## Non-parametric Statistics: Notes 1

Gregory Matthews <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Loyola University Chicago

Spring 2016

## Outline

Introduction

- Big question: What is the difference between parametric and non-parametric analysis?
- With parametric statistics we make assumptions about the probability distributions of variables. The assumptions often involve parametric distributions. (OR if we have a large sample the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) can help us out).
- Non-parametric statistics does not make these distributional assumptions about the data. (May make other assumptions though!)
- Parametric: More assumptions, more power
- Non-parametric: Less assumptions, less power (usually, but not always)

- Hypothesis tests
  - Sign test
  - Wilcoxon test
  - Permutation tests
- ► Non-parametric Survival techniques
- Boostrap techniques
- Smoothing techniques
- Robust fitting
- Classification and Regression Trees (Maybe)

## Sign Test

- Say we want to test  $H_0: \theta = 0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \neq 0$  where  $\theta$  is the median.
- ▶ If we let *p* be the probability that any *x* is larger than 0 we can re-write the null hypothesis as....
- ►  $H_0: p = 0.5 \text{ vs } H_1: p \neq 0.5$
- Assumptions: The data are independent and identically distribution (iid). (No claims about WHAT distribution. Just SOME distribution.)

## Sign Test

- ▶ The test statistic here is  $S_T = \sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i \leq \theta_0)$
- ▶ But this is just a fancy way of counting how many observations are smaller than  $\theta_0$ .
- ▶ Question we need to answer: If the null hypothesis is true (i.e. p = 0.5), how often will we observe a  $S_T$  that is as or more extreme than what we observe? (What am I getting at here?)

```
dat
## [1] -1.9141315 1.0548585 2.6688824 -4.1913954 1.3582494 1.5121118
## [7] -0.6494799 -0.5932637 -0.6289040 -1.2800757 -0.4543854 -1.4967729
table(sign(dat))
## -1 1
## 8 4
#Prob binom is greater than or equal to 8
1-pbinom(7,12,0.5)
## [1] 0.1938477
#Prob binom is less than or equal to 4
 pbinom(4,12,0.5)
## [1] 0.1938477
```

```
dat
## [1] -1.9141315 1.0548585 2.6688824 -4.1913954 1.3582494 1.5121118
## [7] -0.6494799 -0.5932637 -0.6289040 -1.2800757 -0.4543854 -1.4967729
table(sign(dat))
##
## -1 1
## 8 4
#R has a built in function for this test
binom.test(8,12)
##
## Exact binomial test
##
## data: 8 and 12
## number of successes = 8, number of trials = 12, p-value = 0.3877
## alternative hypothesis: true probability of success is not equal to 0.5
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.3488755 0.9007539
## sample estimates:
## probability of success
##
               0.6666667
```

```
dat
   [1] 0.7927644 0.8547330 0.4453483 0.2732514 0.8029437 -0.4089780
## [7] 0.8150493 0.3619079 0.3579201 0.0403390 0.4418761 1.4086560
table(sign(dat))
##
## -1 1
## 1 11
pbinom(1,12,.5)+1-pbinom(10,12,.5)
## [1] 0.006347656
binom.test(1,12)
##
## Exact binomial test
##
## data: 1 and 12
## number of successes = 1, number of trials = 12, p-value = 0.006348
## alternative hypothesis: true probability of success is not equal to 0.5
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.002107593 0.384796165
## sample estimates:
## probability of success
              0.08333333
```