



IIT PALAKKAD

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PALAKKAD

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CS5616 Computational Complexity

January – May 2024

Problem Set – 3

Name: Neeraj Krishna N

Roll no: 112101033

Total Points – 50

Given on 29 Feb

Due on 11 Mar

Instructions

- Use of resources other than class notes and references is forbidden.
- Collaboration is not allowed. Credit will be given for attempts and partial answers.

1. (10 points) (**RE vs co-RE**) Show that the set

$$\{M \mid M \text{ halts on all inputs of length less than } 42\}$$

is recursively enumerable, but its complement is not.

Solution:

Let us construct a turing machine M' for which

$$L(M') = \{M \mid M \text{ halts on all inputs of length less than } 42\}$$

$M' =$ “

On input M ,

(1) For each $x \in \Sigma^*$, $|x| < 42$, Run M on x

(2) Accept M

”

$M \in L$

$\Rightarrow M$ halts on all inputs of length less than 42

\Rightarrow step (1) of M terminates and reaches step (2)

$\Rightarrow M'$ accepts M

$\Rightarrow M \in L(M')$

$M \notin L$

$\Rightarrow M$ loops on some input of length less than 42

\Rightarrow step (1) of M' loops when it reaches such an x

$\Rightarrow M'$ loops

$\Rightarrow M'$ does not accept M

$\Rightarrow M \notin L(M')$

Hence $L(M') = L$

Suppose complement of L is also recursively enumerable, then L would be decidable since we know

$$L \text{ is decidable} \Leftrightarrow L \text{ is r.e and } \bar{L} \text{ is r.e}$$

we will show a reduction $\text{HP} \leq_m L$

The reduction machine σ on input $M\#x$ (an instance of HP) outputs M' an instance of L

$M' = "$

On input y ,

1. Run M on x
2. If M halts on x , accept y

"

we need to prove

$$M\#x \in \text{HP} \Leftrightarrow M' \in L$$

$$M\#x \in \text{HP}$$

$\Rightarrow M$ halts on x

$\Rightarrow M'$ accepts all y , hence M' halts on all inputs of length less than 42

$\Rightarrow M' \in L$

$$M\#x \notin \text{HP}$$

$\Rightarrow M$ loops on x

$\Rightarrow M'$ loops on all y , hence M' halts on some inputs of length less than 42

$\Rightarrow M' \notin L$

$$\text{therefore } M\#x \in \text{HP} \Leftrightarrow M' \in L$$

Since we know HP is undecidable, L is also undecidable, and thus \bar{L} is not r.e

2. (10 points) (**Alternate definition for Δ_i**) Let A be any language. Define \mathcal{D}^A be the class of all languages L such that L is decidable in A . Similarly, \mathcal{SD}^A be the class of all L such that L is semi-decidable in A and $\text{co}\mathcal{SD}^A$ be the class of all languages whose complement is in \mathcal{SD}^A .

- (a) (5 points) Show that $\mathcal{D}^A = \mathcal{SD}^A \cap \text{co}\mathcal{SD}^A$.

(b) (5 points) For any $i \geq 1$, by definition, $\Delta_i = \Sigma_i \cap \Pi_i$. Show that

$$\Delta_i = \{L \mid \text{there exists } A \in \Sigma_{i-1} \text{ such that } L \text{ is decidable in } A\}.$$

Solution:

(a) Suffices to prove :

$$(i) \mathcal{D}^A \subseteq \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$$

$$(ii) \mathcal{D}^A \supseteq \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$$

(a) Let $L \in \mathcal{D}^A$, by definition of \mathcal{D}^A , L is decidable in A , let the total T.M be M^A

L is decidable in A

$\Rightarrow L$ is r.e in A

$\Rightarrow L \in \mathcal{SD}^A$

to prove $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{SD}^A$, we need to construct a T.M N^A such that $L(N^A) = \bar{L}$

$N^A = "$

On input x ,

i. Run M^A on x , (using the oracle A to answer queries of the form $x \in A?$)

ii. If M^A accepts, reject

iii. If M^A rejects, accept

"

