $\sum_{i=1}^{4^k} f_i = 1$; w is a weight factor; θ_j is given by

$$\theta_{j} = \frac{1}{L - j - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{L - j - 1} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{i+j} \mathbf{R}_{i+j+1}) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda; \lambda < L)$$
(23)

which represents the *j*-tier structural correlation factor between all the *j*-th most contiguous dinucleotides. The correlation function $\Theta(R_i R_{i+1}, R_{i+j} R_{i+j+1})$ is defined by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{i+j}\mathbf{R}_{i+j+1}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} [P_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}) - P_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}_{i+j}\mathbf{R}_{i+j+1})]^{2}$$
(24)

where μ is the number of physicochemical indices, in this study, 6 indices reflecting the local DNA structural properties (19) (**Table 4**) are employed to generate the PseKNC feature vector; $P_{\nu}(R_{i}R_{i+1})$ ($P_{\nu}(R_{i+j}R_{i+j+1})$) represents the numerical value of the ν -th ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) physicochemical index for the dinucleotide $R_{i}R_{i+1}$ ($R_{i+j}R_{i+j+1}$) at position i (i+j).

For more information about this approach, please refer to (20,21).

1.3.3 General parallel correlation pseudo dinucleotide composition (PC-PseDNC-General)

In PC-PseDNC-General (22) approach, the users cannot only select the 148 built-in physiochemical indices (**Table 1**), but also can upload their own indices to generate the PC-PseDNC-General feature vector.

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the PC-PseDNC-General feature vector of **D** is defined:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{16} & d_{16+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (25)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 16) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-16}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (16 + 1 \le k \le 16 + \lambda) \end{cases}$$
(26)

where f_k (k=1,2,···,16) is the normalized occurrence frequency of dinucleotides in the DNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a DNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; θ_j (j=1, 2, ···, λ) is called the j-tier correlation factor that reflects the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along a DNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+1}R_{i+2}) \\ \theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+2}R_{i+3}) \\ \theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+3}R_{i+4}) \\ \dots \\ \theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-1-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1-\lambda} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+\lambda}R_{i+\lambda+1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(27)$$

where the correlation function is given by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{u=1}^{\mu} [P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}) - P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1})]^{2}$$
(28)

where μ is the number of physicochemical indices listed in the **Table 1**; $P_u(R_iR_{i+1})$ ($P_u(R_jR_{j+1})$) represents the numerical value of the u-th ($u = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) physicochemical index for the dinucleotide R_iR_{i+1} (R_iR_{i+1}) at position i (j).

1.3.4 General parallel correlation pseudo trinucleotide composition (PC-PseTNC-General)

In PC-PseTNC-General (22) approach, the users cannot only select the 12 built-in physiochemical indices (**Table 2**), but also can upload their own indices to generate the PC-PseTNC-General feature vector.

Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the PC-PseTNC-General feature vector of **D** is defined:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{64} & d_{64+1} & \cdots & d_{64+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (29)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{64} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 64) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-64}}{\sum_{i=1}^{64} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (64+1 \le k \le 64 + \lambda) \end{cases}$$

$$(30)$$

where f_k (k=1,2,···,64) is the normalized occurrence frequency of trinucleotide in the DNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a DNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; θ_j (j=1, 2, ···, λ) is called the j-tier correlation factor that reflects the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous trinucleotides along a DNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1} R_{i+2}, R_{i+1}R_{i+2}R_{i+3}) \\ \theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1} R_{i+2}, R_{i+2}R_{i+3}R_{i+4}) \\ \theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-5} \sum_{i=1}^{L-5} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1} R_{i+2}, R_{i+3}R_{i+4}R_{i+5}) \\ \dots \\ \theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-2-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2-\lambda} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1} R_{i+2}, R_{i+\lambda}R_{i+\lambda+1}R_{i+\lambda+2}) \end{cases}$$

$$(31)$$

where the correlation function is given by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}\mathbf{R}_{i+2},\mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1}\mathbf{R}_{j+2}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{u=1}^{\mu} [P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}\mathbf{R}_{i+2}) - P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1}\mathbf{R}_{j+2})]^{2}$$
(32)

where μ is the number of physicochemical indices considered that are listed in the **Table 2**; $P_u(R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2})$ ($P_u(R_jR_{j+1}R_{j+2})$) represents the numerical value of the u-th ($u = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) physicochemical index for the tri-nucleotide $R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2}$ ($R_jR_{j+1}R_{j+2}$) at position i (j).

1.3.5 General series correlation pseudo dinucleotide composition (SC-PseDNC-General)

SC-PseDNC-General (22) is a variant of PC-PseDNC-General, which differs in the equations of calculating the correlation factors reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along a DNA sequence. Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the SC-PseDNC-General feature vector of **D** is defined:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{16} & d_{16+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda} & d_{16+\lambda+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda\Lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
(33)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 16) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-16}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \theta_{j}} & (17 \le k \le 16 + \lambda \Lambda) \end{cases}$$
(34)

where f_k (k=1, 2, ···, 16) is the normalized occurrence frequency of dinucleotide in the DNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a DNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; Λ is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 1**); θ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$) is

called the *j*-tier correlation factor that reflects the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along a DNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases}
\theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L - 3} \sum_{i=1}^{L - 3} J_{i,i+1}^{1} \\
\theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L - 3} \sum_{i=1}^{L - 3} J_{i,i+1}^{2} \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{L - 3} \sum_{i=1}^{L - 3} J_{i,i+1}^{\Lambda} \qquad \lambda < (L - 2) \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\lambda \Lambda - 1} = \frac{1}{L - \lambda - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{L - \lambda - 2} J_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda - 1} \\
\theta_{\lambda \Lambda} = \frac{1}{L - \lambda - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{L - \lambda - 2} J_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda}
\end{cases}$$
(35)

The correlation function is given by

$$J_{i,i+m}^{u} = P_{u}(R_{i}R_{i+1}) \cdot P_{u}(R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1}) \quad (u = 1, 2, \dots, \Lambda; \ m = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda; \ i = 1, 2, \dots, L-m-1)$$
 (36) where $P_{u}(R_{i}R_{i+1}) (P_{u}(R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1}))$ represents the numerical value of the u -th $(u = 1, 2, \dots, \mu)$ physiochemical index for the dinucleotide $R_{i}R_{i+1}(R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1})$ at position i $(i+m)$.

1.3.6 General series correlation pseudo trinucleotide composition (SC-PseTNC-General)

SC-PseTNC-General (22) is a variant of PC-PseTNC-General, which differs in the equations of calculating the correlation factors reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along a DNA sequence. Given a DNA sequence **D** (**Eq. 1**), the SC-PseTNC-General feature vector of **D** is defined:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{64} & d_{64+1} & \cdots & d_{64+\lambda} & d_{64+\lambda+1} & \cdots & d_{64+\lambda \Lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
(37)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{64} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 64) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-64}}{\sum_{i=1}^{64} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \theta_{j}} & (64 + 1 \le k \le 64 + \lambda \Lambda) \end{cases}$$
(38)

where f_k (k=1, 2, ..., 64) is the normalized occurrence frequency of trinucleotide in the DNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a DNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; Λ is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 2**); θ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$) is called the j-tier correlation factor reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous trinucleotides along a DNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases}
\theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} J_{i,i+1}^{1} \\
\theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} J_{i,i+1}^{2} \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} J_{i,i+1}^{\Lambda} \qquad \lambda < (L-3) \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\lambda\Lambda-1} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda-3} J_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda-1} \\
\theta_{\lambda\Lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda-3} J_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda}
\end{cases}$$
(39)

The correlation function is given by

$$\begin{cases}
J_{i,i+m}^{u} = P_{u}(R_{i}R_{i+1}R_{i+2}) \cdot P_{u}(R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1}R_{i+m+2}) \\
u = 1, 2, \dots, \Lambda; \ m = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda; \ i = 1, 2, \dots, L - m - 2
\end{cases}$$
(40)

where $P_u(R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2})$ ($P_u(R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1}R_{i+m+2})$) represents the numerical value of the u-th ($u = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) physiochemical index for the tri-nucleotide $R_iR_{i+1}R_{i+2}$ ($R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1}R_{i+m+2}$) at position i (i+m).

2. RNA

2.1 Ribonucleic acid composition

2.1.1 Basic kmer (Kmer)

Basic kmer (23) is the simplest approach to represent the RNAs, in which the RNA sequences are represented as the occurrence frequencies of k neighboring nucleic acids.

2.1.2 Mismatch

Suppose an RNA sequence \mathbf{R} with L nucleic acid residues; i.e.

$$\mathbf{R} = R_1 R_2 R_3 R_4 R_5 R_6 R_7 \cdots R_L \tag{41}$$

where R_1 represents the nucleic acid residue at the sequence position 1, R_2 the nucleic acid residue at position 2, and so forth.

