

This weeks problem set provides some review questions in the lead up to the second midterm. A question marked with a  $\dagger$  is difficult and probably too hard for an exam (though still illustrates a useful point). A question marked with a  $*$  is especially important.

**Homework 4:** due Monday 4 March: questions 3 and 4 below.

1. From section 5.2, problems 1, 3a, d, e, 8, 9, 10, 11, 18\*, 19, 20 $\dagger$ .
2. From section 6.1, problems 1, 2, 3, 4, 8\*, 9, 12, 16, 17\*, 23, 29.
3. Let  $T : V \longrightarrow V$  be a diagonalisable linear operator. Let  $C(T) \subseteq \text{Hom}(V, V)$  be the set of all linear maps that commute with  $T$ . I.e

$$C(T) = \{S \in \text{Hom}(V, V) \mid S \circ T = T \circ S\}.$$

- (a) If  $T$  has  $n = \dim V$  distinct eigenvalues, show that any  $S \in C(T)$  is diagonalisable.

**Solution:** Since  $T$  is diagonalisable, there exists a basis  $B$  of eigenvectors. Let  $v \in B$  and suppose  $\lambda$  be the eigenvalue for  $v$ . Now suppose that  $S \in C(T)$ . Consider

$$T(S(v)) = S(T(v)) = S(\lambda v) = \lambda S(v).$$

Thus  $S(v)$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $T$ . If  $E_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace for  $T$  then since  $T$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, the sum of its geometric multiplicities is  $n$ , and so each eigenspace is one dimensional. Thus  $\{v, S(v)\} \subset E_\lambda$  is linearly dependent. I.e there exists some  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $S(v) = \mu v$ . Hence each element of the basis  $B$  is an eigenvector for  $S$ , so it is a basis of eigenvectors of  $S$ . Thus  $S$  is diagonalisable.

- (b) Describe explicitly  $C(T)$  in the case  $T = x \frac{d}{dx} : \mathbb{C}_1[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_1[x]$ .

**Solution:** The operator  $T$  has a basis of eigenvectors  $\{1, x\}$  with eigenvalues 0. By part a, if  $S \in C(T)$  then this must also be a basis of eigenvectors for  $S$ .  $C(T)$  consists of linear operators  $S$  given by

$$S(1) = a \text{ and } S(x) = bx$$

for any choice  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

- (c) Show that part (a) does not necessarily hold if  $T$  does not have  $n$  distinct eigenvalues.

**Solution:** If  $T = \text{id}$  then it is diagonalisable but does not have  $n$  distinct eigenvalues. The condition that  $S \circ \text{id} = \text{id} \circ S$  reduces to  $S = S$  so there is no condition on  $S$ . Thus

$$C(T) = C(\text{id}) = \text{Hom}(V, V).$$

There exist non-diagonalisable linear operators on any vector space so part (a) does not hold. For an example of a non-diagonalisable linear operator fix a basis  $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$  and consider the linear operator defined by  $S(v_1) = v_2$  and  $S(v_i) = 0$  for  $i > 1$ . The matrix of  $T$  is lower triangular with zeros on the diagonal, so the characteristic polynomial is  $t^n$ . Thus the only eigenvalue is 0, with an algebraic multiplicity of  $n$ . To find the geometric multiplicity let's solve the equation

$$0 = S(v) = S(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \lambda_1 S(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_2$$

Thus we must have that  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and a basis for the 0-eigenspace is  $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ . Hence the geometric multiplicity is  $n - 1$  which does not match the algebraic multiplicity and so the operator is not diagonalisable.

- 4\* Suppose that  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear operator, with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ . Prove that

$$V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}$$

if and only if  $T$  is diagonalisable.

**Definition:** If  $U_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , are subspaces of a vector space  $V$ , then we say  $V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \dots \oplus U_k$  if  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $V = U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_k$ , i.e. every vector  $v \in V$  can be written as a sum  $v = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i$  with  $u_i \in U_i$ .

**Solution:** Suppose first that

$$V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}.$$

Let  $b_i = b_{\lambda_i}$  be the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ , i.e.  $\dim E_{\lambda_i} = b_i$ . Since we have a direct sum we have

$$b_1 + \dots + b_k = n.$$

(see below for a careful explanation of this fact). The characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is

$$p_T(t) = q(t)(t - \lambda_1)^{a_1} \dots (t - \lambda_k)^{a_k}$$

for some polynomial  $q(t)$ . If we can show the degree of  $q$  is zero, then  $p_T(t)$  splits. We know that

$$n = b_1 + \dots + b_k \leq a_1 + \dots + a_k \leq n$$

thus  $a_1 + \dots + a_k = n$ . But  $a_1 + \dots + a_k + \deg q = n$  so  $\deg q = 0$ . Thus  $p_T(t)$  splits.

Now to see that the algebraic and geometric multiplicities are equal, consider the equality

$$b_1 + \dots + b_k = n = a_1 + \dots + a_k$$

along with the fact that  $b_i \leq a_i$  for each  $i$ . But if  $b_i < a_i$  then we would have that

$$b_1 + \dots + b_k < a_1 + \dots + a_k$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $b_i = a_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $T$  is diagonalisable.

Now suppose that  $T$  is diagonalisable. Clearly  $E_i \cap E_j = \{0\}$  unless  $i = j$ . Furthermore, there exists a basis of eigenvectors, i.e. every vector in  $V$  can be written as the sum of eigenvectors, thus

$$V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}.$$

Aside: Suppose we have  $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$ . We will show that  $\dim V = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim U_i$ . Let  $n = \dim V$  and  $n_i = \dim U_i$ . The easiest way to see this is to observe that there are isomorphisms  $\phi_i : \mathbb{F}^{n_i} \rightarrow U_i$ . Thus there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^{n_k} &\rightarrow V \\ \phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) &= \phi_1(v_1) + \phi_2(v_2) + \dots + \phi_k(v_k). \end{aligned}$$

This is linear and since  $V$  is the direct sum of the  $U_i$ , it is surjective. Now suppose that

$$0 = \phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) = \phi_1(v_1) + \phi_2(v_2) + \dots + \phi_k(v_k).$$

thus

$$\phi_2(v_2) + \cdots + \phi_k(v_k) = -\phi_1(v_1) \in U_1 \cap (U_2 + \cdots + U_k)$$

But since the sum is direct, this intersection must be zero and

$$\phi_2(v_2) + \cdots + \phi_k(v_k) = 0 \text{ and } \phi_1(v_1) = 0$$

Thus  $v_1 = 0$ . We can keep going in this fashion and see that  $v_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $\phi$  is injective.

This means that the dimensions must be equal.  $\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^{n_k} = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$  and  $\dim V = n$ , and so we have proven the claim.