

# CS5800: Algorithms — Virgil Pavlu

## Homework 8

Name: Chenyuan Zhang

Collaborators:

### Instructions:

- Make sure to put your name on the first page. If you are using the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  template we provided, then you can make sure it appears by filling in the `yourname` command.
- Please review the grading policy outlined in the course information page.
- You must also write down with whom you worked on the assignment. If this changes from problem to problem, then you should write down this information separately with each problem.
- Problem numbers (like Exercise 3.1-1) are corresponding to CLRS 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. While the 2<sup>nd</sup> edition has similar problems with similar numbers, the actual exercises and their solutions are different, so make sure you are using the 3<sup>rd</sup> edition.

1. (50 points) Answers to problem are 1-4 in code files

2. (50 points)

Consider an ordinary binary min-heap data structure supporting the instructions INSERT and EXTRACT-MIN that, when there are  $n$  items in the heap, implements each operation in  $O(\lg n)$  worst-case time. Give a potential function  $\Phi$  such that the amortized cost of INSERT is  $O(\lg n)$  and the amortized cost of EXTRACT-MIN is  $O(1)$ , and show that your potential function yields these amortized time bounds. Note that in the analysis,  $n$  is the number of items currently in the heap, and you do not know a bound on the maximum number of items that can ever be stored in the heap.

**Solution::**

Consider the potential function  $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \lg i$  for a binary min-heap with  $n$  elements. For INSERT, when adding a new element, the potential increases by  $\lg(n+1)$ . Combined with the actual cost of  $O(\lg n)$ , this yields an amortized cost of  $O(\lg n)$ .

For EXTRACT-MIN, while the actual cost is  $O(\lg n)$ , removing an element decreases the potential by  $\lg n$ . This decrease in potential compensates for the actual cost, resulting in an  $O(1)$  amortized cost. The potential function effectively banks cost during insertions and spends it during extractions, achieving our desired bounds of  $O(\lg n)$  for INSERT and  $O(1)$  for EXTRACT-MIN.