Mismatch (8-10) calculates the occurrences of a k-length neighboring nucleic acids that differ by at most m mismatches (m < k). For a 3-length subsequence "AAC", and max one mismatch, we need to consider 3 cases, "-AC", "A-C" and "AA-", "-" can be replaced by any nucleic acid residue. The mismatch feature vector of sequence \mathbf{R} is defined:

$$f_{k,m}(\mathbf{R}) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{1,j}, \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{2,j}, ..., \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{4^{k},j}\right)$$
(42)

where $c_{i,j}$ represents the occurrences of *i*-th *k*-mer type in **R**, with *j* mismatches, $i = 1, 2, ..., 4^k$; j = 0, 1, ..., m.

2.1.3 Subsequence

Subsequence (8,10,11) is an approach that allows non-contiguous matching. For a 3-mer "AAC" in a sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), we need to consider a pattern, "A*A*C", "*" can be replaced by 0 or more letters which represents nucleic acid residues, and when "*" represents 0, it represents an exact matching, or represents non-contiguous matching. For each subsequence, there is a dimension of the feature vector and the value of such coordinate depends on its occurrences, length l and a decay factor $\delta \in [0,1]$. The subsequence feature vector of sequence **R** is defined:

$$f_{k,m}(x) = \left(\sum_{k-mer\ a_1 \ in \ x} \delta^{l(a_1)}, \sum_{k-mer\ a_2 \ in \ x} \delta^{l(a_2)}, ..., \sum_{k-mer\ a_{4^k} \ in \ x} \delta^{l(a_{4^k})}\right)$$
(43)

where

$$l(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha_i \text{ is exact matching;} \\ |\alpha_i|, & \alpha_i \text{ is non-contiguous matching.} \end{cases}$$
 (44)

 $|\alpha_i|$ represents the length of α_i , $i=1, 2, ..., 4^k$

2.2 Autocorrelation

2.2.1 Dinucleotide-based auto covariance (DAC)

The DAC(12-14) measures the correlation of the same physicochemical index between two dinucleotides separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated as:

$$DAC(u, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-1} (P_u(R_i R_{i+1}) - \overline{P}_u) (P_u(R_{i+lag} R_{i+lag+1}) - \overline{P}_u) / (L - lag - 1)$$
 (45)

where u is a physicochemical index; L is the length of the RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), $P_u(R_iR_{i+1})$ ($P_u(R_{i+lag}R_{i+lag+1})$) means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for the dinucleotide R_iR_{i+1} ($R_{i+lag}R_{i+lag+1}$) at position i (j), \overline{P}_u is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{R}_{j+1}) / (L-1)$$
(46)

In such a way, the length of DAC feature vector is N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 5**), which are extracted from (14,15), and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

2.2.2 Dinucleotide-based cross covariance (DCC)

Given an RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), the DCC (12,14) approach measures the correlation of two different physicochemical indices between two dinucleotides separated by *lag* nucleic acids along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$DCC(u_1, u_2, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-1} (P_{u_1}(R_i R_{i+1}) - \overline{P}_{u_1}) (P_{u_2}(R_{i+lag} R_{i+lag+1}) - \overline{P}_{u_2}) / (L - lag - 1)$$
 (47)

where u_1 , u_2 are two different physicochemical indices, L is the length of the RNA sequence, $P_{u_1}(R_iR_{i+1})$ ($P_{u_2}(R_iR_{i+1})$) is the numerical value of the physicochemical index u_1 (u_2) for the dinucleotide R_iR_{i+1} at position i, $\overline{P}_{u_1}(\overline{P}_{u_2})$ is the average value for physicochemical index value u_1 (u_2) along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{R}_{j+1}) / (L-1)$$
(48)

In such a way, the length of the DCC feature vector is $N^*(N-1)^*LAG$, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 5**) and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag=1, 2, ..., LAG).

2.2.3 Dinucleotide-based auto-cross covariance (DACC)

DACC (12,14) is a combination of DAC and DCC. Therefore, the length of the DACC feature vector is N*N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 5**) and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

2.2.4 Moran autocorrelation (MAC)

Given a RNA sequence \mathbf{R} (Eq. 41), the MAC (14,16) approach measures the correlation of the same properties between two residues separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$MAC(u, k, lag) = \frac{\left[1/\left(L - lag - k + 1\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{L - lag - k + 1} \left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)\left(P_{u}\left(x_{i + lag}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)}{\left(1/L - k + 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{L - k + 1} \left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(49)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the RNA sequence, x represents dinucleotide, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 6**. $P_u(x)$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for x at position i, $\overline{P}_u(x)$ is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence. When lag = 1, the nearest neighbor correlations at a physicochemical property u are measured; When lag = 2, next second nearest neighbor correlations are considered, and so on.

2.2.5 Geary autocorrelation (GAC)

Given a RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), the GAC (14,17) approach measures the correlation of the same properties between two residues separated by a distance of *lag*

along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

GAC(u,k,lag) =
$$\frac{\left[1/\left(L - lag - k + 1\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{L - lag - k + 1} \left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right) - P_{u}\left(x_{i+lag}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(1/L - k + 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{L - k + 1} \left(P_{u}\left(x_{i}\right) - \overline{P}_{u}\left(x\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(50)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the RNA sequence, x represents dinucleotide, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 6**. $P_u(x)$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for x at position i, $\overline{P_u(x)}$ is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence. When lag = 1, the nearest neighbor correlations at a physicochemical property u are measured; When lag = 2, next second nearest neighbor correlations are considered, and so on.

2.2.6 Normalized Moreau–Broto autocorrelation (NMBAC)

Given a RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), the NMBAC (14,18) approach measures the correlation of the same properties between two residues separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$NMBAC(u, lag) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L-lag-1} \left(P_u\left(x_i\right) \times P_u\left(x_{i+lag}\right)\right)^2}{L-lag-1}$$
(51)

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the RNA sequence, x represents dinucleotide, its corresponding physicochemical indices are listed in **Table 6**. $P_u(x)$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for x at position i. When lag = 1, the nearest neighbor correlations at a physicochemical property u are measured; When lag = 2, next second nearest neighbor correlations are considered, and so on.

2.3 Pseudo ribonucleic acid composition

2.3.1 General parallel correlation pseudo dinucleotide composition (PC-PseDNC-General)

In PC-PseDNC-General (14) approach, the users cannot only select the 22 built-in physiochemical indices (**Table 5**), but also can upload their own indices to generate the PC-PseDNC-General feature vector.

Given an RNA sequence \mathbf{R} (Eq. 41), the PC-PseDNC-General feature vector of \mathbf{R} is defined:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{16} & d_{16+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (52)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 16) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-16}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (16 + 1 \le k \le 16 + \lambda) \end{cases}$$
(53)

where f_k (k=1,2,···,16) is the normalized occurrence frequency of dinucleotide in the RNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a RNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; θ_j (j=1, 2, ···, λ) is called the j-tier correlation factor reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along an RNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+1}R_{i+2}) \\ \theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+2}R_{i+3}) \\ \theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-4} \sum_{i=1}^{L-4} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+3}R_{i+4}) \\ \dots \\ \theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-1-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1-\lambda} \Theta(R_{i}R_{i+1}, R_{i+\lambda}R_{i+\lambda+1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(54)$$

where the correlation function is given by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}, \mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{u=1}^{\mu} [P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{i}\mathbf{R}_{i+1}) - P_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{j}\mathbf{R}_{j+1})]^{2}$$
(55)

where μ is the number of physicochemical indices considered that are listed in the **Table 5**; $P_u(R_iR_{i+1})$ ($P_u(R_jR_{j+1})$) represents the numerical value of the u-th ($u = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) physicochemical index for the dinucleotide $R_iR_{i+1}(R_jR_{j+1})$ at position i (j).

2.3.2 General series correlation pseudo dinucleotide composition (SC-PseDNC-General)

SC-PseDNC-General (14) is a variant of PC-PseDNC-General, which differs in the equations of calculating the correlation factors reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along an RNA sequence. Given an RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), the SC-PseDNC-General feature vector of **R** is defined:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{16} & d_{16+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda} & d_{16+\lambda+1} & \cdots & d_{16+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (56)

where

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le k \le 16) \\ \frac{w \theta_{k-16}}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \theta_{j}} & (16 + 1 \le k \le 16 + \lambda \Lambda) \end{cases}$$
(57)

where f_k (k=1, 2, ···, 16) is the normalized occurrence frequency of dinucleotides in the RNA sequence; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along an RNA sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; Λ is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 5**); θ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$) is called the j-tier correlation factor reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous dinucleotides along an RNA sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases}
\theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} J_{i,i+1}^{1} \\
\theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} J_{i,i+1}^{2} \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} J_{i,i+1}^{\Lambda} \qquad \lambda < (L-2) \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\lambda \Lambda-1} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda-2} J_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda-1} \\
\theta_{\lambda \Lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda-2} J_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda}
\end{cases}$$
(58)

The correlation function is given by

$$\begin{cases}
J_{i,i+m}^{u} = P_{u}(R_{i}R_{i+1}) \cdot P_{u}(R_{i+m}R_{i+m+1}) \\
u = 1, 2, \dots, \Lambda; \ m = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda; \ i = 1, 2, \dots, L - \lambda - 2
\end{cases}$$
(59)

 $P_u(\mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{R}_{i+1}) (P_u(\mathbf{R}_{i+m} \mathbf{R}_{i+m+1}))$ represents the numerical value of the *u*-th $(u=1, 2, \dots, \mu)$ physiochemical index for the dinucleotide $\mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{R}_{i+1} (\mathbf{R}_{i+m} \mathbf{R}_{i+m+1})$ at position i (i+m).

