

창의적 소프트웨어 프로그래밍 (Creative Software Design)

Polymorphism - Interface and Virtual Functions

2018.07.09.

담당교수 이 효 섭

The ability to create a variable, a function, or an object that has more than one form. [wikipedia] - 다형성 (多形性).

- A common interface for different types of objects.
- Real-world examples (in functionality):
 - Steering wheel + accelerator + brake in cars.
 - Volume control + channel control in TV remotes.
 - Shutter button for film or digital cameras.
- Message passing mechanism.

- The parent class has common properties and functionalities of the child classes.
 - Public functions in the base class defines an interface.

```
// Vehicle class.
class Vehicle {
public:
    Vehicle() {}
    void Accelerate();
    void Decelerate();

    LatLng GetLocation() const;
    double GetSpeed() const;
    double GetWeight() const;
};
```

```
// Car and truck class.
class Car : public Vehicle {
    // ...
};

class Truck : public Vehicle {
    // ...
};

int main() {
    Car car;
    Truck truck;
    Vehicle* pv = &car;      // OK.
    if (...) pv = &truck;    // OK.
    pv->Accelerate();
    ...
}
```

- Public functions in the base class defines an interface.
- Problem happens when the child classes overrides the parent's interface functions.

// Vehicle, Car, and Truck class.

```
class Vehicle {  
    public:  
        void Accelerate(); // A  
        // ...  
};  
  
class Car : public Vehicle {  
    public:  
        void Accelerate() { // B  
            // Operation specific to cars.  
        }  
        // ...  
};
```

```
class Truck : public Vehicle {  
    public:  
        void Accelerate() { // C  
            // Operation specific to trucks.  
        }  
        // ...  
};  
  
int main() {  
    Car car;  
    Truck truck;  
    Vehicle* pv = &car;  
    if (...) pv = &truck;  
    pv->Accelerate(); // A, B, or C?  
    ...  
}
```

Virtual functions are keys to implement polymorphism in C++.

1. Declare polymorphic member functions to be 'virtual'.
2. Use the base class pointer to point an instance of the derived class.
3. The function call from a base class pointer will execute the function overridden in its own class definition.

```
// Vehicle classes.
```

```
class Vehicle {
public:
    virtual void Accelerate() {
        cout << "Vehicle.Accelerate";
    }
};
```

```
class Car : public Vehicle {
public:
    virtual void Accelerate() {
        cout << "Car.Accelerate";
    }
};
```

```
class Truck : public Vehicle {
public:
    virtual void Accelerate();
    cout << "Truck.Accelerate";
}
};
```

```
// Main routine.
```

```
int main() {
    Car car;
    Truck truck;
    Vehicle* pv = &car;
    pv->Accelerate();
    // Outputs Car.Accelerate.

    pv = &truck;
    pv->Accelerate();
    // Outputs Truck.Accelerate.

    Vehicle vehicle;
    pv = &vehicle;
    pv->Accelerate();
    // Outputs Vehicle.Accelerate.
    return 0;
}
```

```
// Vehicle classes.
```

```
class Vehicle {
public:
    void Accelerate() {
        cout << "Vehicle.Accelerate";
    }
};
```

```
class Car : public Vehicle {
public:
    void Accelerate() {
        cout << "Car.Accelerate";
    }
};
```

```
class Truck : public Vehicle {
public:
    void Accelerate();
    cout << "Truck.Accelerate";
}
};
```

```
// Main routine.
```

```
int int main() {
    Car car;
    Truck truck;
    Vehicle* pv = &car;
    pv->Accelerate();
    // Outputs Vehicle.Accelerate.
    car.Accelerate();
    // Outputs Car.Accelerate.

    pv = &truck;
    pv->Accelerate();
    // Outputs Vehicle.Accelerate.
    truck.Accelerate();
    // Outputs Truck.Accelerate.

    Vehicle vehicle;
    pv = &vehicle;
    pv->Accelerate();
    // Outputs Vehicle.Accelerate.
    return 0;
}
```

What happens if an object is 'deleted' by its base class pointer?

```
struct A {
    A() { cout << " A"; }
    ~A() { cout << " ~A"; }
};

struct AA : public A {
    AA() { cout << " AA"; }
    ~AA() { cout << " ~AA"; }
};

int main() {
    A* pa = new AA;    // OK: prints ' A AA'.
    delete pa;         // Hmm...: prints only ' ~A'.
    return 0;
}
```


A destructor of a base class can be, and should be virtual if

- its descendant class instance is deleted by the base class pointer.
- any of member function is virtual.

```
struct A {  
    A() { cout << " A"; }  
    virtual ~A() { cout << " ~A"; }  
};  
  
struct AA : public A {  
    AA() { cout << " AA"; }  
    virtual ~AA() { cout << " ~AA"; }  
};  
  
int main() {  
    A* pa = new AA;    // OK: prints ' A AA'.  
    delete pa;         // OK: prints ' ~AA ~A'.  
    return 0;  
}
```

