Ve 280
Programming and Introductory Data Structures

Deep Copy

Learning Objectives:

Understand the difference between a shallow copy and a deep copy

Know how to implement deep copy by redefining the copy constructor and the assignment operator

Outline

- Shallow Copy versus Deep Copy

- Copy Constructor

- Assignment Operator

Dynamic Arrays

Group Exercise

- **<u>Question</u>**: What happens in the following code?
- **<u>Hint</u>**: Classes are passed by-value, just like structs. They are also bitwise-copied, just like structs!

```
void foo(IntSet x) {
  // do something
}
int main() {
  IntSet s;
  s.insert(5);
  foo(s);
  s.query(5);
}
```

Dynamic Arrays
The problem of dangling pointers

- The result of pass-by-value mechanism: only pointer value of `elts` is copied, not the array `elts[]`.

  - The two objects end up sharing the same `elts[]` array!

- When `foo` finishes, `x` goes out of scope and is **destroyed**. As a result, `s.elts` **dangles**.

- When main finishes, the destructor of `s` is called. This causes double-deletion of `s.elts`.

Dynamic Arrays
The problem of dangling pointers

- It turns out that exactly the same thing happens in the following:

```
void foo() {
    IntSet s(5);
    s.insert(7);
    {
        IntSet x;
        x = s;
    }
    s.query(7); // Undefined!
}
```

- The assignment statement copies the elements of s to the elements of x, but they end up **sharing** the elts array. When x goes out of scope and is **destroyed**, s.elts **dangles**.

Dynamic Arrays

Fixing dangling pointers

- What we really want is to copy the entire **array**.

s

```
elts:        [  ]———————————→  | 0 |
                               | 1 |
sizeElts:  [ 5 ]               | . |
                               | . |
numElts:   [ 1 ]               | . |
                               | 4 |
```

x

```
elts:        [  ]————————————→  | 0 |
                                | 1 |
sizeElts:  [ 5 ]                | . |
                                | . |
numElts:   [ 1 ]                | . |
                                | 4 |
```

Dynamic Arrays

Fixing dangling pointers

- When a class contains pointers to **dynamic** elements, copying it is tricky.

- If we just copy the "members of the class", we get a **shallow copy**.

- Usually, we want a **full** copy of **everything**. This is called a **deep copy**.

Outline

- Shallow Copy versus Deep Copy
- Copy Constructor
- Assignment Operator

8

Fixing Dangling Pointers

- The C++ class mechanism provides two very closely related mechanisms that copy class objects:
 - **Copy constructor** and **assignment operator**.

Fixing Dangling Pointers

- **Copy constructor** – it <u>creates</u> an object of this class by copying from another object of this class.
  - In other words, given a "blank" block of memory, and an "example" instance, make the "blank" block a copy of the example.
- The copy constructor plays a role identical to any other constructor.

Object a		Construct a new object b by copying a	Object b	
int	12		int	12
int	24		int	24

Fixing Dangling Pointers

- **Assignment operator** – it copies the contents from one object (source) to another **existing** object (target).
  - Both two objects already exist.

Object a	Object b		Object b
int $\boxed{12}$	int $\boxed{6}$	b = a	int $\boxed{12}$
int $\boxed{24}$	int $\boxed{8}$		int $\boxed{24}$

Copy Constructors

- We could declare a copy constructor for our `IntSet` class as follows:

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts;     // array of elements
  int numElts;   // number of elements in array
  int sizeElts;  // capacity of array
public:
  IntSet(int size=MAXELTS); // client optionally
                            // names size
  IntSet(const IntSet &is); // copy constructor
  ...
};
```

function overloading

Copy Constructors

`IntSet(const IntSet &is);`

- When passing arguments by value to a function, copy constructor is called.

  - The copy constructor is invoked on a "blank" instance of an `IntSet`, and must make the "blank" version look like an exact copy of the argument.

```
foo(s); //s is an IntSet
```

```
void foo(IntSet x) {
// copy constructor copies s to x
// do something
}
```

Copy Constructors

```
IntSet(const IntSet &is);
```

- The argument must be **passed by reference** to avoid infinite recursion.

- The argument is **const** for two reasons:
    1. Avoid accidentally changing the argument.
    2. Ensure that **<u>any</u>** instance (e.g., const object) of the class can serve as the **source**, not just an **lvalues**.

Copy Constructors

- The copy constructor has to accomplish the following tasks:

  1. Allocate an array of the same size as the source set's
  2. Copy each element from the source array to the new array
  3. Copy the numElts/sizeElts fields

- The copying part is going to have to happen in both the **copy constructor** and the **assignment operator**.

- So, we will abstract away the copying into a utility function.

Copy Constructors

- This adds a private method to our ADT:

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts;    // array of elements
  int numElts;  // number of elements in array
  int sizeElts; // capacity of array
  void copyFrom(const IntSet &is);
    // MODIFIES: this
    // EFFECTS:  copies is' contents to this
public:
  IntSet(int size=MAXELTS); // client optionally
                            // names size
  IntSet(const IntSet &is); // copy constructor
  ...
};
```

Copy Constructors: Deep Copies

- Before implementing `copyFrom`, think about what has to happen in `copyFrom`, **in general**, not just in the context of the **copy constructor**.

- We need to figure this out because `copyFrom` will be called from the **assignment operator**.

Copy Constructors: Deep Copies

- `copyFrom` is a method and it must maintain the representational invariants. Here's what it must do:
    1. `copyFrom` has to assume that the source and destination sets might have different sizes. If so, it will have to resize the array appropriately, by **destroying** and **reallocating** it.
    2. Copy the source array to the destination array.
    3. Copy `sizeElts` and `numElts`.