2.4 Predicted structure composition

2.4.1 Local structure-sequence triplet element (Triplet)

The Triplet(24) is an early approach to use the structure information of RNA sequences, and showed better performance for microRNA identification compared with other sequence-based methods.

Given an RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), formulating it according to its secondary structure derived from the Vienna RNA software package (25) (released 2.1.6), we have

$$\mathbf{R} = \Psi_1 \Psi_2 \Psi_3 \Psi_4 \Psi_5 \cdots \Psi_L \tag{60}$$

where Ψ_1 denotes the structure status of R_1 , Ψ_2 the structure status of R_2 , and so forth.

In the predicted secondary structure, there are only two statuses for each nucleotide, paired or unpaired, indicated by brackets "("or")" and dots ".", respectively. The left bracket "(" means that the paired nucleotide is located near the 5'-end and can be paired with another nucleotide at the 3'-end, which is indicated by a right bracket ")". We don't distinguish these two situations and use "(" for both situations. For any 3 adjacent nucleotides, there are 8 (2^3) possible structure compositions: "(((", "(.", "(.", "(.", "(.", "(.", ".(", "

Therefore, Triplet approach formulates a feature vector containing 32 (4×8) components as given by

$$\mathbf{D} = [f_{\mathbf{A}}("(((") \ f_{\mathbf{A}}("((") \ \cdots \ f_{\mathbf{A}}("...") \ f_{\mathbf{C}}("(((") \ \cdots \ f_{\mathbf{U}}("...") \]^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(61)

where $\,f\,$ represents the normalized occurrence frequency of the structure-sequence compositions.

2.4.2 Pseudo-structure status composition (PseSSC)

Given an RNA sequence **R** (**Eq. 41**), we can formulate its secondary structure as **Eq. 60**. They can be any of the 10 structure statuses; i.e.,

$$\Psi_{i} \in \{A, C, G, U, A-U, U-A, G-C, C-G, G-U, U-G\}$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, L$$
(62)

where A, C, G, U represent the structure statuses of the four unpaired nucleobases, while A–U, U–A, G–C, C–G, G–U, U–G represent the structure statuses of the six paired bases.

The PseSSC (26) approach formulates a feature vector containing $10^n + \lambda$ components as given by

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1^* & f_2^{**} & f_3^{**} & \cdots & f_{10^n}^{**} & f_{10^{n+1}}^{**} & \cdots & f_{10^n + \lambda}^{**} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
 (63)

where

$$f^* = \begin{cases} \frac{f_u}{\sum_{i=1}^{10^n} f_i + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_j} & (1 \le u \le 10^n) \\ \frac{w\theta_{u-10^n}}{\sum_{i=1}^{10^n} f_i + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_j} & (10^n + 1 \le u \le 10^n + \lambda) \end{cases}$$
(64)

where f_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 10^n$) represents the normalized occurrence frequency of the structure status combination of n adjacent nucleobases, w is the weight factor used to adjust the effect of the correlation factors, and θ_j is the j-tier sequence correlation factor given by

$$\begin{cases}
\theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \Theta(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i+1}) \\
\theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \Theta(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i+2}) \\
\theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i+3}) \\
\dots \\
\theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} \Theta(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i+\lambda})
\end{cases} (\lambda < L)$$
(65)

where λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the structural correlation along an RNA chain; θ_i is the *i*th-tier correlation factor reflecting the structure-order information between all the *i*th most contiguous bases along an RNA chain, and the correlation function $\Theta(\Psi_i, \Psi_j)$ is given by

$$\Theta\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j}\right) = \left[F(\Psi_{i}) - F(\Psi_{j})\right]^{2}$$
(66)

where $F(\Psi_i)$ is the free energy of the structure status Ψ_i of the nucleobase at position i, and $F(\Psi_j)$ is the free energy of the structure status Ψ_j of the nucleobase at position j.

2.4.3 Pseudo-distance structure status pair composition (PseDPC)

Given an RNA sequence \mathbf{R} (Eq. 41), its feature vector (Eq. 60) can also be formulated as follows. In order to capture the structure-order information of the RNA sequence \mathbf{R} , a concept called the occurrences of "distance structure status pair" or just "distance-pair" has been proposed, as formulated by

$$\begin{cases}
D(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} | 0) & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } i = j \\
D(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} | 1) & \text{if } k = 1 \\
D(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} | 2) & \text{if } k = 2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
D(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} | L - 1) & \text{if } k = L - 1
\end{cases}$$
(67)

where Ψ_i and Ψ_j can be any of the 10 structure statuses of an RNA chain **R** (cf. **Eq. 62**), and k ($0 \le k \le L - 1$) represents the distance between structure statuses Ψ_i and Ψ_j along the RNA chain **R**. Suppose Ψ_i is A–U, Ψ_j is U–G, and k = 3, then D(A-U,U-G|3) means the structure status pair (A–U, U–G) with its two counterparts separated by two nucleotides along the RNA chain **R**.

The approach PseDPC (27) formulates a feature vector as below:

$$[d_1 d_2 d_3 ... d_u ... d_{\Omega} d_{\Omega+1} d_{\Omega+2} ... d_{\Omega+\lambda}]^T$$
(68)

where

$$d_{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{u}^{d} \int_{u}^{d} (1 \leq u \leq \Omega)}{1 + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \leq u \leq \Omega) \\ \frac{w \theta_{u - \Omega}}{1 + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (\Omega + 1 \leq u \leq \Omega + \lambda) \end{cases}$$

$$(69)$$

where θ_j is the *j*-tier sequence correlation factor computed by **Eq. 65**, w is the weight factor used to adjust the effect of the correlation factors, $\Omega = 10 + 100n$, where n represents the maximum distance between two structure statuses, and f_u is the occurrences of the distance-pairs $D(\Psi_i, \Psi_i|k)$ calculated by

$$f_{u} = \begin{cases} f\left(D\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} \mid 0\right)\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq u \leq 10 \\ f\left(D\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} \mid 1\right)\right) & \text{if } 11 \leq u \leq 110 \\ f\left(D\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} \mid 2\right)\right) & \text{if } 111 \leq u \leq 210 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f\left(D\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j} \mid n\right)\right) & \text{if } 10 + 100(n-1) \leq u \leq 10 + 100n \end{cases}$$

$$(70)$$

3. Protein

3.1 Amino acid composition

3.1.1 Basic kmer (Kmer)

Basic kmer (28) is the simplest approach to represent the proteins, in which the protein sequences are represented as the occurrence frequencies of k neighboring amino acids.

3.1.2 Distance-based Residue (DR)

Distance-based Residue (29) is a sequence-based method, in which the feature vector representation for protein is based on the distance between residue pairs. The proposed feature vectors was calculated by counting the occurrences of all possible residue pairs within a certain distance threshold. The dimension of the feature vector is $20 + 20 * 20 * d_{MAX}$, where 20 is the size of the alphabet of amino acids and d_{MAX} is the distance threshold which representing the maximum distance between residue pairs. For more information of this approach, please refer to (29).

3.1.3 PseAAC of Distance-Pairs and reduced alphabet scheme (Distance Pair)

PseAAC of Distance-Pairs and reduced alphabet scheme (30) is a sequenced-based method, in which the feature vector representation for protein is based on reduced alphabet scheme and the distance between residue pairs. The proposed reduced alphabet approach can significantly cut down the dimension of the PseAAC vector and improve the predictive performance. The dimension of the feature vector is $n + dn^2$, where n represents the number of clusters for a given profile, d is the distance threshold which representing the maximum distance between residue pairs. The reduced alphabet used here is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} cp(13) = \{MF; IL; V; A; C; WYQHP; G; T; S; N; RK; D; E\} \\ cp(14) = \{IMV; L; F; WY; G; P; C; A; S; T; N; HRKQ; E; D\} \\ cp(19) = \{P; G; E; K; R; Q; D; S; N; T; H; C; I; V; W; YF; A; L; M\} \\ cp(20) = \{A; C; D; E; F; G; H; I; K; L; M; N; P; Q; R; S; T; V; W; Y\} \end{cases}$$

$$(71)$$

For more information of this approach, please refer to (30).

3.2 Autocorrelation

3.2.1 Auto covariance (AC)

Suppose a protein sequence **P** with *L* amino acid residues; i.e.

$$\mathbf{P} = R_1 R_2 R_3 R_4 R_5 R_6 R_7 \cdots R_L \tag{72}$$

where R_1 represents the amino acid residue at the sequence position 1, R_2 the amino acid residue at position 2 and so forth.

