This weeks problem set focuses on the ideas of bases and linear transformations. A question marked with a  $\dagger$  is difficult and probably too hard for an exam (though still illustrates a useful point). A question marked with a \* is especially important.

- 1. From section 1.6, problems 1,  $2a, e, 3a, c, 4, 6, 14, 15, 20^*, 26, 28^{\dagger}, 33, 34^*, 35^*$ .
- 2. From section 2.1, problems 1, 2, 5, 6, 9\*, 14, 14b.
- 3. Let  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$  for some field  $\mathbb{F}$ . If  $v \in V$  (i.e. v is a column vector) a permutation of v is any column vector obtained from v by rearranging the entries. For example

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a permutation of } \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We say that a subspace  $U \subseteq V$  is *permutation invariant* if for any  $v \in U$  then any permutation of v is also in U.

(a) Give an example of a one dimensional, permutation invariant subspace when n=2.

**Solution:** We can take span  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \operatorname{span}\{e_1 + e_2\}.$ 

(b) Give an example of a one dimensional, permutation invariant subspace for any n.

**Solution:** Similarly, we can take  $T = \text{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + \cdots e_n\}$ .

(c) Show that the subspace  $\Sigma_n \subseteq V$  is permutation invariant.

**Solution:** Suppose that  $v \in \Sigma_n$ . Then if

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

we have by definition that  $v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_n = 0$ . If we rearrange the entries of v this sum does not change so any permutation of v is in  $\Sigma_n$ .

(d) Suppose that U is a permutation invariant subspace that does not contain  $e_1 - e_2$ . Then the first two entries of any vector in U are equal.

**Solution:** Proof by contradiction. Suppose that U contains a vector v with the first two entries  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  different. Let u be the vector with the first two entries swapped. Then  $v - u = (v_1 - v_2)(e_2 - e_2)$ . Since U is a subspace, it is closed under scalar multiplication and since  $v_1 - v_2 \neq 0$  we can divide by it. Hence U contains  $e_1 - e_2$  which is a contradiction.

(e) Suppose that U is a permutation invariant subspace such that the first two entries of any vector in U are equal. Show that  $U = \{0\}$  or T.

**Solution:** Let  $v \in U$  and suppose that

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we can swap the  $2^{nd}$  and the  $i^{th}$  entries to get the vector

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x_i \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

But U is permutation invariant so  $u \in U$ , thus the first two entries of u are equal. I.e.  $x = x_i$  for any i. Thus all the entries of v are equal!

The only subspaces with this property are  $\{0\}$  and T.

(f) List all the permutation invariant subspaces. Hint: this is tricky, you will need to use the previous two parts.

**Solution:** First we have the following permutation invariant subspaces  $\{0\}, T, \Sigma_n, V$ . We claim these are the only ones.

To see this, suppose we had another permutation invariant subspace U not on this list. If  $e_1 - e_2 \in U$  then we also have  $e_1 - e_i \in U$  for any  $2 \le i \le n$  (since we can rearrange the entries). This is a basis of  $\Sigma_n$  so we must have that  $\Sigma_n \subseteq U$ . This is only possible if  $U = \Sigma_n$  or V.

Now suppose that  $e_1 - e_2 \notin U$ . Then by the previous part we must have that  $U = \{0\}$  of T. Hence the above is a complete list.

(g) Is it possible to always have two non-trivial, permutation invariant subspaces U, W such that  $U \oplus W = V$ ? Hint: you will need a condition on the characteristic of the field!

**Solution:** It is clear for dimension reasons that we must have U=T and  $W=\Sigma_n$  (or visa versa). We have a problem when the characteristic of  $\mathbb F$  divides n. In this case  $T\subset U$  so we cannot have a direct sum!

Suppose that the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$  does not divide n. Then T is not a subset of  $\Sigma_n$  and since it is one dimensional we must have that  $T \cap \Sigma = \{0\}$ . Furthermore, it is not hard to see that

$$\{e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n, e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n\}$$

is a basis and so every vector in V can be written as a sum of a vector in T and a vector in  $\Sigma_n$ .