Statistical Methods of Language Technology

Exercise 3

Nasrul Huda

May 9, 2025

1 Problem 3.1 Probability

1.1 Problem a

A pattern C {yes, no} to recognize a name event N {name, not_name} has the following properties:

$$P(C = yes|N = name) = 0.9$$
$$P(C = yes|N = not_name) = 0.2$$

Assume the following:

- In newspaper text, around 5% of the words are names.
- In scientific text, around 1% of the words are names.

1.1.1 What is the probability to really see a name if C says so?

We need to compute P(N = name | C = yes) using Bayes' rule:

$$\begin{split} P(N = name | C = yes) &= \frac{P(C = yes | N = name) \times P(N = name)}{P(C = yes)} \\ &= \frac{P(C = yes | N = name) \times P(N = name)}{P(C = yes | N = name) \times P(N = name) + P(C = yes | N = not_name) \times P(N = not_name)} \end{split}$$

For newspaper text where P(N = name) = 0.05:

$$\begin{split} P(N = name | C = yes) &= \frac{0.9 \times 0.05}{0.9 \times 0.05 + 0.2 \times 0.95} \\ &= \frac{0.045}{0.045 + 0.19} \\ &= \frac{0.045}{0.235} \\ &\approx 0.1915 \end{split}$$

For scientific text where P(N = name) = 0.01:

$$P(N = name | C = yes) = \frac{0.9 \times 0.01}{0.9 \times 0.01 + 0.2 \times 0.99}$$
$$= \frac{0.009}{0.009 + 0.198}$$
$$= \frac{0.009}{0.207}$$
$$\approx 0.0435$$

So, the probability to really see a name if C says so is approximately 19.15% for newspaper text and 4.35% for scientific text.

1.1.2 How low must the false positive rate P(C = yes|N = not - name) get so that this probability goes up to 50% for both kinds of text?

We need to find the value of P(C = yes|N = not - name) = x such that P(N = name|C = yes) = 0.5. For newspaper text where P(N = name) = 0.05:

$$0.5 = \frac{0.9 \times 0.05}{0.9 \times 0.05 + x \times 0.95}$$
$$0.5(0.9 \times 0.05 + x \times 0.95) = 0.9 \times 0.05$$
$$0.045 + 0.475x = 0.045$$
$$0.475x = 0$$
$$x = 0$$

This is not realistically possible since it would require a zero false positive rate.

For a more reasonable answer, let's solve for scientific text where P(N = name) = 0.01:

$$0.5 = \frac{0.9 \times 0.01}{0.9 \times 0.01 + x \times 0.99}$$
$$0.5(0.9 \times 0.01 + x \times 0.99) = 0.9 \times 0.01$$
$$0.0045 + 0.495x = 0.009$$
$$0.495x = 0.0045$$
$$x \approx 0.0091$$

Therefore, the false positive rate needs to be approximately 0.0091 (about 0.91%) for scientific text to achieve a 50% probability. For newspaper text, the false positive rate would need to be even lower (essentially zero) to reach 50%.

1.2 Problem b

Are X and Y as defined in the following table independently distributed?

x	0	0	1	1
y	a	b	a	b
p(X = x, Y = y)	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.4

To determine if X and Y are independent, we need to check if $P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X = x) \times P(Y = y)$ for all x and y. First, let's calculate the marginal probabilities:

$$P(X=0) = P(X=0, Y=a) + P(X=0, Y=b) = 0.3 + 0.1 = 0.4$$

$$P(X=1) = P(X=1, Y=a) + P(X=1, Y=b) = 0.2 + 0.4 = 0.6$$

$$P(Y=a) = P(X=0, Y=a) + P(X=1, Y=a) = 0.3 + 0.2 = 0.5$$

$$P(Y=b) = P(X=0, Y=b) + P(X=1, Y=b) = 0.1 + 0.4 = 0.5$$

Now, let's check independence for each combination:

For (X = 0, Y = a): $P(X = 0) \times P(Y = a) = 0.4 \times 0.5 = 0.2$ P(X = 0, Y = a) = 0.3 Since $0.2 \neq 0.3$, this doesn't satisfy independence.

For (X = 0, Y = b): $P(X = 0) \times P(Y = b) = 0.4 \times 0.5 = 0.2$ P(X = 0, Y = b) = 0.1 Since $0.2 \neq 0.1$, this doesn't satisfy independence.

Therefore, X and Y are not independently distributed.