The AC (12,13,31) approach measures the correlation of the same property between two residues separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated as:

$$AC(i, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag} (P_u(\mathbf{R}_i) - \overline{P}_u)(P_u(\mathbf{R}_{i+lag}) - \overline{P}_u) / (L - lag)$$

$$(73)$$

where u is a physicochemical index, L is the length of the protein sequence, $P_u(\mathbf{R}_i)$ means the numerical value of the physicochemical index u for the amino acid \mathbf{R}_i at position i, \overline{P}_u is the average value for physicochemical index u along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j) / L \tag{74}$$

In such a way, the length of AC feature vector is N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 7**) extracted from AAindex (32); LG is the maximum of lag (lag=1,2,...,LG).

For more information of this approach, please refer to (12,13).

3.2.2 Cross covariance (CC)

Given a protein sequence P (Eq. 72), the CC (12,13,31) approach measures the correlation of two different properties between two residues separated by a distance of lag along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$CC(u_1, u_2, lag) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-lag} (P_{u_1}(R_i) - \overline{P}_{u_1})(P_{u_2}(R_{i+lag}) - \overline{P}_{u_2}) / (L - lag)$$
 (75)

where u_1 , u_2 are two different physicochemical indices, L is the length of the protein sequence, $P_{u_1}(\mathbf{R}_i)$ ($P_{u_2}(\mathbf{R}_{i+lag})$) is the numerical value of the physicochemical index u_1

(u_2) for the amino acid R_i (R_{i+lag}) at position i (i+lag), \overline{P}_{u_1} (\overline{P}_{u_2}) is the average value for physicochemical index value u_1 (u_2) along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{P_u} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P_u(\mathbf{R}_j) / L \tag{76}$$

In such a way, the length of the CC feature vector is N*(N-1)*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 7**) and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag=1, 2, ..., LAG).

For more information of this approach, please refer to (12,13).

3.2.3 Auto-cross covariance (ACC)

ACC (12,13,31) is a combination of AC and CC. Therefore, the length of the ACC feature vector is N*N*LAG, where N is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 7**) and LAG is the maximum of lag (lag = 1, 2, ..., LAG).

3.2.4 Physicochemical distance transformation (PDT)

Physicochemical distance transformation (PDT) (33) is able to incorporate the sequence-order effects into prediction. Each protein sequence is converted into a series of numbers by using physicochemical property scores in the amino acid index (AAIndex) (34), and then the sequence is converted into a fixed length vector by PDT. 547 different physicochemical properties were used in this approach as shown in **Table 7**. For more information of this approach, please refer to (33).

3.3 Pseudo amino acid composition

3.3.1 Parallel correlation pseudo amino acid composition (PC-PseAAC)

PC-PseAAC (35) is an approach incorporating the contiguous local sequence-order information and the global sequence-order information into the feature vector of the protein sequence.

Given a Protein sequence **P** (**Eq. 72**), the PC-PseAAC feature vector of **P** is defined:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{20} & x_{20+1} & \cdots & x_{20+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
 (77)

where

$$x_{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{u}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le u \le 20) \\ \frac{w \theta_{u-20}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (20 + 1 \le u \le 20 + \lambda) \end{cases}$$
 (78)

where f_i (i=1,2,···,20) is the normalized occurrence frequency of the 20 amino acids in the protein **P**; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a protein sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; θ_j (j=1,2,···, λ) is called the j-tier correlation factor reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the j-th most contiguous residues along a protein chain, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+1}) \\ \theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+2}) \\ \theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+3}) \\ \dots \\ \theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+\lambda}) \end{cases}$$

$$(79)$$

where the correlation function is given by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{j}) = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \left[H_{1}(\mathbf{R}_{j}) - H_{1}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) \right]^{2} + \left[H_{2}(\mathbf{R}_{j}) - H_{2}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) \right]^{2} + \left[M(\mathbf{R}_{j}) - M(\mathbf{R}_{i}) \right]^{2} \right\}$$
(80)

where $H_1(R_i)$, $H_2(R_i)$, and $M(R_i)$ are, respectively, the hydrophobicity value, hydrophilicity value, and side-chain mass (**Table 8**) of the amino acid R_i ; Note that before substituting the values of hydrophobicity, hydrophilicity, and side-chain mass into **Eq. 80**, they are all subjected to a standard conversion as described by the following equation:

$$H_{1}(i) = \frac{H_{1}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{1}^{0}(i)}{20}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \left[H_{1}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{1}^{0}(i)}{20} \right]^{2}}{20}}}{20}}$$

$$H_{2}(i) = \frac{H_{2}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{2}^{0}(i)}{20}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \left[H_{2}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{2}^{0}(i)}{20} \right]^{2}}{20}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \left[H_{2}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{2}^{0}(i)}{20} \right]^{2}}{20}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \left[M^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{M^{0}(i)}{20} \right]^{2}}{20}}}}$$

$$M(i) = \frac{M^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{M^{0}(i)}{20}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \left[M^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{M^{0}(i)}{20} \right]^{2}}}}{20}}$$

where $H_1^0(i)$ is the original hydrophobicity value of the *i*-th amino acid; $H_2^0(i)$ the corresponding original hydrophilicity value; $M^0(i)$ the mass of the *i*-th amino acid side chain.

3.3.2 Series correlation pseudo amino acid composition (SC-PseAAC)

SC-PseAAC (36) is a variant of PC-PseAAC. Given a protein sequence **P** (**Eq. 72**), the SC-PseAAC feature vector of **P** is defined:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{20} & p_{20+1} & \cdots & p_{20+\lambda} & p_{20+\lambda+1} & \cdots & p_{20+2\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
(82)

where

$$p_{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{u}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{2\lambda} \tau_{j}} & (1 \le u \le 20) \\ \frac{w \tau_{u-20}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{2\lambda} \tau_{j}} & (20 + 1 \le u \le 20 + 2\lambda) \end{cases}$$
(83)

where f_i (i = 1, 2,..., 20) is the normalized occurrence frequency of the 20 native amino acids in the protein **P**; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a protein sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; τ_j the j-tier sequence-correlation factor that reflects the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous residues along a protein sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} H_{i,i+1}^{1} \\
& \tau_{2} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} H_{i,i+1}^{2} \\
& \tau_{3} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} H_{i,i+2}^{1} \\
& \tau_{4} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} H_{i,i+2}^{2} \\
& \dots \\
& \tau_{2\lambda-1} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} H_{i,i+\lambda}^{1} \\
& \tau_{2\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} H_{i,i+\lambda}^{2}
\end{aligned}$$
(84)

where $H_{i,j}^1$ and $H_{i,j}^2$ are the hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity correlation functions given by

$$\begin{cases}
H_{i,j}^{1} = h^{1}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) \cdot h^{1}(\mathbf{R}_{j}) \\
H_{i,j}^{2} = h^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) \cdot h^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{j})
\end{cases}$$
(85)

where $h^1(\mathbf{R}_i)$ and $h^2(\mathbf{R}_i)$ are, respectively, the hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity values(**Table 9**) for the *i*-th (i = 1, 2, ..., L) amino acid in **Eq. 72**, and the dot (\bullet) means the multiplication sign.

Note that before substituting the values of hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity into **Eq. 85**, they are all subjected to a standard conversion as described by the following equation:

$$\begin{cases}
h^{1}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) = \frac{h_{0}^{1}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) - \sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{h_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{k})}{20}}{\sqrt{\sum_{u=1}^{20} \left[h_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{u}) - \sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{h_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{k})}{20}\right]^{2}}} \\
\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{u=1}^{20} \left[h_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{u}) - \sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{h_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{k})}{20}\right]^{2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{u=1}^{20} \left[h_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{u}) - \sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{h_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{k})}{20}\right]^{2}}}
\end{cases} (86)$$

where we use the \mathbb{R}_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 20) to represent the 20 native amino acids. The symbols h_0^1 and h_0^2 represent the original hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity values of the amino acid in the brackets right after the symbols.

For more information of the SC-PseAAC, please refer to (36).

