

Problem Set – 1

Name: Neeraj Krishna N

Roll no: 112101033

Total Points – 50

Given on 03 Feb

Due on 11 Feb

Instructions

- Use of resources other than class notes and references is forbidden.
 - Collaboration is not allowed. Credit will be given for attempts and partial answers.
1. (15 points) [**More properties of R and RE**] We saw in class that recursive languages are closed under complement. We will see more properties below.
 - (a) (5 points) Show that recursively enumerable languages are closed under union and intersection.
 - (b) (5 points) For a language L , and $i \geq 1$, $L^i = \{a_1 a_2 \dots a_i \mid a_1, \dots, a_i \in L\}$. The Kleene closure of L , denoted by L^* , is defined as $\{\epsilon\} \cup \bigcup_{i \geq 1} L^i$. Show that recursive and recursively enumerable languages are closed under Kleene closure.
 - (c) (5 points) For languages $L_1, L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$, define $L_1/L_2 = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists y \in L_2 \ xy \in L_1\}$. Show that if L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable, then so is L_1/L_2 .

Solution: Write your answer here.

(a) 1. **To show :** recursively enumerable are closed under union

Let L_1 and L_2 be the 2 recursively enumerable languages and let the turing machines (not necessarily total) accepting them be M_1 and M_2 respectively.

Now we need to give a turing machine M , which accepts $L = L_1 \cup L_2$.

The description of M is as follows:

“ On input x ,

- (a) Run one step of M_1 on x
- (b) Run one step of M_2 on x
- (c) As long as either of them does not accept, repeat steps (a) and (b)
- (d) If one of them accept, accept and halt

”

To prove : M accepts $L = L_1 \cup L_2$

(a) *Proof of $L \subseteq L(M)$:*

$x \in L$

$\implies x \in L_1 \cup L_2$

$\implies x \in L(M_1) \vee x \in L(M_2)$ (since $L_1 = L(M_1)$ and $L_2 = L(M_2)$)

\implies Either M_1 or M_2 will halt and accept (and since we are performing one step in each machine, we will not be stuck in a loop, even if one of the machine loops on the given input)

$\implies M$ halts and accepts

$\implies x \in L(M)$

(b) *Proof of $L(M) \subseteq L$:*

$x \in L(M)$

$\implies M$ accepts x

$\implies M_1$ accepted x and M reached (d) in the algorithm

$\vee M_2$ accepted x and M reached (d) in the algorithm

$\implies x \in L(M_1) \vee x \in L(M_2)$

$\implies x \in L_1 \vee x \in L_2$ (since $L_1 = L(M_1)$ and $L_2 = L(M_2)$)

$\implies x \in L_1 \cup L_2$

$\implies x \in L$

Hence Proved

2. **To show** : recursively enumerable are closed under intersection

Let L_1 and L_2 be the 2 recursively enumerable languages and let the turing machines (not necessarily total) accepting them be M_1 and M_2 respectively. Now we need to give a turing machine M , which accepts $L = L_1 \cap L_2$.

The description of M is as follows:

“ On input x ,

(a) Run one step of M_1 on x

(b) Run one step of M_2 on x

(c) As long as both of them do not halt, repeat step (a) and step (b)

(d) If both of them accept, accept and halt

”

To prove : M accepts $L = L_1 \cap L_2$

(a) *Proof of $L \subseteq L(M)$:*

$x \in L$

$\implies x \in L_1 \cap L_2$

$\implies x \in L(M_1) \wedge x \in L(M_2)$ (since $L_1 = L(M_1)$ and $L_2 = L(M_2)$)

\implies By the description of the machine, since both M_1 and M_2 accepts, M accepts (and since we are performing one step in each machine, we will not be stuck in a loop, even if one of the machine loops on the given input)

$\implies M$ halts and accepts

$\implies x \in L(M)$

(b) *Proof of $L(M) \subseteq L$:*

$x \in L(M)$

$\implies M$ accepts x

$\implies M_1$ accepted $x \wedge M_2$ accepted x

and hence M reached (d) in the algorithm

$\implies x \in L(M_1) \wedge x \in L(M_2)$

$\implies x \in L_1 \wedge x \in L_2$ (since $L_1 = L(M_1)$ and $L_2 = L(M_2)$)

$\implies x \in L_1 \cap L_2$

$\implies x \in L$

Hence Proved

(b)

(c)

2. (10 points) [**Non-determinism**] The machines that we saw in class have a single valued transition function and hence are *deterministic*. A nondeterministic Turing machine is a machine where the transition function can take multiple values.
- (a) (5 points) Give a rigorous formal definition of a non-deterministic Turing machine, including a definition of configuration, next configuration relation and acceptance.
- (b) (5 points) Argue that deterministic machines and non-deterministic machines are equivalent (in the sense that they can simulate each other).