The above machine is total since every step is total including the step

(i) since M^A is total

$x \in \bar{L}$

$\Leftrightarrow x \notin L$

$\Leftrightarrow M^A$ rejects x

$\Leftrightarrow N^A$ accepts x

$\Leftrightarrow x \in L(N^A)$

Hence $L(N^A) = \bar{L}$

and thus \bar{L} is decidable in A

$\Rightarrow \bar{L}$ is r.e in A

$\Rightarrow \bar{L} \in \mathcal{SD}^A$

$\Rightarrow L \in co\mathcal{SD}^A$

and hence proved that $L \in \mathcal{D}^A \Rightarrow L \in \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^A \subseteq \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$

(b) Let $L \in \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$

$\Rightarrow L \in \mathcal{SD}^A$ and $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{SD}^A$

\Rightarrow there exists an OTM N_1^A accepting L and also N_2^A accepting \bar{L}
 now let us construct an OTM N^A such that it accepts L and is total
 $N^A =$ “

On input x ,

i. Run N_1^A and N_2^A on x with time sharing, and also whenever a query of the form $x \in A$ is made, use the oracle A

ii. If N_1^A accepts, accept

iii. If N_2^A accepts, reject

”

$\forall x \in \Sigma^*, x \in L \vee x \in \bar{L}$ but not both

\Rightarrow either N_1^A accepts x or N_2^A accepts x

Since for each input, one of the machines is guaranteed to accept, the machine N^A halts on all inputs and hence is total

To prove $L(N^A) = L$

$x \in L$

$\Rightarrow N_1^A$ accepts x

$\Rightarrow N^A$ accepts x

$\Rightarrow x \in L(N^A)$

$x \notin L$

$\Rightarrow x \in \bar{L}$

$\Rightarrow N_2^A$ accepts x

$\Rightarrow N^A$ rejects x

$\Rightarrow x \notin L(N^A)$

Therefore $L = L(N^A)$ and hence proved that $\mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A \subseteq \mathcal{D}^A$

Hence $\mathcal{D}^A = \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$

(b) To prove :

$$\Delta_i = \{L \mid \exists A \in \Sigma_{i-1} \text{ such that } L \text{ is decidable in } A\}$$

or

$$\Sigma_i \cap \Pi_i = \{L \mid \exists A \in \Sigma_{i-1} \text{ such that } L \text{ is decidable in } A\}$$

Let L be such that $\exists A \in \Sigma_{i-1}$ such that L is decidable in A

$\Rightarrow L \in \{L \mid \exists A \in \Sigma_{i-1} \text{ such that } L \text{ is decidable in } A\}$

$\Rightarrow L$ is semidecidable in A , (decidable languages are trivially semidecidable)

$\Rightarrow L \in \Sigma_i$

From the previous question we proved that $D^A = \mathcal{SD}^A \cap co\mathcal{SD}^A$

\Rightarrow Since L is decidable in A , both L and \bar{L} are semidecidable in A

$\Rightarrow L \in \Pi_i$

hence $L \in \Sigma_i$ and $L \in \Pi_i$

$\Rightarrow L \in \Sigma_i \cap \Pi_i$

Now let $L \in \Sigma_i \cap \Pi_i$, we have to prove that $L \in \Delta_i$

$L \in \Sigma_i$

$\Rightarrow \exists A \in \Sigma_{i-1}$ such that L is semidecidable in A

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an OTM N^A accepting L

$L \in \Pi_i$

$\Rightarrow \exists B \in \Sigma_{i-1}$ such that \bar{L} is semidecidable in B

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an OTM N^B accepting \bar{L}

3. (10 points) (**Closure properties of Σ_n, Π_n**). Fix any $i \geq 1$. Show that Σ_i as well as Π_i are closed under intersection and union.