3.3.3 General parallel correlation pseudo amino acid composition (PC-PseAAC-General)

The PC-PseAAC-General approach (31) cannot only incorporate comprehensive built-in indices (**Table 7**) extracted from AAindex (32), but also allow the users to upload their own indices to generate the PC-PseAAC-General feature vector. Given a protein sequence **P** (**Eq. 72**), the PC-PseAAC-General feature vector of **P** is defined:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{20} & x_{20+1} & \cdots & x_{20+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
(87)

where

$$x_{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{u}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (1 \le u \le 20) \\ \frac{w \theta_{u-20}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \theta_{j}} & (20 + 1 \le u \le 20 + \lambda) \end{cases}$$
(88)

where f_i (i=1,2,···,20) is the normalized occurrence frequency of the 20 amino acids in the protein **P**; the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a protein sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; θ_j (j=1,2,···, λ) is called the j-tier correlation factor reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the j-th most contiguous residues along a protein chain, which is defined:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+1}) \\ \theta_{2} = \frac{1}{L-2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+2}) \\ \theta_{3} = \frac{1}{L-3} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+3}) \\ \dots \\ \theta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} \Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i+\lambda}) \end{cases}$$
(89)

where the correlation function is given by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{j}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{u=1}^{\mu} [H_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) - H_{u}(\mathbf{R}_{j})]^{2}$$
(90)

where μ is the number of physicochemical indices considered that listed in the **Table** 7; $H_u(R_i)$ is the u-th physicochemical index value of the amino acid R_i ; $H_u(R_j)$, the u-th physicochemical index value for the amino acid R_j . Note that before substituting the physicochemical indices values into **Eq. 90**, they are all subjected to a standard conversion as described by the following equation:

$$H_{u}(i) = \frac{H_{u}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{u}^{0}(i)}{20}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \left[H_{u}^{0}(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{H_{u}^{0}(i)}{20} \right]^{2}}{20}}}$$
(91)

where $H_u^0(i)$ is the *u*-th original physicochemical value of the *i*-th amino acid.

3.3.4 General series correlation pseudo amino acid composition (SC-PseAAC-General)

The SC-PseAAC-General approach (31) cannot only incorporate comprehensive built-in indices (**Table 7**) extracted from AAindex (32), but also allow the users to upload their own indices to generate the SC-PseAAC-General feature vector. Given a protein sequence **P** (**Eq. 72**), the SC-PseAAC-General feature vector of **P** is defined:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{20} & p_{20+1} & \cdots & p_{20+\lambda} & p_{20+\lambda+1} & \cdots & p_{20+\lambda\Lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$
(92)

where

$$p_{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{u}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \tau_{j}} & (1 \le u \le 20) \\ \frac{w \tau_{u-20}}{\sum_{i=1}^{20} f_{i} + w \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda \Lambda} \tau_{j}} & (20 + 1 \le u \le 20 + \lambda \Lambda) \end{cases}$$
(93)

where f_i (i = 1, 2,..., 20) is the normalized occurrence frequency of the 20 native amino acids in the protein **P**, the parameter λ is an integer, representing the highest counted rank (or tier) of the correlation along a protein sequence; w is the weight factor ranging from 0 to 1; Λ is the number of physicochemical indices (**Table 7**); τ_j the j-tier sequence-correlation factor reflecting the sequence-order correlation between all the most contiguous residues along a protein sequence, which is defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} H_{i,i+1}^{1} \\
& \tau_{2} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} H_{i,i+1}^{2} \\
& \dots \\
& \tau_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} H_{i,i+1}^{\Lambda} \qquad \lambda < (L-1) \\
& \dots \\
& \tau_{\lambda \Lambda - 1} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} H_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda - 1} \\
& \tau_{\lambda \Lambda} = \frac{1}{L-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{L-\lambda} H_{i,i+\lambda}^{\Lambda}
\end{aligned}$$
(94)

where $H_{i,i+m}^{\xi}$ is the correlation function given by

$$\begin{cases}
H_{i,i+m}^{\zeta} = h^{\zeta}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) \cdot h^{\zeta}(\mathbf{R}_{i+m}) \\
\zeta = 1, 2, \dots, \Lambda; \ m = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda; \ i = 1, 2, \dots, L - m
\end{cases}$$
(95)

where $h^{\zeta}(\mathbf{R}_i)$ is the ζ -th physicochemical value for the *i*-th (i = 1, 2, ..., L) amino acid in **Eq. 72**, and the dot (\bullet) means the multiplication sign.

Note that before substituting the physicochemical values into **Eq. 95**, they are all subjected to a standard conversion as described by the following equation:

$$h^{\zeta}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) = \frac{h_{0}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) - \sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{h_{0}^{\zeta}(\mathbb{R}_{k})}{20}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{u=1}^{20} \left[h_{0}^{\zeta}(\mathbb{R}_{u}) - \sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{h_{0}^{\zeta}(\mathbb{R}_{k})}{20}\right]^{2}}{20}}}$$
(96)

where we use the \mathbb{R}_i ($i=1,2,\ldots,20$) to represent the 20 native amino acids. The symbols h_0^{ζ} represent the ζ -th original physicochemical value of the amino acid in the brackets right after the symbols.

3.4 Frequency Profile

3.4.1 Top-n-gram

Top-n-gram (37) can be viewed as a novel profile-based building blocks of proteins, containing the evolutionary information extracted from the frequency profiles. The frequency profiles calculated from the multiple sequence alignments outputted by PSI-BLAST (38) are converted into Top-n-grams by combining the n most frequent amino acids in each amino acid frequency profile. The protein sequences are transformed into fixed dimension feature vectors by the occurrence times of each Top-n-gram. For more information of this approach, please refer to (37).

3.4.2 Profile-based physicochemical distance transformation (PDT-Profile)

The process of profile-based PDT (33) is similar as that of sequence-based PDT (33). Except that there is an additional step of extracting the evolutionary information from the frequency profiles. The target frequencies in the frequency profiles reflect the probabilities of the corresponding amino acids appearing in the specific sequence positions. The higher the frequency is, the more likely the corresponding amino acid occurs. It is reasonable to use the *n*-th most frequent amino acids in the frequency profiles to represent the protein sequences. Each amino acid in a protein sequence is replaced by its corresponding *n*-th most frequent amino acid in the frequency profile. Therefore, the resulting protein sequence takes the evolutionary information in the frequency profile into consideration. For more information of this approach, please refer to (33).

3.4.3 Distance-based Top-n-gram (DT)

Distance-based Top-n-gram (29) is a profile-based method which considers the distances between Top-n-gram (37) pairs. Replacing all the amino acids in a protein sequence can be represented as a sequence of Top-n-grams instead of a sequence of amino acids. Distance-based Top-n-gram was proposed, which extends the original Top-n-gram-based feature vector by considering the relative position information of Top-n-gram pairs in protein sequences. In this study, the Top-1-gram was selected to construct the Distance-based Top-n-gram feature vector in order to reduce the dimension of the feature vectors and reduce the computational cost. The proposed feature vectors was calculated by counting the occurrences of all possible Top-n-gram pairs within a certain distance threshold. The dimension of the feature vector is $20 + 20 * 20 * d_{MAX}$, where 20 is the size of the alphabet of amino acids and d_{MAX} is the distance threshold which representing the maximum distance between Top-1-gram pairs. For more information of this approach, please refer to (29).

3.4.4 Profile-based Auto covariance (AC-PSSM)

AC-PSSM (12) can transform the PSSMs of different lengths into fixed-length vector. The AC variable measures the correlation of the same property between two residues separated by a distance of *lag* along the sequence, which can be calculated as:

$$AC(i,lag) = \sum_{j=1}^{L-lag} \left(S_{i,j} - \overline{S}_i\right) \left(S_{i,j+lag} - \overline{S}_i\right) / \left(L - lag\right)$$
(97)

where *i* is one of the residues, *L* is the length of the protein sequence, $S_{i,j}$ is the PSSM score of amino acid *i* at position *j*, \overline{S}_i is the average score for amino acid *i* along the whole sequence:

$$\overline{S}_i = \sum_{i=1}^L S_{i,j} / L \tag{98}$$

In such a way, the number of AC variables can be calculated as 20*LAG, where LAG is the maximum of lag (lag=1, 2, ..., LAG).

3.4.5 Profile-based Cross covariance (CC-PSSM)

CC-PSSM (12) can transform the PSSMs of different lengths into fixed-length vectors. The CC variable measures the correlation of two different properties between two residues separated by lag along the sequence, which can be calculated by:

$$CC(i1, i2, lag) = \sum_{j=1}^{L-lag} \left(S_{i1,j} - \overline{S}_{i1} \right) \left(S_{i2, j+lag} - \overline{S}_{i2} \right) / \left(L - lag \right)$$
 (99)

where i1,i2 are two different amino acids and \overline{S}_{i1} (\overline{S}_{i2}) is the average score for amino acid i1 (i2) along the sequence. Since the CC variables are not symmetric, the total number of CC variables is 380*LAG.

3.4.6 Profile-based Auto-cross covariance (ACC-PSSM)

ACC-PSSM (12), as one of the multivariate modeling tools, can transform the PSSMs of different lengths into fixed-length vectors by measuring the correlation between any two properties. ACC results in two kinds of variables: AC between the same

property, and cross-covariance (CC) between two different properties. Each protein sequence is represented as a vector of either AC variable or ACC variable that is a combination of AC and CC.