Solution:

(a) Non-deterministic Turing Machine is a 9-tuple $N = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \vdash, \sqcup, \Delta, s, t, r)$ where

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite set of input alphabets
- Γ is the finite set of tape symbols
- $\vdash \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$ is the left end marker
- $\sqcup \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$ is the blank symbol
- $\Delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow 2^{Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}}$ is the transition relation
- $s \in Q$ is the start state
- $t \in Q$ is the accept state
- $r \in Q$ is the reject state

Configuration :

A configuration c is a tuple of $(q, w\sqcup^\omega, n)$ where $q \in Q$, $w \in \Gamma^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Next Configuration Relation :

It is the single step transition from one configuration to a set of configurations. $(p, z, n) \rightarrow (q, z', n')$ where $n' = n + 1$ if head moved right, else if head moved left, $n' = n - 1$, else $n' = n$ and $((p, z), (q, z')) \in \Delta$

Acceptance :

Turing machine M , is said to accept an input x , if after a finite number of steps, the configuration becomes (t, y, n) for some $y \in \Gamma^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(b) 1. Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \vdash, \sqcup, \delta, s, t, r)$ be a deterministic Turing Machine

We want to construct an equivalent Turing Machine

$$N = (Q', \Sigma', \Gamma', \vdash, \sqcup, \Delta, s', t', r')$$

Now let

$$Q' = Q$$

$$\Sigma' = \Sigma$$

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma$$

$$\Delta(p, z) = \{\delta(p, z)\}$$

$$s' = s$$

$$t' = t$$

$$r' = r$$

Now we have to prove $L(M) = L(N)$

Proof of $L(M) \subseteq L(N)$:

$x \in L(M)$

\Rightarrow There is set of steps for M which leads to terminal accept state t

\Rightarrow Since Δ has only one transition for every possible tuple (p, z) , N will also take the same steps as M

$\Rightarrow x \in L(N)$

Proof of $L(N) \subseteq L(M)$:

$x \in L(N)$

\Rightarrow There is a set of steps for which N reaches t'

\Rightarrow Since Δ has only one transition for every possible tuple (p, z) , it behaves like a deterministic turing machine

$\Rightarrow M$ also takes the exact same transitions for input x and reaches the accept state t

$\Rightarrow x \in L(M)$

Hence $L(M) = L(N)$ and we have proved that every deterministic Turing Machine can be simulated using a Non deterministic Turing Machine

2. Let $N = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \vdash, \sqcup, \Delta, s, t, r)$ be a non deterministic Turing Machine

We want to construct an equivalent deterministic Turing Machine

$M = (Q', \Sigma', \Gamma', \vdash, \sqcup, \delta, s', t', r')$ such that it accepts the same language as that of N

Let

$$Q' = 2^Q$$

$$\Sigma' = \Sigma$$

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma$$

$$s' = \{s\} \in Q'$$

$$t' = \{t\} \in Q'$$

$$r' = \{r\} \in Q'$$

Now for δ ,

Let $q' = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_i\}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, |Q|\}$, where each $q_i \in Q$ (if $i = 0$, then $q' = \phi$)

$$\delta(q', z) = \bigcup_{q_i \in Q} \Delta(q_i, z)$$

Now, we have to prove $L(N) = L(M)$

Proof of $L(N) \subseteq L(M)$:

$x \in L(N)$

\Rightarrow There exists a non deterministic set of choices for the machine N which leads to the terminal state t'

\Rightarrow Since, M is in essence, simulating every possible transitions of N , there exists a transition $\delta(\cdot)$ for which $(t, z) \in \delta(\cdot)$ for some z

$\Rightarrow M$ accepts x

$\Rightarrow x \in L(M)$

$x \in L(M)$

\Rightarrow There exists a set of transitions at the end of which $(t, z) \in \delta(\cdot)$

\Rightarrow Then, if we take the same set of non deterministic choices in the non deterministic turing machine, we will reach t in N

$\Rightarrow x \in L(N)$

Hence showed that $L(N) = L(M)$ and hence every non deterministic turing machine can be simulated by a deterministic turing machine

(This construction works only because all of the following are finite : Q, Σ, Γ)

3. (15 points) [**Undecidability**]

- (a) (5 points) Consider the problem of deciding if two given Turing machines accept the same set. Formulate this as a language and show that it is undecidable.
- (b) (5 points) Consider the problem of deciding given a Turing machine and a state, whether it enters the state on some input. Formulate this as a language and show that it is undecidable.
- (c) (5 points) Show that if Membership problem is undecidable, then Halting problem is undecidable. [*Note: We independently know the undecidability of both the problems. Nevertheless, this question asks to prove the implication.*]

Solution:

(a) Let the language be

$$L = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$$

We will show that if L is decidable, then HP^c is decidable

Let M_σ be a machine such that on input $\langle M, x \rangle$, it produces a description of 2 machines $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ which are as follows

Description of M_1 :

“ On input y ,

- (a) Run M on x
- (b) If M halts on x , Accept

”

Description of M_2 :

“ On input y ,

- (a) Run M on x
- (b) If M halts on x , Reject

”

We will prove that $\langle M, x \rangle \in HP \Leftrightarrow \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \notin L$

