

# Enriched Categories in Univalent Foundations

**Niels van der Weide**

22 April, 2023

# What are enriched categories?

- ▶ **Category**: we have objects and between objects, we have a **set** of morphisms
- ▶ **Enriched category**: we take the previous definition, but

# What are enriched categories?

- ▶ **Category**: we have objects and between objects, we have a **set** of morphisms
- ▶ **Enriched category**: we take the previous definition, but what if we replace set by partial order, abelian group, dcpo, or *an object of an arbitrary monoidal category*?

**So**: enriched categories are categories whose homsets are endowed with extra structure

# Motivation

Applications in mathematics:

- ▶ Simplicial homotopy theory <sup>1</sup>
- ▶ Strict  $n$ -categories can be defined using enriched categories
- ▶ Homological algebra <sup>2</sup>

Applications in computer science:

- ▶ Interpreting general recursion in categories <sup>3</sup>
- ▶ Models for the computational  $\lambda$ -calculus <sup>4</sup>
- ▶ Models for typed PCF with general recursion <sup>5</sup>
- ▶ Enriched effect calculus <sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Goerss, Paul G., and John F. Jardine. Simplicial homotopy theory.

<sup>2</sup>Weibel, Charles A. An introduction to homological algebra.

<sup>3</sup>Wand, Mitchell. "Fixed-point constructions in order-enriched categories."

<sup>4</sup>Power, John. "Models for the computational  $\lambda$ -calculus."

<sup>5</sup>Plotkin, Gordon, and John Power. "Adequacy for algebraic effects."

<sup>6</sup>Egger, Jeff, Rasmus Ejlers Møgelberg, and Alex Simpson. "The enriched effect calculus: syntax and semantics."

# Enriched Categories in Univalent Foundations

According to the title, this talk will be about enriched categories in univalent foundations.

More specifically, we discuss the following

- ▶ What is a univalent enriched category?
- ▶ The univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories

The theorems/definitions in this talk are formalized in UniMath<sup>7</sup>.

---

<sup>7</sup><https://github.com/UniMath/UniMath>

# Overview of the Construction

**Goal:** the univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories

---

<sup>8</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, and Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine. "Displayed categories.

<sup>9</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, et al. "Bicategories in univalent foundations.

# Overview of the Construction

**Goal:** the univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories

**Main idea:** a univalent enriched category is a univalent category with an enrichment

---

<sup>8</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, and Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine. "Displayed categories.

<sup>9</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, et al. "Bicategories in univalent foundations.

# Overview of the Construction

**Goal:** the univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories

**Main idea:** a univalent enriched category is a univalent category with an enrichment

**Technique:** displayed bicategories<sup>8 9</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, and Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine. "Displayed categories.

<sup>9</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, et al. "Bicategories in univalent foundations.



# Overview of the Construction

**Goal:** the univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories

**Main idea:** a univalent enriched category is a univalent category with an enrichment

**Technique:** displayed bicategories<sup>8 9</sup>

**This talk:** we discuss

- ▶ Short recap: what are univalent categories
- ▶ Enrichments for categories
- ▶ Brief overview of the construction with displayed bicategories

---

<sup>8</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, and Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine. "Displayed categories.

<sup>9</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, et al. "Bicategories in univalent foundations.

# Recall: Univalence for Categories

## Definition

Let  $C$  be a category.

- ▶ For all objects  $x, y$ , we have a map  $\mathbf{idtoiso}_{x,y} : x = y \rightarrow x \cong y$  sending equalities to isomorphism (*defined using path induction*)
- ▶ A category is called **univalent**<sup>10</sup> if for all  $x, y$  the map  $\mathbf{idtoiso}_{x,y}$  is an equivalence of types.

**Note:** I deviate from the terminology in the HoTT book where category is used for univalent precategories

---

<sup>10</sup>Ahrens, Benedikt, Krzysztof Kapulkin, and Michael Shulman. "Univalent categories and the Rezk completion.