Solution:

Claim 1 : Σ_i closed under union $\Rightarrow \Pi_i$ closed under intersection

Claim 2 : Σ_i closed under intersection $\Rightarrow \Pi_i$ closed under union

Proof of Claim 1 :

Σ_i closed under union

$\Rightarrow \forall L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma_i, L_1 \cup L_2 \in \Sigma_i$

$\Rightarrow \forall L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma_i, \overline{(L_1 \cap L_2)} \in \Sigma_i$, by De Morgan's Law

$\Rightarrow \forall \bar{L}_1, \bar{L}_2 \in \Pi_i, \overline{(L_1 \cap L_2)} \in \Pi_i$

$\Rightarrow \forall L'_1, L'_2 \in \Pi_i, (L'_1 \cap L'_2) \in \Pi_i$

$\Rightarrow \Pi_i$ closed under intersection

Proof of Claim 2 :

Σ_i closed under intersection

$\Rightarrow \forall L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma_i, L_1 \cap L_2 \in \Sigma_i$

$\Rightarrow \forall L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma_i, \overline{(L_1 \cup L_2)} \in \Sigma_i$, by De Morgan's Law

$\Rightarrow \forall \bar{L}_1, \bar{L}_2 \in \Pi_i, \overline{(L_1 \cup L_2)} \in \Pi_i$

$\Rightarrow \forall L'_1, L'_2 \in \Pi_i, (L'_1 \cup L'_2) \in \Pi_i$

$\Rightarrow \Pi_i$ closed under union

Suffices to prove

Σ_i is closed under intersection and union

We'll argue using induction

Base case : $i = 0$ $\Sigma_0 = \Delta_0 = \Delta_1$ which is the set of decidable languages and we already know that decidable languages are closed under union and intersection

Strong Induction Hypothesis The below statement is true for all $i \leq n$

Σ_i is closed under intersection and union

The below statement also follows from Claim 1 and claim 2

Π_i is closed under intersection and union

Therefore we can consider both statements as Strong induction Hypothesis

To prove for $i = n + 1$

Let $L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma_{n+1}$

$\Rightarrow \exists A \in \Pi_n, A \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*, x \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow \exists y_1, (x, y_1) \in A$ and

$\exists B \in \Pi_n, B \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*, x \in L_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists y_2, (x, y_2) \in B$

$\Rightarrow \exists (A \cup B) \in \Pi_n$ (by induction hypothesis) such that

$x \in L_1 \cup L_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists y, (x, y) \in (A \cup B)$

$\Rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \in \Pi_{n+1}$

and thus proved Σ_{n+1} is closed under union which proves Π_{n+1} is closed under intersection from the claims

Let $L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma_{n+1}$

$\Rightarrow \exists A \in \Pi_n, A \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*, x \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow \exists y_1, (x, y_1) \in A$ and

$\exists B \in \Pi_n, B \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*, x \in L_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists y_2, (x, y_2) \in B$

$\Rightarrow \exists (A \cap B) \in \Pi_n$ (by induction hypothesis) such that

$x \in L_1 \cap L_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists y, (x, y) \in (A \cap B)$

$\Rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \in \Pi_{n+1}$

and thus proved Σ_{n+1} is closed under intersection which proves Π_{n+1} is closed under union from the claims

therefore we have proved that if the statement holds for all $i \leq n$, it holds for $i = n + 1$

and thus by principle of mathematical induction it is proved that

$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \Sigma_i$ and Π_i are closed under union and intersection

4. (20 points) (**Rice's theorem**) Identify if the following are (0) properties of SD languages, (1) non-trivial properties and (2) non-monotone properties. If (1) / (2) is true, apply Rice's theorems suitably and give your conclusions. A direct use of diagonalisation or reductions does not fetch any credit.
- (a) (4 points) given a Turing machine M , $L(M)$ is not regular ?
 - (b) (4 points) given a Turing machine M , does there exist a non-empty regular set L' such that $L' \subseteq L(M)$?
 - (c) (4 points) given M , does M represent a DFA that accepts some string with equal number of 0s and 1s ?
 - (d) (4 points) given a Turing machine M , is $L(M) \in \Pi_{42}$?