Proof of forward direction

$\langle M, x \rangle \in HP$

$\Rightarrow M$ halts on x

$\Rightarrow M_1$ accepts all strings and M_2 rejects all strings

$\Rightarrow L(M_1) = \Sigma^*$ and $L(M_2) = \phi$

$\Rightarrow L(M_1) \neq L(M_2)$

$\Rightarrow \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \notin L$

Proof of reverse direction

$\langle M, x \rangle \notin HP$

$\Rightarrow M$ does not halt on x

$\Rightarrow L(M_1) = \phi$ and $L(M_2) = \phi$

$\Rightarrow L(M_1) = L(M_2)$

$\Rightarrow \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \in L$

Hence, L is undecidable

(b) Let the language be $L = \{\langle M, q \rangle \mid M \text{ enters state } q \text{ on some input}\}$

We will show that if L is decidable, then HP is decidable

Let M_σ be a machine such which produces an instance of L , given an instance of HP , i.e on input $\langle M, x \rangle$, M_σ outputs $\langle M', t \rangle$ where t is the accept state of M' and the description of M' is as follows :

“

On input y ,

(a) Run M on x

(b) If M halts on x , then enter accept state and accept

”

We will now show that $\langle M, x \rangle \in HP \Leftrightarrow \langle M', t \rangle \in L$

Proof of forward direction :

$\langle M, x \rangle \in HP$

$\Rightarrow M$ halts on x

$\Rightarrow M'$ enters t and accepts for all inputs y

$\Rightarrow \langle M, t \rangle \in L$

Proof of reverse direction :

$\langle M, x \rangle \notin HP$

$\Rightarrow M$ does not halt on x

$\Rightarrow M'$ loops and never enters state t for any input

$\Rightarrow \langle M', t \rangle \notin L$

Hence L is undecidable

(c) We need to show that if MP is undecidable, then HP is undecidable which is same as proving its contrapositive, i.e

HP is decidable $\Rightarrow MP$ is decidable

Let M_σ be a machine which on input $\langle M, x \rangle$ (an instance of MP) outputs $\langle M', \epsilon \rangle$ (which is an instance of HP) where the description of M' is as follows:

“

On input y ,

- (a) Run M on x
- (b) If M accepts x , halt
- (c) If M rejects x , loop

”

Now, we will show that $\langle M, x \rangle \in MP \Leftrightarrow \langle M', \epsilon \rangle \in HP$

Proof of forward direction :

$\langle M, x \rangle \in MP$

$\Rightarrow M$ accepts x

$\Rightarrow M'$ halts on every input, in particular ϵ also

$\Rightarrow \langle M', \epsilon \rangle \in HP$

Proof of reverse direction :

$\langle M, x \rangle \notin MP$

$\Rightarrow M$ does not accept x

$\Rightarrow M$ rejects x or M loops on x

\Rightarrow If M rejects x , then M' loops on every input (in particular ϵ) and

If M loops on x , then also M' gets stuck in step (a) and M' loops on every input (in particular ϵ)

$\Rightarrow \langle M', \epsilon \rangle \notin HP$

Hence proved that if MP is undecidable, then HP is undecidable

4. (10 points) [**Decidable or Undecidable ?**] Let $|M|$ denote the length of the Turing machine description. Are the following problems decidable ? Justify.

- (a) (5 points) Does a Turing machine M takes at least $|M|$ steps on *some* input ?
- (b) (5 points) Does a Turing machine M takes at least $|M|$ steps on *all* inputs ?

Solution:

- (a) This is decidable because every Turing Machine takes atleast $|M|$ steps on some inputs. Below is the justification

Let us take a Turing Machine M_σ whose description is as follows

“

On input M ,

- 1. If M is not a valid encoding of Turing Machine, reject
- 2. Else accept

”

The above machine accepts any valid encoding of Turing Machine. The above machine is total because there exists a **total turing machine** which checks if a string is a valid encoding of a Turing Machine

Let us take an arbitrary Turing Machine M

Let $n = |M|$

Let string x be $0^{|M|+1}$

For this string, the Turing Machine will take atleast $|M|$ steps

Hence for every Turing Machine M , there exists an input for which M will take atleast $|M|$ steps.

(b) Let the language be $L = \{M \mid M \text{ takes at least } |M| \text{ steps on all inputs}\}$

This is undecidable because, we can show that if the given problem is decidable, then HP is decidable. Let us take a turing machine M_σ which on input $\langle M, x \rangle$, provides a description of another turing machine M' which is

“ On input y ,

(1) Run M on x

(2) If M halts on x , perform $|M'|$ steps and accept

”

We will prove that $\langle M, x \rangle \in HP \Leftrightarrow M' \in L$

Proof of forward direction

$\langle M, x \rangle \in HP$

$\Rightarrow M$ halts on x

$\Rightarrow M'$ reaches step (2) and performs atleast $|M'|$ steps and then accepts

$\Rightarrow M' \in L$

Proof of reverse direction

$\langle M, x \rangle \notin HP$

$\Rightarrow M$ does not halt on x

$\Rightarrow M'$ is stuck in step 1 itself

$\Rightarrow M'$ does not perform even a single step on any input

$\Rightarrow M' \notin L$

Since HP is undecidable, L is undecidable