- Recall

- destructors needed to de-allocate dynamically allocated data

- Consider:

```
Base *pBase = new Derived;  
...  
delete pBase;
```

- Would call base class destructor even though pointing to Derived class object!
- Making destructor *virtual* fixes this!
- Good policy for all destructors to be virtual

- Consider:

```
Pet vpet;  
Dog vdog;  
...  
vdog = static_cast<Dog>(vpel); //ILLEGAL!
```

- Can't cast a pet to be a dog, but:

```
vpel = vdog; // Legal!  
vpel = static_cast<Pet>(vdog); //Also legal!
```

- Upcasting is OK

- From descendant type to ancestor type

- Downcasting dangerous!
 - Casting from ancestor type to descended type
 - Assumes information is "added"
 - Can be done with dynamic_cast:

```
Pet *ppet;  
ppet = new Dog;  
Dog *pdog = dynamic_cast<Dog*>(ppet);
```

- Legal, but dangerous!
- Downcasting rarely done due to pitfalls
 - Must track all information to be added
 - All member functions must be virtual

- What if you cannot define the base class' member function?
(no 'default' behavior)

```
// Shape classes.
```

```
struct Shape {  
    virtual void Draw() const {  
        // What should we do here?  
    }  
};
```

```
struct Rectangle : public Shape {  
    virtual void Draw() const {  
        // Draw a rectangle.  
    }  
};
```

```
struct Triangle : public Shape {  
    // What if we forget to override  
    // Draw() here?  
};
```

```
int main() {  
    vector<Shape*> v;  
    v.push_back(new Rectangle);  
    v.push_back(new Triangle);  
  
    for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); ++i) {  
        v[i]->Draw();  
    }  
    for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); ++i) {  
        delete v[i];  
    }  
    return 0;  
}
```

- Pure virtual functions cannot have definitions.
- Pure virtual functions should be overridden.

```
// Shape classes.
```

```
struct Shape {  
    // Pure virtual Draw function.  
    virtual void Draw() const = 0;  
};  
  
struct Rectangle : public Shape {  
    virtual void Draw() const {  
        // Draw a rectangle.  
    }  
};  
  
struct Triangle : public Shape {  
    // What if we forget to override  
    // Draw() here? => Error!  
};
```

```
int main() {  
    vector<Shape*> v;  
    v.push_back(new Rectangle);  
    v.push_back(new Triangle);  
  
    for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); ++i) {  
        v[i]->Draw();  
    }  
    for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); ++i) {  
        delete v[i];  
    }  
    return 0;  
}
```

- Base class might not have "meaningful" definition for some of its members!
 - It's purpose solely for others to derive from
- Recall class Figure
 - All figures are objects of derived classes
 - Rectangles, circles, triangles, etc.
 - Class Figure has no idea how to draw!
- Make it a pure virtual function:

```
virtual void draw() = 0;
```

- Pure virtual functions require no definition
 - Forces all derived classes to define "their own" version
- Class with one or more pure virtual functions is: abstract base class
 - Can only be used as base class
 - No objects can ever be created from it
 - Since it doesn't have complete "definitions" of all its members!
- If derived class fails to define all pure's:
 - It's an abstract base class too

- Virtual function definition changed in a derived class
 - We say it's been "overridden"
- Similar to redefined
 - Recall: for standard functions
- So:
 - Virtual functions changed: *overridden*
 - Non-virtual functions changed: *redefined*

- Clear advantages to virtual functions as we've seen
- One major disadvantage: overhead!
 - Uses more storage
 - Late binding is "on the fly", so programs run slower
- So if virtual functions not needed, should not be used

- To write C++ programs:
 - Assume it happens by "magic"!
- But explanation involves late binding
 - Virtual functions implement late binding
 - Tells compiler to "wait" until function is used in program
 - Decide which definition to use based on calling object
- Very important OOP principle!

An interface class is a class only with pure virtual functions.

- A design pattern.
- No member variables or non-virtual functions.
- Defines an interface to a service - what does the class do, and how it should be used.

```
struct Shape {  
    virtual ~Shape() {}  
    virtual void Draw() const = 0;  
    virtual int GetArea() const = 0;  
    virtual void MoveTo(int x, int y) = 0;  
};  
  
void DrawShapes(const vector<Shape*>& v) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); ++i) v[i]->Draw();  
}
```

Thank you!

Beyond The Engine of Korea

HANYANG UNIVERSITY



한양대학교
HANYANG UNIVERSITY