Solution:

- (a) $L = \{M \mid L(M) \text{ is not regular}\}$

is a property of SD language

If there are 2 machines M_1 and M_2 accepting the same language, then it cannot be the case that $L(M_1)$ is regular and $L(M_2)$ is not regular

This is non trivial since there are turing machines which accept regular languages and turing machines which accept non-regular languages

This is also non-monotone since, there exists L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ and $\mathcal{P}(L_1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{P}(L_2) = 0$

$$L_2 = \Sigma^* \text{ and } L_1 = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

here L_1 is not regular which satisfies the property whereas L_2 is regular and a strict superset of L_1 which does not satisfy the property

Since the property is non-trivial, by Rice's Theorem 1, L is not decidable

Since the property is non-monotone, by Rice's Theorem 2, L is not semi-decidable

- (b) $L = \{M \mid \exists L' \subseteq \Sigma^*, L' \neq \phi \text{ and } L' \subseteq L(M)\}$

This is equivalent to saying $L = \{M \mid L(M) \text{ is not empty}\}$ because

$L(M)$ is not empty

$\Rightarrow \exists L' \subseteq L(M)$ which is $L(M)$ itself, such that $L' \subseteq L(M)$ i.e $L' = L(M)$

$\exists L' \subseteq \Sigma^*, L' \neq \phi \text{ and } L' \subseteq L(M)$

$\Rightarrow L(M)$ is not empty

$L(M)$ is not empty is a property of SD languages since if there exists 2 machines M_1 and M_2 accepting the same language, it cannot be the case that

$$L(M_1) = \phi \text{ and } L(M_2) \neq \phi \text{ or vice-versa}$$

This is a non-trivial property, since there are turing machines accepting empty languages and there are turing machines accepting non-empty languages

This is a monotone property because

$$\forall L_1, L_2 \in \Sigma^*, \mathcal{P}(L_1) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L_2) = 1$$

because if L_1 is non-empty, any superset of L_1 will be non-empty

Since, this property is non-trivial, by Rice's theorem 1, the given language is undecidable

We cannot conclude anything about semi-decidability from Rice's Theorem 2 because the language is not non-monotone

(c) The language is

$$L = \{M \mid \exists x \in \Sigma^*, \text{DFA}(M) \wedge (\#x(0) = \#x(1)) \wedge x \in L(M)\}$$

This is a property of language, since if there are 2 turing machines M_1 and M_2 accepting the same language, it cannot be the case that one satisfies the property and other does not since if it satisfies, then the x which satisfies the predicate will be present in the language

This is non-trivial because there exists turing machines which satisfy the property and those which do not

The language is monotone because, suppose let L_1 satisfy the property, and let $L_2 \supseteq L_1$

Let the string which satisfies the predicate be x^*

$$x^* \in L_1$$

$$\Rightarrow x^* \in L_2 \text{ since } L_1 \subseteq L_2$$

$$\Rightarrow L_2 \text{ satisfies the property}$$

Thus, if a set satisfies the property, then any superset of that set would also satisfy the property, Hence it is monotone

Therefore, by Rice's Theorem 1, the language L is undecidable, but we cannot conclude anything about semi-decidability from Rice's theorem 2 since it is not non-monotone

(d) The language is

$$L = \{M \mid L(M) \in \Pi_{42}\}$$

This is a property of language since it cannot be the case that there exists M_1, M_2 accepting the same language but $L(M_1) \in \Pi_{42}$ and $L(M_2) \notin \Pi_{42}$ or vice-versa

This is non-trivial because there exists turing machines accepting $L \in \Pi_{42}$ and turing machines accepting languages $L \notin \Pi_{42}$

Hence this is undecidable by Rice's Theorem 1

This is also non-monotone because, let $L_1 \in \Pi_{42}$ and $L_2 \in \Pi_{43} \setminus \Pi_{42}$

$\mathcal{P}(L_1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{P}(L_1 \cup L_2) = 0$ since union of L_1 and L_2 cannot be in Π_{42} since L_2 is in $\Pi_{43} \setminus \Pi_{42}$