Table 1. The names of the 148 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (DNA).

| | _ | , |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Base stacking | Protein | B-DNA twist |
| | induced deformability | |
| Propeller twist | Duplex | Duplex tability(disruptenergy) |
| | stability:(freeenergy) | |
| Protein DNA twist | Stabilising energy of | Aida_BA_transition |
| | Z-DNA | |
| Breslauer_dS | Electron_interaction | Hartman_trans_free_energy |
| Lisser_BZ_transition | Polar_interaction | SantaLucia_dG |
| Sarai_flexibility | Stability | Stacking_energy |
| Sugimoto_dS | Watson-Crick_interactio | Twist |
| | n | |
| Shift | Slide | Rise |
| Twist stiffness | Tilt stiffness | Shift_rise |
| Twist_shift | Enthalpy1 | Twist_twist |
| Shift2 | Tilt3 | Tilt1 |
| Slide (DNA-protein | Tilt_shift | Twist_tilt |
| complex)1 | | |
| Roll_rise | Stacking energy | Stacking energy1 |
| Propeller Twist | Roll11 | Rise (DNA-protein complex) |
| Roll2 | Roll3 | Roll1 |
| Slide_slide | Enthalpy | Shift_shift |
| Flexibility_slide | Minor Groove Distance | Rise (DNA-protein complex)1 |
| Roll (DNA-protein | Entropy | Cytosine content |
| complex)1 | | |
| Major Groove Distance | Twist (DNA-protein | Purine (AG) content |
| | complex) | |
| Tilt_slide | Major Groove Width | Major Groove Depth |
| Free energy6 | Free energy7 | Free energy4 |
| Free energy3 | Free energy1 | Twist_roll |
| Flexibility_shift | Shift (DNA-protein | Thymine content |
| | complex)1 | |
| Tip | Keto (GT) content | Roll stiffness |
| Entropy1 | Roll_slide | Slide (DNA-protein complex) |
| Twist2 | Twist5 | Twist4 |
| Tilt (DNA-protein | Twist_slide | Minor Groove Depth |
| complex)1 | | |
| Persistance Length | Rise3 | Shift stiffness |
| Slide3 | Slide2 | Slide1 |
| | | |

Mobility to bend towards Rise1 Rise stiffness minor groove Dinucleotide GC A-philicity Wedge Content DNA denaturation Bending stiffness Free energy5 Shift (DNA-protein complex) Breslauer_dG Breslauer_dH Helix-Coil_transition Ivanov_BA_transition Slide_rise SantaLucia_dH SantaLucia_dS Minor Groove Width Sugimoto dG Sugimoto dH Twist1 Tilt Roll Twist7 Clash Strength Roll roll Roll (DNA-protein complex) Adenine content Direction Probability contacting nucleosome core Roll_shift Shift_slide Shift1 Tilt4 Free energy8 Tilt2 Twist (DNA-protein Free energy2 Tilt_rise complex)1 Stacking energy2 Stacking energy3 Rise rise Tilt_tilt Roll4 Tilt_roll Minor Groove Size Inclination GC content Slide stiffness Melting Temperature1 Twist3 Tilt (DNA-protein Guanine content Twist6 complex) Major Groove Size Twist_rise Rise2 Melting Temperature Free energy Mobility to bend towards major groove Bend

Table 2. The names of the 12 physicochemical indices for trinucleotides (DNA).

| Bendability (DNAse) | Bendability (consensus) | Trinucleotide GC Content |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Consensus_roll | Consensus-Rigid | Dnase I |
| MW-Daltons | MW-kg | Nucleosome |
| Nucleosome positioning | Dnase I-Rigid | Nucleosome-Rigid |

Table 3. The names of the 90 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (DNA).

| Base stacking | Protein induced deformability | B-DNA twist |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Dinucleotide GC Content | A-philicity | Propeller twist |
| Duplex stability-free energy | Duplex stability-disrupt energy | DNA denaturation |

| Bending stiffness | Protein DNA twist | Stabilising energy of Z-DNA |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Aida_BA_transition | Breslauer_dG | Breslauer_dH |
| Breslauer_dS | Electron_interaction | Hartman_trans_free_energy |
| Helix-Coil_transition | Ivanov_BA_transition | Lisser_BZ_transition |
| Polar_interaction | SantaLucia_dG | SantaLucia_dH |
| SantaLucia_dS | Sarai_flexibility | Stability |
| Stacking_energy | Sugimoto_dG | Sugimoto_dH |
| Sugimoto_dS | Watson-Crick_interaction | Twist |
| Tilt | Roll | Shift |
| Slide | Rise | Stacking energy |
| Bend | Tip | Inclination |
| Major Groove Width | Major Groove Depth | Major Groove Size |
| Major Groove Distance | Minor Groove Width | Minor Groove Depth |
| Minor Groove Size | Minor Groove Distance | Persistance Length |
| Melting Temperature | Mobility to bend towards major groove | Mobility to bend towards minor groove |
| Propeller Twist | Clash Strength | Enthalpy |
| Free energy | Twist_twist | Tilt_tilt |
| Roll_roll | Twist_tilt | Twist_roll |
| Tilt_roll | Shift_shift | Slide_slide |
| Rise_rise | Shift_slide | Shift_rise |
| Slide_rise | Twist_shift | Twist_slide |
| Twist_rise | Tilt_shift | Tilt_slide |
| Tilt_rise | Roll_shift | Roll_slide |
| Roll_rise | Slide stiffness | Shift stiffness |
| Roll stiffness | Rise stiffness | Tilt stiffness |
| Twist stiffness | Wedge | Direction |
| Flexibility_slide | Flexibility_shift | Entropy |

Table 4. The names of the 6 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (DNA).

| Twist(DNA) | Tilt(DNA) | Roll(DNA) |
|------------|------------|-----------|
| Shift(DNA) | Slide(DNA) | Rise(DNA) |

Table 5. The names of the 22 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (RNA).

| Shift (RNA) | Hydrophilicity (RNA) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Hydrophilicity (RNA) | GC content |
| Purine (AG) content | Keto (GT) content |
| Adenine content | Guanine content |
| Cytosine content | Thymine content |
| Slide (RNA) | Rise (RNA) |
| Tilt (RNA) | Roll (RNA) |
| Twist (RNA) | Stacking energy (RNA) |
| Enthalpy (RNA) | Entropy (RNA) |
| Free energy (RNA) | Free energy (RNA) |
| Enthalpy (RNA) | Entropy (RNA) |

Table 6. The names of the 11 physicochemical indices for dinucleotides (RNA).

| Shift | Slide | Rise |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|
| Tilt | Roll | Twist |
| Stacking energy | Enthalpy | Entropy |
| Free energy | Hydrophilicity | |

Table 7. The names of the 547 physicochemical indices for amino acids.

| Hydrophobicity | Hydrophilicity | Mass |
|----------------|----------------|------------|
| ARGP820102 | ARGP820103 | BEGF750101 |
| BHAR880101 | BIGC670101 | BIOV880101 |
| BROC820102 | BULH740101 | BULH740102 |
| BUNA790103 | BURA740101 | BURA740102 |
| CHAM820102 | CHAM830101 | CHAM830102 |
| CHAM830105 | CHAM830106 | CHAM830107 |

| CHOC760101 | CHOC760102 | CHOC760103 |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| CHOP780201 | CHOP780202 | CHOP780203 |
| CHOP780206 | CHOP780207 | CHOP780208 |
| CHOP780211 | CHOP780212 | CHOP780213 |
| CHOP780216 | CIDH920101 | CIDH920102 |
| CIDH920105 | COHE430101 | CRAJ730101 |
| DAWD720101 | DAYM780101 | DAYM780201 |
| EISD840101 | EISD860101 | EISD860102 |
| FASG760102 | FASG760103 | FASG760104 |
| FAUJ880101 | FAUJ880102 | FAUJ880103 |
| FAUJ880106 | FAUJ880107 | FAUJ880108 |
| FAUJ880111 | FAUJ880112 | FAUJ880113 |
| FINA910102 | FINA910103 | FINA910104 |
| GEIM800102 | GEIM800103 | GEIM800104 |
| GEIM800107 | GEIM800108 | GEIM800109 |
| GOLD730101 | GOLD730102 | GRAR740101 |
| GUYH850101 | HOPA770101 | HOPT810101 |
| HUTJ700103 | ISOY800101 | ISOY800102 |
| ISOY800105 | ISOY800101 | ISOY800102 |
| JANJ780102 | JANJ780103 | JANJ790101 |
| JOND750102 | JOND920101 | JOND920102 |
| KANM800101 | KANM800102 | KANM800103 |
| | | |
| KARP850102 | KARP850103 | KHAG800101 |
| KRIW790101 | KRIW790102 | KRIW790103 |
| LEVM760101 | LEVM760102 | LEVM760103 |
| LEVM760106 | LEVM760107 | LEVM780101 |
| LEVM780104 | LEVM780105 | LEVM780106 |
| LIFS790102 | LIFS790103 | MANP780101 |
| MAXF760103 | MAXF760104 | MAXF760105 |
| MEEJ800101 | MEEJ800102 | MEEJ810101 |
| MEIH800102 | MEIH800103 | MIYS850101 |
| NAGK730103 | NAKH900101 | NAKH900102 |
| NAKH900105 | NAKH900106 | NAKH900107 |
| NAKH900110 | NAKH900111 | NAKH900112 |
| NAKH920102 | NAKH920103 | NAKH920104 |
| NAKH920107 | NAKH920108 | NISK800101 |
| OOBM770101 | OOBM770102 | OOBM770103 |
| OOBM850101 | OOBM850102 | OOBM850103 |
| PALJ810101 | PALJ810102 | PALJ810103 |
| PALJ810106 | PALJ810107 | PALJ810108 |
| PALJ810111 | PALJ810112 | PALJ810113 |
| PALJ810116 | PARJ860101 | PLIV810101 |
| PONP800103 | PONP800104 | PONP800105 |
| PONP800108 | PRAM820101 | PRAM820102 |
| PRAM900102 | PRAM900103 | PRAM900104 |
| QIAN880101 | QIAN880102 | QIAN880103 |
| QIAN880106 | QIAN880107 | QIAN880108 |
| 2111,000100 | ZIII 1000101 | Z. 11 1000100 |