## Enrichments: Definition

Suppose that we have

- ▶ A monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$  with unit  $\mathbb{1}$  and tensor  $\otimes$

### Definition

A  $\mathcal{V}$ -**enrichment**  $E$  of a category  $C$  consists of

- ▶ a function  $E(-, -) : C \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ;

# Enrichments: Definition

Suppose that we have

- ▶ A monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$  with unit  $\mathbb{1}$  and tensor  $\otimes$

## Definition

A  $\mathcal{V}$ -**enrichment**  $E$  of a category  $C$  consists of

- ▶ a function  $E(-, -) : C \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ;
- ▶ for  $x : C$  a morphism  $\text{Id} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow E(x, x)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
- ▶ for  $x, y, z : C$  a morphism  $\text{Comp} : E(y, z) \otimes E(x, y) \rightarrow E(y, z)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ ;

# Enrichments: Definition

Suppose that we have

- ▶ A monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$  with unit  $\mathbb{1}$  and tensor  $\otimes$

## Definition

A  $\mathcal{V}$ -**enrichment**  $E$  of a category  $C$  consists of

- ▶ a function  $E(-, -) : C \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ;
- ▶ for  $x : C$  a morphism  $\text{Id} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow E(x, x)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
- ▶ for  $x, y, z : C$  a morphism  $\text{Comp} : E(y, z) \otimes E(x, y) \rightarrow E(y, z)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
- ▶ functions  $\text{FromArr} : C(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{1}, E(x, y))$  and  $\text{ToArr} : \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{1}, E(x, y)) \rightarrow C(x, y)$  for all  $x, y : C$

We require the usual axioms and that  $\text{FromArr}$  and  $\text{ToArr}$  are inverses.

# Enrichments: Idea

Some standard facts from enriched category theory<sup>11</sup>

- ▶ We have 2-categories  $\mathcal{V}\text{Cat}$  and  $\text{Cat}$
- ▶ We have a pseudofunctor from  $\mathcal{V}\text{Cat}$  to  $\text{Cat}$  that sends an enriched category  $E$  to its **underlying category**  $E_0$  (*objects: same as in  $E$ , morphisms  $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow E(x, y)$* )

**Idea:**

- ▶ a  $\mathcal{V}$ -**enrichment** of  $C$  is an object in the fiber of  $C$  along this pseudofunctor.
- ▶ the definition on the previous slide formulates this idea.

---

<sup>11</sup>Kelly, Max. Basic concepts of enriched category theory.

<sup>12</sup>McDermott, Dylan, and Tarmo Uustalu. "What makes a strong monad?."

# Enrichments: Idea

Some standard facts from enriched category theory<sup>11</sup>

- ▶ We have 2-categories  $\mathcal{V}\text{Cat}$  and  $\text{Cat}$
- ▶ We have a pseudofunctor from  $\mathcal{V}\text{Cat}$  to  $\text{Cat}$  that sends an enriched category  $E$  to its **underlying category**  $E_0$  (*objects: same as in  $E$ , morphisms  $\mathbb{1} \rightarrow E(x, y)$* )

**Idea:**

- ▶ a  **$\mathcal{V}$ -enrichment** of  $C$  is an object in the fiber of  $C$  along this pseudofunctor.
- ▶ the definition on the previous slide formulates this idea.

**Note:** other definitions of enrichments have also been given<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup>Kelly, Max. Basic concepts of enriched category theory.

<sup>12</sup>McDermott, Dylan, and Tarmo Uustalu. "What makes a strong monad?."

# Univalent Enriched Categories

A **univalent  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category** is a univalent category together with a  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichment.



# Univalent Enriched Categories

A **univalent  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category** is a univalent category together with a  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichment.

## Comments:

- ▶ One might wonder: should univalence interact with enrichment?
- ▶ For example, for bicategories we have a local and a global univalence condition.
- ▶ However, bicategories are instances of **weak enrichments** (over bicategories).
- ▶ We look at a stricter notion, namely enrichments over monoidal categories.

