Titel der Arbeit

Bachelorarbeit zur Erlangung des ersten Hochschulgrades

Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.)

vorgelegt von

FRANZ MARTIN SCHMIDT

(geboren am 7. April 1999 in HALLE (SAALE))

Tag der Einreichung: May 4, 2023

Dipl. Inf Max Korn (Theoretische Informatik)

Contents

| Abstract | | | 1 |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|
| 1 Introduction | | roduction | 2 |
| 2 | Preliminaries | | 3 |
| | 2.1 | Transition Systems | 3 |
| | 2.2 | Markov Chain | |
| | 2.3 | | |
| 3 | View | | |
| | 3.1 | Grouping Function | 4 |
| | 3.2 | Formal Definition | 4 |
| 4 | View Examples | | |
| | 4.1 | Transition Systems | 6 |
| | 4.2 | Markov Chain | |
| | 4.3 | | |
| | 4.4 | Comparison of the Examples | 6 |
| 5 | Out | clook | 7 |

Abstract

Lorem ipsum

1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Transition Systems

Motivation of transition systems

The following definition is directly taken form Principles of Modelchecking, Baier p. 20

Definition 2.1. A transition system TS is a tuple $(S, Act, \longrightarrow, I, AP, L)$ where

- S is a set of states,
- Act is a set of actions,
- $\longrightarrow \subseteq S \times Act \times S$ is transition relation,
- $I \subseteq S$ is a set of initial states,
- AP is a set of atomic propositions, and
- $L: S \to \mathcal{P}(AP)$

A transition system is called *finite* if S, AP and L are finite.

2.2 Markov Chain

2.3 Markov Decision Process

3 View

Views are the central objective of this thesis. The purpose of a viewis to obtain a simplification of a given transition system (TS). It is an independent TS derived from a given TS and represents a (simpliefied) viewon the given one - hence the name. Thereby the original TS is retained.

3.1 Grouping Function

The conceptional idea of a viewis to group states by some criteria and structure the rest of the system accordingly. To formalize the grouping we define a dedicated function.

Definition 3.1. Let $TS = (S, Act, \longrightarrow, I, AP, L)$ be a transition system. We call any function $F: S \to \mathbb{N}$ a grouping function.

Two states are grouped to a new state if and only if the grouping function maps them to the same value. The definition offers an easy way of defining groups of states and labels them with a natural number. It is also very close to the actual implementation later on. The exact mapping depends on the desired grouping. In order to define a new set of states for the view, we define an equivalence relation R based on a given grouping function F.

Definition 3.2. Let F be a grouping function. We define the equivalence relation $R := \{(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S \mid F(s_1) = F(s_2)\}$

R is an equivalence relation because the equality relation is one. The property directly conveys to R. We observe that two states s_1, s_2 are grouped to a new state if and only if $(s_1, s_2) \in R$. This is if and only if the case when $s_1, s_2 \in [s_1]_R = [s_2]_R$ where $[s_i]_R$ denotes the equivalence class of R.

3.2 Formal Definition

The definition of a viewis dependend on a given transition system and a grouping function F. We derive the equivalence relation R as in Definition 3.2 and use its equivalence classes $[s]_R$ as states for the view. The rest of the transition system is structured accordingly.

Definition 3.3. Let $TS = (S, Act, \longrightarrow, I, AP, L)$ be a transition system and F a grouping function. A *view* is a transition system $(S', Act', \longrightarrow', I', L')$ that is derived from TS where

- $S' = \{[s]_R \mid s \in S\}$ where R is an equivilance relation according to Defintion 3.2,
- Act' = Act
- $\longrightarrow' = \{([s_1]_R, \alpha, [s_2]_R) \mid \exists s_1 \in [s_1]_R \exists s_2 \in [s_2]_R : ([s_1]_R, \alpha, [s_2]_R) \in \longrightarrow \}$
- $I' = \{ [s']_R \in S' \mid \exists s \in [s']_R : s \in I \}$

•
$$L': S' \to \mathcal{P}(AP), [s]_R \mapsto \bigcup_{s \in [s]_R} \{L(s)\}$$

Note that the definition is in a most general form in the sense that if in a viewa property accounts to one part of some entity the whole entity receives the property i.e.

- $(s_1, \alpha, s_2) \in \longrightarrow \Rightarrow ([s_1]_R, \alpha, [s_2]_R) \in \longrightarrow'$
- $s \in I \implies [s]_R \in I'$
- $\forall s \in S : L(s) \in L'([s]_R)$

- 4 View Examples
- 4.1 Transition Systems
- 4.2 Markov Chain
- 4.3 Markov Decision Process
- 4.4 Comparison of the Examples

5 Outlook

References