

Fast Data Processing

(A Disguised Polars Ad)

Agenda

- Why should you care about processing data faster?
- Different ways to speed up data processing
- Why you should use Polars
- A few other things you can do apart from Polars

Why should you care?

- Cost
- Faster code means faster iteration
- Less stress and anxiety

Why should you care?

- Cost
- Faster code means faster iteration
- Less stress and anxiety
- Bragging rights 🤘🔥💯👑

Different ways to speed up data processing


Different ways to speed up data processing

- Buy a faster computer / more computers

Different ways to speed up data processing

- Buy a faster computer / more computers
- Change to a faster language

Change to a faster language



an unscientific ranking by Dr. Piti Ongmongkolkul (my physics(??????) professor)


Change to a faster language

Goal: Combine all file contents and path into a single CSV file

Data size: 517k+ files

```
├── allen-p
│   ├── all_documents
│   │   ├── 1.  <--- these are email files
│   │   ├── 10.
│   │   ├── 100.
│   │   ├── 101.
│   │   ├── 102.
│   │   ├── 103.
│   │   └── 104.
```

Change to a faster language



Different ways to speed up data processing

- Buy a faster computer / more computers
- Change to a faster language
- Use Polars :)

Why should you use Polars?

- Python library with Rust back-end
- Faster than Pandas due to out-of-the-box parallelism
- Supports lazy evaluation
- Syntax
 - analogous to SQL
 - better data typing
 - more built-in functions

Python library with Rust back-end

Inside your computers, there
are two programs



Faster than Pandas with out-of-the-box parallelism


- Multi-threaded/ing means using multiple CPUs
- Pandas is single-threaded out-of-the-box
 - DIY with multiprocessing
 - Pandas-like APIs with Modin or Dask for multi-threading support
- Polars is already multi-threaded

Faster than Pandas with out-of-the-box parallelism


Goal: Find out the following for each game from the [Steam Game Reviews](#)

- percentage of players who recommends the game
- average all-time play time of all reviewers
- most used word (English reviews only)

Faster than Pandas with out-of-the-box parallelism



Faster than Pandas with out-of-the-box parallelism




Supports lazy evaluation

Polars can do behind-the-scenes optimizations for you

- Run filters before the rest of the processing
- Don't load the columns/fields you don't need (for some file types)

Supports lazy evaluation



Syntax - Analogous to SQL

```
people_data_lf.filter(pl.col("age") >= 20 & pl.col("age") < 30)
.select( # or .with_columns
    pl.col("person_name").str.to_uppercase().alias("upper_name"),
    (pl.col("height") * pl.col("arm_span")).alias("rectangle"),
)
```

```
SELECT
    UPPER(person_name) AS upper_name,
    height * arm_span AS rectangle
FROM people_data
WHERE
    age >= 20 AND age < 30
```

Syntax - Analogous to SQL

```
people_data_with_computed_lf.group_by("age")  
.agg(  
    pl.col("rectangle").max(),  
    pl.col("rectangle").min(),  
    pl.col("rectangle").mean(),  
)
```

```
SELECT  
    MAX(rectangle),  
    MIN(rectangle),  
    AVG(rectangle)  
FROM people_data_with_computed  
GROUP BY age
```

Syntax - Better data typing

- Polars has stricter typing
- Better null-handling
- Polars' nested types `Struct` or `List` vs. Pandas' `object`
- Gives more clarity when coding

Syntax - More built-in functions

A few examples:

- Functions for handling nested types
 - `.unnest` on `Struct` fields
 - `.explode` on `List` types
- Aggregation with `mode` (most common element of collection)

Syntax - More built-in functions

```
lf.with_columns(  
    review=pl.col("review").str.to_lowercase()  
    .str.split(" ").list.filter(~pl.element().is_in(WORDS_TO_IGNORE))  
)  
.group_by(["game_name"])  
.agg(most_common_word=pl.col("review").flatten().mode().first())
```


Syntax - More built-in functions

```
from collection import Counter

def _get_most_common_word(agg_words: pd.Series) -> str:
    counter = Counter([word for words in agg_words for word in words])
    return counter.most_common(1)[0][0]

df["review"] = df["review"].map(
    lambda strings: [word for word in strings if word not in WORDS_TO_IGNORE]
    if isinstance(strings, list)
    else [],
)
df = df.groupby("game_name").agg({"review": _get_most_common_word})
```

What if I still don't wanna use Polars? Pandas are cuter! 😡

What if I still don't wanna use Polars? Pandas are cuter! 🙄

Wrong. Polar bears are cuter than pandas!!

Polars



Pandas



A few more tool-agnostic tricks

- Built-in functions are good, use them
- Loops are evil, use joins

Built-in functions are good, use them

```
important_fields_lf.with_columns(  
    review=pl.col("review").str.to_lowercase()  
    .str.split(" ").list.filter(~pl.element().is_in(WORDS_TO_IGNORE))  
)  
.group_by(["game_name"])  
.agg(most_common_word=pl.col("review").flatten().mode().first())  
.select([pl.col("game_name"), pl.col("most_common_word")])
```

Built-in functions are good, use them

```
def process_review_str(review: str) -> list[str]:
    split_word = review.lower().split(" ")
    filtered_words = [word for word in split_word if word not in WORDS_TO_IGNORE]
    return filtered_words

def aggregate_reviews(all_review_words: list[list[str]]) -> Optional[str]:
    flat_words = [word for review_words in all_review_words for word in review_words]
    counter = Counter(flat_words)
    most_common_words = counter.most_common(1)
    return most_common_words[0][0] if len(most_common_words) > 0 else None

processed_lf = (
    important_fields_lf.with_columns(
        review=pl.col("review").map_elements(process_review_str, return_dtype=pl.List(String))
    )
    .group_by(["game_name"])
    .agg(most_common_word=pl.col("review").map_elements(aggregate_reviews, return_dtype=pl.String))
    .select([pl.col("game_name"), pl.col("most_common_word")])
)
```

Built-in functions are good, use them



Loops are evil, use joins

Loop version:

```
for row1 in lf1.iter_rows:  
    for row2 in lf2.iter_rows:  
        if row1.id == row2.id:  
            ...
```

Join version (in Polars):

```
lf1.join(lf2, on="id").with_columns(...)
```


Loops are evil, use joins

Loop version:

```
for row1 in lf1.iter_rows():
    for row2 in lf2.iter_rows():
        if row1.start_time <= row2.event_time <= row1.end_time:
            ...
```

Join version (in Polars):

```
lf1.join(lf2, how="cross").filter(
    pl.col("lf1_start_time") <= pl.col("lf2_event_time") <= pl.col("lf1_end_time")
).with_columns(...)
```

Conclusion

Conclusion

- How do you process data fast? Depends :D

Conclusion


- How do you process data fast? Depends :D
- Should you use Polars? Depends :D

Conclusion



- How do you process data fast? Depends :D
- Should you use Polars? Depends :D
- Will Polars get you closer to Nagoya 2026? Depends :D

Please use Polars !! :DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD

Polars Crash Course



Polars Crash Course

YouTube tutorial style	Conference demo style
	

Thank you!