| | T | |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| QIAN880111 | QIAN880112 | QIAN880113 |
| QIAN880116 | QIAN880117 | QIAN880118 |
| QIAN880121 | QIAN880122 | QIAN880123 |
| QIAN880126 | QIAN880127 | QIAN880128 |
| QIAN880131 | QIAN880132 | QIAN880133 |
| QIAN880136 | QIAN880137 | QIAN880138 |
| RACS770102 | RACS770103 | RACS820101 |
| RACS820104 | RACS820105 | RACS820106 |
| RACS820109 | RACS820110 | RACS820111 |
| RACS820114 | RADA880101 | RADA880102 |
| RADA880105 | RADA880106 | RADA880107 |
| RICJ880102 | RICJ880103 | RICJ880104 |
| RICJ880107 | RICJ880108 | RICJ880109 |
| RICJ880112 | RICJ880113 | RICJ880114 |
| RICJ880117 | ROBB760101 | ROBB760102 |
| ROBB760105 | ROBB760106 | ROBB760102 |
| ROBB760103 | ROBB760111 | ROBB760107 |
| ROSG850101 | ROSG850102 | ROSM880101 |
| SIMZ760101 | SNEP660101 | SNEP660102 |
| SUEM840101 | SUEM840102 | SWER830101 |
| TANS770103 | TANS770104 | TANS770105 |
| TANS770103 | TANS770104 TANS770109 | TANS770103 |
| | VELV850101 | VENT840101 |
| VASM830103 | | |
| WEBA780101 | WERD780101 | WERD780102 |
| WOEC730101 | WOLR810101 | WOLS870101 |
| YUTK870101 | YUTK870102 | YUTK870103 |
| ZIMJ680101 | ZIMJ680102 | ZIMJ680103 |
| AURR980101 | AURR980102 | AURR980103 |
| AURR980106 | AURR980107 | AURR980108 |
| AURR980111 | AURR980112 | AURR980113 |
| AURR980116 | AURR980117 | AURR980118 |
| ONEK900101 | ONEK900102 | VINM940101 |
| VINM940104 | MUNV940101 | MUNV940102 |
| MUNV940105 | WIMW960101 | KIMC930101 |
| PARS000101 | PARS000102 | KUMS000101 |
| KUMS000104 | TAKK010101 | FODM020101 |
| NADH010103 | NADH010104 | NADH010105 |
| MONM990201 | KOEP990101 | KOEP990102 |
| CEDJ970103 | CEDJ970104 | CEDJ970105 |
| FUKS010103 | FUKS010104 | FUKS010105 |
| FUKS010108 | FUKS010109 | FUKS010110 |
| AVBF000101 | AVBF000102 | AVBF000103 |
| AVBF000106 | AVBF000107 | AVBF000108 |
| MITS020101 | TSAJ990101 | TSAJ990102 |
| WILM950101 | WILM950102 | WILM950103 |
| GUOD860101 | JURD980101 | BASU050101 |
| SUYM030101 | PUNT030101 | PUNT030102 |
| | • | • |

| GEOR030103 | GEOR030104 | GEOR030105 |
|------------|------------|------------|
| GEOR030108 | GEOR030109 | ZHOH040101 |
| BAEK050101 | HARY940101 | PONJ960101 |
| OLSK800101 | KIDA850101 | GUYH850102 |
| GUYH850105 | ROSM880104 | ROSM880105 |
| BLAS910101 | CASG920101 | CORJ870101 |
| CORJ870104 | CORJ870105 | CORJ870106 |
| MIYS990101 | MIYS990102 | MIYS990103 |
| ENGD860101 | FASG890101 | TANS770101 |
| ANDN920101 | ARGP820101 | TANS770106 |
| BEGF750102 | BEGF750103 | VASM830101 |
| BIOV880102 | BROC820101 | VHEG790101 |
| BUNA790101 | BUNA790102 | WERD780103 |
| CHAM810101 | CHAM820101 | WOLS870102 |
| CHAM830103 | CHAM830104 | YUTK870104 |
| CHAM830108 | CHOC750101 | ZIMJ680104 |
| CHOC760104 | CHOP780101 | AURR980104 |
| CHOP780204 | CHOP780205 | AURR980109 |
| CHOP780209 | CHOP780210 | AURR980114 |
| CHOP780214 | CHOP780215 | AURR980119 |
| CIDH920103 | CIDH920104 | VINM940102 |
| CRAJ730102 | CRAJ730103 | MUNV940103 |
| DESM900101 | DESM900102 | MONM990101 |
| EISD860103 | FASG760101 | KUMS000102 |
| FASG760105 | FAUJ830101 | NADH010101 |
| FAUJ880104 | FAUJ880105 | NADH010106 |
| FAUJ880109 | FAUJ880110 | CEDJ970101 |
| FINA770101 | FINA910101 | FUKS010101 |
| GARJ730101 | GEIM800101 | FUKS010106 |
| GEIM800105 | GEIM800106 | FUKS010111 |
| GEIM800110 | GEIM800111 | AVBF000104 |
| GRAR740102 | GRAR740103 | AVBF000109 |
| HUTJ700101 | HUTJ700102 | COSI940101 |
| ISOY800103 | ISOY800104 | WILM950104 |
| ISOY800108 | JANJ780101 | BASU050102 |
| JANJ790102 | JOND750101 | GEOR030101 |
| JUKT750101 | JUNJ780101 | GEOR030106 |
| KANM800104 | KARP850101 | ZHOH040102 |
| KLEP840101 | KRIW710101 | DIGM050101 |
| KYTJ820101 | LAWE840101 | GUYH850103 |
| LEVM760104 | LEVM760105 | JACR890101 |
| LEVM780102 | LEVM780103 | CORJ870102 |
| LEWP710101 | LIFS790101 | CORJ870107 |
| MAXF760101 | MAXF760102 | MIYS990104 |
| MAXF760106 | MCMT640101 | TANS770102 |
| MEEJ810102 | MEIH800101 | TANS770107 |
| NAGK730101 | NAGK730102 | VASM830102 |

| NAKH900103 | NAKH900104 | WARP780101 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| NAKH900103 NAKH900108 | NAKH900104 NAKH900109 | WERD780104 |
| | | |
| NAKH900113 | NAKH920101 | WOLS870103 |
| NAKH920105 | NAKH920106 | ZASB820101 |
| NISK860101 | NOZY710101 | ZIMJ680105 |
| OOBM770104 | OOBM770105 | AURR980105 |
| OOBM850104 | OOBM850105 | AURR980110 |
| PALJ810104 | PALJ810105 | AURR980115 |
| PALJ810109 | PALJ810110 | AURR980120 |
| PALJ810114 | PALJ810115 | VINM940103 |
| PONP800101 | PONP800102 | MUNV940104 |
| PONP800106 | PONP800107 | BLAM930101 |
| PRAM820103 | PRAM900101 | KUMS000103 |
| PTIO830101 | PTIO830102 | NADH010102 |
| QIAN880104 | QIAN880105 | NADH010107 |
| QIAN880109 | QIAN880110 | CEDJ970102 |
| QIAN880114 | QIAN880115 | FUKS010102 |
| QIAN880119 | QIAN880120 | FUKS010107 |
| QIAN880124 | QIAN880125 | FUKS010112 |
| QIAN880129 | QIAN880130 | AVBF000105 |
| QIAN880134 | QIAN880135 | YANJ020101 |
| QIAN880139 | RACS770101 | PONP930101 |
| RACS820102 | RACS820103 | KUHL950101 |
| RACS820107 | RACS820108 | BASU050103 |
| RACS820112 | RACS820113 | GEOR030102 |
| RADA880103 | RADA880104 | GEOR030107 |
| RADA880108 | RICJ880101 | ZHOH040103 |
| RICJ880105 | RICJ880106 | WOLR790101 |
| RICJ880110 | RICJ880111 | GUYH850104 |
| RICJ880115 | RICJ880116 | COWR900101 |
| ROBB760103 | ROBB760104 | CORJ870103 |
| ROBB760103 | ROBB760104 | CORJ870103 CORJ870108 |
| ROBB760108 ROBB760113 | ROBB790101 | MIYS990105 |
| ROSM880102 | ROSM880103 | SNEP660104 |
| | KOSM990103 | 511EP000104 |
| SNEP660103 | | |