1.3 Problem c

1.3.1 Compute the entropies for:

First, let's compute H(X) and H(Y):

$$\begin{split} H(X) &= -\sum_x P(X=x) \log_2 P(X=x) \\ &= -[P(X=0) \log_2 P(X=0) + P(X=1) \log_2 P(X=1)] \\ &= -[0.4 \log_2 0.4 + 0.6 \log_2 0.6] \\ &= -[0.4 \times (-1.32) + 0.6 \times (-0.74)] \\ &= 0.528 + 0.444 \\ &= 0.972 \text{ bits} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(Y) &= -\sum_y P(Y=y) \log_2 P(Y=y) \\ &= -[P(Y=a) \log_2 P(Y=a) + P(Y=b) \log_2 P(Y=b)] \\ &= -[0.5 \log_2 0.5 + 0.5 \log_2 0.5] \\ &= -[0.5 \times (-1) + 0.5 \times (-1)] \\ &= 0.5 + 0.5 \\ &= 1 \text{ bit} \end{split}$$

Now, compute H(X, Y):

$$\begin{split} H(X,Y) &= -\sum_{x,y} P(X=x,Y=y) \log_2 P(X=x,Y=y) \\ &= -[0.3 \log_2 0.3 + 0.1 \log_2 0.1 + 0.2 \log_2 0.2 + 0.4 \log_2 0.4] \\ &= -[0.3 \times (-1.74) + 0.1 \times (-3.32) + 0.2 \times (-2.32) + 0.4 \times (-1.32)] \\ &= 0.522 + 0.332 + 0.464 + 0.528 \\ &= 1.846 \text{ bits} \end{split}$$

Next, compute H(X|Y) and H(Y|X): Using the fact that H(X|Y) = H(X,Y) - H(Y):

$$H(X|Y) = H(X,Y) - H(Y)$$

= 1.846 - 1
= 0.846 bits

Similarly, for H(Y|X):

$$H(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(X)$$

= 1.846 - 0.972
= 0.874 bits

Finally, compute D(X||Y), which is the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$D(X||Y) = \sum_{x} P(X = x) \log_2 \frac{P(X = x)}{P(Y = x)}$$

However, since X and Y have different domains $(X \in \{0,1\})$ and $Y \in \{a,b\}$, the Kullback-Leibler divergence is not directly applicable in this form.

Alternatively, if we're asked to compute I(X;Y) (mutual information):

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

= 0.972 - 0.846
= 0.126 bits

2 Problem 3.2 Language Models

2.1 Most Frequent Words

The 20 most frequent words from the training set are:

- die
- der
- \bullet und
- in
- den
- von
- zu
- das

- mit
- sich
- \bullet des
- auf
- für
- ist
- im
- \bullet dem
- nicht
- \bullet ein
- Die
- eine

2.2 Unseen Tokens in Test Data

The percentage of tokens in the test data that have not been seen in the training data is approximately 12.71%.

2.3 Most Frequent Bigrams

The 20 most frequent bigrams from the training set are:

- 1. (in, der)
- 2. (sich, die)
- 3. (von, der)
- 4. (für, die)
- 5. (in, den)
- 6. (auf, die)
- 7. (die, der)
- 8. (in, die)
- 9. (an, der)
- 10. (mit, der)
- 11. (an, die)
- 12. (aus, der)
- 13. (von, den)
- 14. (zu, den)
- 15. (mit, dem)
- 16. (über, die)
- 17. (die, Welt)
- 18. (ist, die)
- 19. (bei, der)
- 20. (und, der)

2.4 Unseen Bigrams in Test Data

The percentage of bigrams in the test data that have not been seen in the training data is approximately 56.33%.

2.5 Unseen Trigrams in Test Data

The percentage of trigrams in the test data that have not been seen in the training data is approximately 83.73%.

2.6 Zero Probability Sentences

Under an MLE bigram model from the training data, approximately 99.64% of sentences in the test data have zero probability (36,357 out of 36,486 sentences).

2.7 Linear Interpolation Model

Using a linear combination of 0-gram, unigram, bigram, and trigram model with $\lambda_0 = 1.0 \times 10^{-10}$, $\lambda_1 = 0.01$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2$, $\lambda_3 = 1 - (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \approx 0.79$, the probabilities of the first 3 sentences from the test data are:

1. Sentence 1: "Aufnahme von DDR-Flüchtlingen : Lob für Ungarn in ganz Europa"

Log probability: -41.247362 Probability: 1.2221647772e-18

2. Sentence 2: "Bei der Aufnahme der DDR-Flüchtlinge handelt Ungarn im Einklang mit dem Völkerrecht und den internationalen Vereinbarungen"

Log probability: -103.529861 Probability: 1.1564869639e-45

3. Sentence 3: "So findet Außenminister Genscher"

Log probability: -26.831436 Probability: 4.5026105964e-12