# The Univalent Bicategory of Univalent Enriched Categories

## Overview of the construction:

- ▶ We have the bicategory  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  of univalent categories
- ▶ We define a displayed bicategory  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$  over  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  whose objects over  $C$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichments over  $C$

# The Univalent Bicategory of Univalent Enriched Categories

## Overview of the construction:

- ▶ We have the bicategory  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  of univalent categories
- ▶ We define a displayed bicategory  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$  over  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  whose objects over  $C$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichments over  $C$
- ▶ The total bicategory of  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}$ , is the bicategory of enriched categories

# The Univalent Bicategory of Univalent Enriched Categories

## Overview of the construction:

- ▶ We have the bicategory  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  of univalent categories
- ▶ We define a displayed bicategory  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$  over  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  whose objects over  $C$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichments over  $C$
- ▶ The total bicategory of  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}$ , is the bicategory of enriched categories
- ▶ We prove that  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$  is univalent

# The Univalent Bicategory of Univalent Enriched Categories

## Overview of the construction:

- ▶ We have the bicategory  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  of univalent categories
- ▶ We define a displayed bicategory  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$  over  $\mathbf{UnivCat}$  whose objects over  $C$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -enrichments over  $C$
- ▶ The total bicategory of  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}$ , is the bicategory of enriched categories
- ▶ We prove that  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}_{\text{disp}}$  is univalent

## Theorem

*If  $\mathcal{V}$  is univalent, then  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{UnivCat}$  is a univalent bicategory.*

# Change of Base

Suppose, we have

- ▶ A lax monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$
- ▶ A  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category  $E$

Then we define a  $\mathcal{W}$ -enriched category  $E_F$

- ▶ The objects of  $E_F$  are objects of  $E$
- ▶ For  $x, y : E$  we define  $E_F(x, y)$  to be  $F(E(x, y))$
- ▶ Composition and identity: from  $E$

# Change of Base and Univalence

## Note:

- ▶ We have a functor  $! : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to the terminal monoidal category
- ▶ So: every  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category gives rise to a  $\mathbf{1}$ -enriched category

# Change of Base and Univalence

## Note:

- ▶ We have a functor  $! : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to the terminal monoidal category
- ▶ So: every  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category gives rise to a  $\mathbf{1}$ -enriched category

Instantiate this to  $\mathbf{Set}$ :

- ▶  $\mathbf{Set}$  is  $\mathbf{Set}$ -enriched
- ▶ We have a  $\mathbf{1}$ -enriched category  $\mathbf{Set}_!$



# Change of Base and Univalence

## Note:

- ▶ We have a functor  $! : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to the terminal monoidal category
- ▶ So: every  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category gives rise to a  $\mathbf{1}$ -enriched category

Instantiate this to  $\mathbf{Set}$ :

- ▶  $\mathbf{Set}$  is  $\mathbf{Set}$ -enriched
- ▶ We have a  $\mathbf{1}$ -enriched category  $\mathbf{Set}_!$

What does the **underlying category** of  $\mathbf{Set}_!$  look like?

- ▶ Objects: sets
- ▶ Morphisms: inhabitants of unit type

This is not univalent at all.

# Change of Base in our setting

Suppose, we have

- ▶ A **fully faithful** and **strong** monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$
- ▶ A category  $C$  with a enrichment  $E$  over  $\mathcal{V}$

Then we define a  $\mathcal{W}$ -enrichment  $E_F(x, y)$  of  $C$

- ▶ For  $x, y : E$  we define  $E_F(x, y)$  to be  $F(E(x, y))$
- ▶ Composition and identity: from  $E$

## What's included in the formalization so far

- ▶ The univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories
- ▶ Limits and colimits in enriched categories
- ▶ Enriched monads, and a construction of Eilenberg-Moore objects in the bicategory of enriched categories
- ▶ Various examples: self-enriched categories, change of base, the opposite
- ▶ Characterization of enrichments over structured sets

# What's included in the formalization so far

- ▶ The univalent bicategory of univalent enriched categories
- ▶ Limits and colimits in enriched categories
- ▶ Enriched monads, and a construction of Eilenberg-Moore objects in the bicategory of enriched categories
- ▶ Various examples: self-enriched categories, change of base, the opposite
- ▶ Characterization of enrichments over structured sets (*in the literature, often simplified definitions of enriched categories are used (eg for posets/abelian groups). We define a general notion of structured set and we characterize enrichments over structured sets via a similar simplified definition*)

# Conclusion

Main take-aways of this talk:

- ▶ Enriched categories are nice and useful
- ▶ Univalence for enriched categories: the underlying category is univalent
- ▶ We showed: the bicategory of univalent enriched categories is again univalent
- ▶ Some interesting peculiarities happen with univalent enriched categories (change of base)