Table 8. The names of the 3 physicochemical indices for amino acids.

| Hydrophobicity | hydrophilicity | mass |
|----------------|----------------|------|
|----------------|----------------|------|

Table 9. The names of the 2 physicochemical indices for amino acids.

| hydrophobicity | hydrophilicity |
|----------------|----------------|
| | |

References

- 1. Lee, D., Karchin, R. and Beer, M.A. (2011) Discriminative prediction of mammalian enhancers from DNA sequence. *Genome research*, **21**, 2167-2180.
- 2. Noble, W.S., Kuehn, S., Thurman, R., Yu, M. and Stamatoyannopoulos, J. (2005) Predicting the in vivo signature of human gene regulatory sequences. *Bioinformatics*, **21 Suppl 1**, i338-343.
- 3. Gupta, S., Dennis, J., Thurman, R.E., Kingston, R., Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A. and Noble, W.S. (2008) Predicting human nucleosome occupancy from primary sequence. *PLoS computational biology*, **4**, e1000134.
- 4. Zhang, L. and Luo, L. (2003) Splice site prediction with quadratic discriminant analysis using diversity measure. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **31**, 6214-6220.
- 5. Chen, W., Luo, L. and Zhang, L. (2010) The organization of nucleosomes around splice sites. *Nucleic acids research*, **38**, 2788-2798.
- 6. Liu, G., Liu, J., Cui, X. and Cai, L. (2012) Sequence-dependent prediction of recombination hotspots in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Journal of theoretical biology*, **293**, 49-54.
- 7. Liu, B., Liu, F., Fang, L., Wang, X. and Chou, K.-C. (2015) repDNA: a Python package to generate various modes of feature vectors for DNA sequences by incorporating user-defined physicochemical properties and sequence-order effects. *Bioinformatics*, **31**, 1307-1309.
- 8. El-Manzalawy, Y., Dobbs, D. and Honavar, V. (2008) Predicting flexible length linear B-cell epitopes. *Computational Systems Bioinformatics*, **7**, 121-132.
- 9. Leslie, C.S., Eskin, E., Cohen, A., Weston, J. and Noble, W.S. (2004) Mismatch string kernels for discriminative protein classification. *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 467-476.
- 10. Luo, L., Li, D., Zhang, W., Tu, S., Zhu, X. and Tian, G. (2016) Accurate Prediction of Transposon-Derived piRNAs by Integrating Various Sequential and Physicochemical Features. *PloS one*, **11**, e0153268.
- 11. Lodhi, H., Saunders, C., Shawe-Taylor, J., Cristianini, N. and Watkins, C. (2002) Text classification using string kernels. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, **2**, 419-444.
- 12. Dong, Q., Zhou, S. and Guan, J. (2009) A new taxonomy-based protein fold recognition approach based on autocross-covariance transformation. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2655-2662.

- 13. Guo, Y., Yu, L., Wen, Z. and Li, M. (2008) Using support vector machine combined with auto covariance to predict protein-protein interactions from protein sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **36**, 3025-3030.
- 14. Chen, W., Zhang, X., Brooker, J., Lin, H., Zhang, L. and Chou, K.-C. (2015) PseKNC-General: a cross-platform package for generating various modes of pseudo nucleotide compositions. *Bioinformatics*, **31**, 119-120.
- 15. Friedel, M., Nikolajewa, S., Suhnel, J. and Wilhelm, T. (2008) DiProDB: a database for dinucleotide properties. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **37**, D37-D40.
- 16. Horne, D.S. (1988) Prediction of protein helix content from an autocorrelation analysis of sequence hydrophobicities. *Biopolymers*, **27**, 451-477.
- 17. Sokal, R.R. and Thomson, B.A. (2006) Population structure inferred by local spatial autocorrelation: an example from an Amerindian tribal population. *American journal of physical anthropology*, **129**, 121-131.
- 18. Feng, Z.-P. and Zhang, C.-T. (2000) Prediction of membrane protein types based on the hydrophobic index of amino acids. *Journal of protein chemistry*, **19**, 269-275.
- 19. Chen, W., Feng, P.M., Lin, H. and Chou, K.-C. (2013) iRSpot-PseDNC: identify recombination spots with pseudo dinucleotide composition. *Nucleic acids research*, gks1450.
- 20. Guo, S.-H., Deng, E.-Z., Xu, L.-Q., Ding, H., Lin, H., Chen, W. and Chou, K.-C. (2014) iNuc-PseKNC: a sequence-based predictor for predicting nucleosome positioning in genomes with pseudo k-tuple nucleotide composition. *Bioinformatics*, btu083.
- 21. Lin, H., Deng, E.-Z., Ding, H., Chen, W. and Chou, K.-C. (2014) iPro54-PseKNC: a sequence-based predictor for identifying sigma-54 promoters in prokaryote with pseudo k-tuple nucleotide composition. *Nucleic acids research*, **42**, 12961-12972.
- 22. Liu, B., Zhang, D., Xu, R., Xu, J., Wang, X., Chen, Q., Dong, Q. and Chou, K.-C. (2014) Combining evolutionary information extracted from frequency profiles with sequence-based kernels for protein remote homology detection. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 472-479.
- Wei, L., Liao, M., Gao, Y., Ji, R., He, Z. and Zou, Q. (2014) Improved and promising identification of human microRNAs by incorporating a high-quality negative set. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, **11**, 192-201.
- 24. Xue, C., Li, F., He, T., Liu, G.P., Li, Y. and Zhang, X. (2005) Classification of real and pseudo microRNA precursors using local structure-sequence features and support vector machine. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **6**, 310.
- 25. Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S.H., Höner zu Siederdissen, C., Tafer, H., Flamm, C., Stadler, P.F. and Hofacker, I.L. (2011) ViennaRNA Package 2.0. *Algorithms for Molecular Biology*, **6**, 1-14.
- 26. Liu, B., Fang, L., Liu, F., Wang, X., Chen, J. and Chou, K.-C. (2015) Identification of real microRNA precursors with a pseudo structure status composition approach. *PloS one*, **10**, e0121501.
- 27. Liu, B., Fang, L., Liu, F., Wang, X. and Chou, K.-C. (2016) iMiRNA-PseDPC: microRNA precursor identification with a pseudo distance-pair composition approach. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, **34**, 223-235.
- 28. Liu, B., Wang, X., Lin, L., Dong, Q. and Wang, X. (2008) A Discriminative

- Method for Protein Remote Homology Detection and Fold Recognition Combining Top-n-grams and Latent Semantic Analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **9**, 510.
- 29. Liu, B., Xu, J., Zou, Q., Xu, R., Wang, X. and Chen, Q. (2014) Using distances between Top-n-gram and residue pairs for protein remote homology detection. *Bmc Bioinformatics*, **15**, 1.
- 30. Liu, B., Xu, J., Lan, X., Xu, R., Zhou, J., Wang, X. and Chou, K.-C. (2014) iDNA-Prot dis: identifying DNA-binding proteins by incorporating amino acid distance-pairs and reduced alphabet profile into the general pseudo amino acid composition. *PloS one*, **9**, e106691.
- 31. Cao, D.-S., Xu, Q.-S. and Liang, Y.-Z. (2013) propy: a tool to generate various modes of Chou's PseAAC. *Bioinformatics*, **29**, 960-962.
- 32. Kawashima, S., Pokarowski, P., Pokarowska, M., Kolinski, A., Katayama, T. and Kanehisa, M. (2008) AAindex: amino acid index database, progress report 2008. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **36**, D202-D205.
- 33. Liu, B., Wang, X., Chen, Q., Dong, Q. and Lan, X. (2012) Using amino acid physicochemical distance transformation for fast protein remote homology detection. *PLoS One*, **7**, e46633.
- 34. Kawashima, S. and Kanehisa, M. (2000) AAindex: amino acid index database. *Nucleic acids research*, **28**, 374-374.
- 35. Chou, K.-C. (2001) Prediction of protein cellular attributes using pseudo-amino-acid-composition. *PROTEINS: Structure, Function, and Genetics*, **43**, 246-255.
- 36. Chou, K.-C. (2005) Using amphiphilic pseudo amino acid composition to predict enzyme subfamily classes. *Bioinformatics*, **21**, 10-19.
- 37. Liu, B., Wang, X., Lin, L., Dong, Q. and Wang, X. (2008) A discriminative method for protein remote homology detection and fold recognition combining Top-n-grams and latent semantic analysis. *BMC bioinformatics*, **9**, 1.
- 38. Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic acids research*, **25**, 3389-3402.