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Take a moment to reflect upon the feedback from your reviewers and our class discussion. Answer at least **two** of the questions below.

1. What is one thing that you learned today about writing a results section? Anything unexpected, or particularly good advice?

* Unexpected: Our group provides two models in our result section, which is different to other’s group result. I realized that we only need one model in our result section and focus on discussing about that.
* Good advice: I learned how to write a “statistical” story.
* We also need to shorten the basic demographic and provide more specific graph in our paper.

1. What is one thing you thought you did well in your first draft of writing the results section?

* We did well in discussing about the confident interval of our model.

1. What is one thing you plan to improve when you write the results section for your project?

* I plan to fix the graph/tables to directly relate to our result.

1. What did you find most difficult about writing the results section?

* I don’t know how much to write in the result section, so we decided to discuss both models. It leads to the confusion and extraneous information for reader.

1. What is one way in which writing the results section is different from other types of writing you have done in the past?

* I found that translating “statically” language for normal people is harder that I thought. I can’t just mention R^2 is high so the model is significant. I should explain a little bit about what does R^2 mean to write it as a formal language. Similarity, for confident interval, the number result in R-studio is long and complicated, we should simplify it to percentage and mention about its impact to the model/dataset.