This weeks problem set focuses on eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Matrices. A question marked with a  $^{\dagger}$  is difficult and probably too hard for an exam (though still illustrates a useful point). A question marked with a  $^*$  is especially important.

- 1. From section 2.2, problems 4, 9.
- 2. From section 2.3, problems 12.
- 3. From section 2.4, problems 7, 16.
- 4. From section 2.5, problems 4, 8.
- 5. From section 5.1, problems 1, 2a, c, e, 3a, c, 4a, d, h, 6, 7\*, 14\*, 15, 16, 22a, 23.
- 6. From section 5.2, problems 1,  $3a, d, e, 8, 9, 10, 11, 18^*, 19, 20^{\dagger}$ .
- 7. Let  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the linear map that takes a vector and rotates it by  $\theta$  in the counterclockwise direction. Let E be the standard basis.
  - (a) Find  $[R_{\theta}]_{E}^{E}$ .
  - (b) Is  $R_{\theta}$  diagonalisable?
  - (c) Consider the linear map  $R_{\theta}^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  given by  $R_{\theta}^{\mathbb{C}}(v) = [R_{\theta}]_E^E v$ . Is this linear map diagonalisable?
- 8. Let U, W be subspaces of V such that  $V = U \oplus W$ . Let  $\operatorname{pr}_U^W : V \longrightarrow V$  be the projection of V onto U along W. Is  $\operatorname{pr}_U^W$  diagonalisable? Hint: find a suitable basis for V.
- 9. Let V be a vector space and  $E = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  a collection of eigenvectors for a linear map  $T: V \longrightarrow V$  such that the eigenvalues are all distinct. Prove that E is a linearly independent set. Hint: use induction on n.

**Solution:** We start with n = 1. In this case the statement is true since E is a set of one element. For general n, let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalue of  $v_i$ . Now consider an arbitrary linear combination

$$0 = \mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n$$

Call this equation A. Applying T to both sides we get

$$0 = T(0) = T(\mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n)$$
  
=  $\mu_1 T(v_1) + \dots + \mu_n T(v_n)$   
=  $\mu_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n \lambda_n v_n$ .

Call this equation B. Now consider  $\lambda_n A - B$ 

$$0 = \mu_1(\lambda_n - \lambda_1)v_1 + \cdots + \mu_{n-1}(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})v_{n-1}.$$

By induction  $\{v_1, \ldots, n_{n-1}\}$  is a linearly independent set, so  $\mu_i(\lambda_n - \lambda_i) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . But by assumption  $\lambda_n \ne \lambda_i$  when  $1 \le i \le n-1$  so we must have that  $\mu_i = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ .

Thus equation A becomes

$$0 = \mu_n v_n$$

which means  $\mu_n = 0$ . Thus E is a linearly independent set.