Copy Constructors: Deep Copies

```
void IntSet::copyFrom(const IntSet &is) {
  if (is.sizeElts != sizeElts) { // Resize array
    delete[] elts;
    sizeElts = is.sizeElts;
    elts = new int[sizeElts];
  }
  // Copy array
  for (int i = 0; i < is.sizeElts; i++) {
    elts[i] = is.elts[i];
  }
  // Establish numElts invariant
  numElts = is.numElts;
}
```

It is OK to visit **is.sizeElts** in **copyFrom**, since **copyFrom** is a member function of **IntSet**

Copy Constructors: Deep Copies

- With `copyFrom`, the copy constructor is simple.

- First, we have to establish its invariants, then call `copyFrom`.

```
IntSet::IntSet(const IntSet &is) {
  elts = NULL;
  numElts = 0;
  sizeElts = 0;
  copyFrom(is);
}
```

Copy Constructors: Deep Copies

- Contrast this copy constructor with the "default" method of copying, which does only a few things:
  - Copies the elts/numElts/sizeElts fields

- The copy constructor we've written **chases** pointers and **copies** the things they point to, rather than just copying the pointers.

- This is called a **deep copy**, as opposed to the default behavior of a **shallow copy**.

Outline

- Shallow Copy versus Deep Copy
- Copy Constructor
- Assignment Operator

Assignment Operators

Basics

- Assignment statement returns a value.
- The value is the **reference** to its left-hand-side object.

- Example

```
x = 4;
(y = x) += 2;
```

  - Are the above statements legal?
  - What is the value of y?

Assignment Operators
Basics

- Assignment statements can be "chained". The following is legal in C++:

```
x = y = z;
```

- This is a compound expression. Assignment operators binds **right-to-left**.
- Because "=" binds right-to-left, we first assign z to y, and this expression yields the (new) value "y" so that it can in turn be assigned to x.

Assignment Operators

On to overloading

- Now, how do we handle the following code?

    ```
    IntSet s1(5);
    IntSet s2(10);
    s1 = s2; // assignment of s2 to s1
    ```

- By default, the compiler will use a shallow copy for this.

- However, like a copy constructor, assignment must do a **deep copy** of the right-hand-side to the left-hand-side.

- To implement this, we **redefine** the "assignment operator" for `IntSets` by doing **operator overloading**.

Assignment Operators

Operator overloading

- Here's how we **overload** the assignment operator:

```
class IntSet {
  // data elements
  ...
  public:
  // Constructors
  ...
  IntSet &operator= (const IntSet &is);
  ...
};
```

You can overload other operators such as +, *, etc. You need to use the keyword **operator**

Assignment Operators

Operator overloading

```
IntSet &operator= (const IntSet &is);
```

- Like the copy constructor, the assignment operator takes a **reference to a const** instance to copy from.

- However, it also **returns** a **reference** to the copied-to object.

- When we call the assignment operator

      a = b;

- Essentially, we call the assignment operator of object `a`.

- `b` is the argument to the `operator=()` function.

  - Consider this as `a.operator=(b)`

Assignment Operators

Operator overloading

- The cool thing is that we have written `copyFrom` already:

```
void IntSet::copyFrom(const IntSet &is) {
  if (is.sizeElts != sizeElts) { // Resize array
    delete[] elts;
    sizeElts = is.sizeElts;
    elts = new int[sizeElts];
  }
  // Copy array
  for (int i = 0; i < is.sizeElts; i++) {
    elts[i] = is.elts[i];
  }
  // Establish numElts invariant
  numElts = is.numElts;
}
```

Assignment Operators
Operator overloading

- With `copyFrom`, the assignment operator is (almost) trivial:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is) {
  copyFrom(is);
  return *this;
}
```

Note: Every method has an implicit local variable "this", which is a pointer to the current instance on which that method operates.

Assignment Operators

Operator overloading

- With `copyFrom`, the assignment operator is (almost) trivial:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is) {
    copyFrom(is);
    return *this;
}
```

Note: This line dereferences that pointer and then returns a reference to it. We can't just return "this", because "this" is just a pointer, cannot be used as a reference.

Assignment Operators

Operator overloading

- With `copyFrom`, the assignment operator is (almost) trivial:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is) {
  copyFrom(is);
  return *this;
}
```

Note: We must return the reference to the **assigned-to** object, not the **assigned-from** object, i.e., we cannot `return is`.

Assignment Operators
Question

- **<u>Question</u>**: What happens if we do this?

  ```
  IntSet s(50);
  s = s;
  ```

- It is fine! Since their `sizeElts` are equal, no destroying and reallocating are needed.

- However, it is better to modify the code as follows:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is)
{
    if(this != &is)
        copyFrom(is);
    return *this;
}
```

Which of the following statements are true about operator overloading?

Select all the correct answers.

- **A.** The code could become more legible.

- **B.** The code could become illegible.

- **C.** It is possible to perform operations that wouldn't be possible otherwise.

- **D.** We can always avoid operator overloading.

The Rule of the Big Three

- What we have talked so far can be summarized with a simple rule: **the Rule of the Big Three**.

- Specifically, if you have any **dynamically allocated storage** in a class, you must provide:
  - **A destructor**
  - **A copy constructor**
  - **An assignment operator**

- If you find yourself writing one of these, you almost certainly need all of them.

Reference

- **Problem Solving with C++ (8th Edition)**, by *Walter Savitch*, Addison Wesley Publishing (2011)
  - Chapter 11.4 Classes and Dynamic Arrays