


Assignment 4

ECE 4710J

Due 11/29/2021

Visualizing Gradients

1. On the left is a 3D plot of $f(x, y) = (x - 1)^2 + (y - 3)^2$. On the right is a plot of its **gradient field**. Note that the arrows show the relative magnitudes of the gradient vector.



- (a) From the visualization, what do you think is the minimal value of this function and where does it occur?

- (b) Calculate the gradient $\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}^T$.

- (c) When $\nabla f = \vec{0}$, what are the values of x and y ?

Gradient Descent Algorithm

2. Given the following loss function and $\vec{x} = [x_i]_{i=1}^n$, $\vec{y} = [y_i]_{i=1}^n$, and θ^t , explicitly write out the update equation for θ^{t+1} in terms of x_i , y_i , θ^t , and α , where α is the constant learning rate.

$$L(\theta, \vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta^2 x_i^2 - \log(y_i))$$

Convexity

3. Convexity allows optimization problems to be solved more efficiently and for global optimums to be realized. Mainly, it gives us a nice way to minimize loss (i.e. gradient descent). There are three ways to informally define convexity.
- Walking in a straight line between points on the function keeps you at or above the function. This works for any function.
 - The tangent line at any point lies at or below the function, globally. To use this definition, the function must be differentiable.
 - The second derivative is non-negative everywhere (in other words, the function is "concave up" everywhere). To use this definition, the function must be twice differentiable.

Is the function described in Question 1 convex? Make an argument visually.

GPA Descent

4. Consider the following non-linear model with two parameters:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 \cdot 0.5 + \theta_0 \cdot \theta_1 \cdot x_1 + \sin(\theta_1) \cdot x_2$$

For some nonsensical reason, we decide to use the residuals of our model as the loss function. That is, the loss for a single observation is

$$L(\theta) = y_i - f_{\theta}(x_i)$$

We want to use gradient descent to determine the optimal model parameters, $\hat{\theta}_0$ and $\hat{\theta}_1$.

- (a) Suppose we have just one observation in our training data, $(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, y = 4)$. Assume that we set the learning rate α to 1. An incomplete version of the gradient descent update equation for θ is shown below. $\theta_0^{(t)}$ and $\theta_1^{(t)}$ denote the guesses for θ_0 and θ_1 at timestep t , respectively.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_0^{(t+1)} \\ \theta_1^{(t+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0^{(t)} \\ \theta_1^{(t)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

Express both A and B in terms of $\theta_0^{(t)}$, $\theta_1^{(t)}$, and any necessary constants.

- (b) Assume we initialize both $\theta_0^{(0)}$ and $\theta_1^{(0)}$ to 0. Determine $\theta_0^{(1)}$ and $\theta_1^{(1)}$ (i.e. the guesses for θ_0 and θ_1 after one iteration of gradient descent).

- (c) What happens to $\theta_0^{(t)}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. as we run more and more iterations of gradient descent)?