## Homework 5 BY: NOA SHADMON

ID: 5980

Honor Code: "The codes and results derived by using these codes constitute my own work. I have consulted the following resources regarding this assignment:" (ADD: names of persons or web resources, if any, excluding the instructor, TAs, and materials posted on course website)

Kathleen Zhen, code posted on piazza, and discussion notes (posted by Nick)

## **Problem 1**

The first time we run the code, we get  $\beta_0=$  -5.071102 and  $\beta_1=$  2.016006 with  $\sigma^2=$  0.9827592. For all 10 runs, the theoretical confidence interval for  $\beta_0$  is always greater than that for bootstrap procedure. This is also true for  $\beta_1$ . This is again seen when we calculate the average values for the slope and intercept coefficients for the theoretical and bootstrap samples. The average theoretical  $\beta_0=$  0.830346 and the average bootstrap  $\beta_0=$  0.6838061. The average theoretical  $\beta_1=$  0.1230048 and the average bootstrap  $\beta_1=$  0.1046841.

## **Problem 2**

Using the logistic model, we created a confusion model. Of the 20 plants (using Sepal.Length as the predictor), the system predicted 12 setosa plants and 8 versicolor plants even though there are 10 of each. This model is 90% accurate (code to calculate accuracy attached).

Using the linear discriminant analysis the system predicted 13 setosa plants and 7 versicolor plants (using Sepal.Length as the predictor). This model predicted 85% correctly.

> lda\_con

model
true setosa versicolor
setosa 10 0
versicolor 3 7

Using the k nearest neighbor's algorithm, when k=3 the confusion matrix is as such:

> knn\_con3

model
true setosa versicolor
setosa 10 0
versicolor 4 6

Here, the system predicted 14 setosas and 6 versicolor. This model is 80% accurate. When k=5, the confusion shows a prediction of 12 setosas and 8 versicolors. This model is 90% accurate.

> knn\_con5

model
true setosa versicolor
setosa 10 0
versicolor 2 8

From the tables and using the calculated accuracy values, we can conclude that the logistic model and k nearest neighbors (with k=5) is the best for classification prediction when sepal.length is the predictor.

## **APPENDIX**

```
library(readr)
library(broom)
library(MASS)
library(class)
#PROBLEM 1
resample = function(data) {
n = nrow(data)
# Sample row numbers (i) rather than values (e_i)
idx = sample(n, n, replace = TRUE)
# Use row numbers to get new residuals (e2_i).
 res_samp = data$.resid[idx]
 y_2i = b_0 + b_1 * x_i + e_2i
 y_samp = data$.fitted + res_samp
 # Insert new response (y_i) into data frame, keeping old covariates (x_i)
 data$gift_aid = y_samp
 # Fit the same model with new data (y2_i, x_i).
 new_mod = Im(gift_aid ~ x, data)
return (coef(new_mod))
}
prob1 = function(seed) {
set.seed(seed) # only set the seed once, at the beginning
# Part 1
x = rchisq(n = 100, df = 6)
 e = rnorm(n = 100, mean = 0, sd = 1)
y = -5 + 2*x + e
 # Part 2
 mod = Im(y \sim x)
 summ = summary(mod)
 print(summ$coefficients)
 sigma = summ$sigma
 print(sigma)
 resid = augment(mod)
 # Part 3
 theo = confint(mod)
 boot = sapply(1:400, function(i) resample(resid))
```

```
ci intercept = quantile(boot[1, ], c(0.05, 0.95))
 ci slope = quantile(boot[2, ], c(0.05, 0.95))
 theo diff int = abs(theo[3] - theo[1])
 theo diff x = abs(theo[4] - theo[2])
 diff_int = abs(ci_intercept[[1]] - ci_intercept[[2]])
 diff_slope = abs(ci_slope[[1]] - ci_slope[[2]])
 ci_widths = data.frame(theo_diff_int, theo_diff_x, diff_int, diff_slope)
 # Return widths of both the theoretical and bootstrap confidence intervals:
 return (ci_widths)
}
all_ci_widths = sapply(1:10, prob1)
#average of theoretical intercept confidence interval
theo avg int = mean(as.numeric(as.vector(all ci widths[1,])))
#average of theoretical slope confidence interval
theo avg x = mean(as.numeric(as.vector(all ci widths[2,])))
#average of boot intercept confidence interval
diff_avg_int = mean(as.numeric(as.vector(all_ci_widths[3,])))
#average of boot slope confidence interval
diff avg slope = mean(as.numeric(as.vector(all ci widths[4,])))
#part 2
data(iris)
data = iris[1:100,]
test = rbind(data[41:50,], data[91:100,])
training = rbind(data[1:40,], data[51:90,])
test = droplevels(test)
training = droplevels(training)
log_model = glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length, training,family = binomial)
# Predict for test data. Use type = "response" to get class probabilities.
log_pred = predict(log_model, test, type = "response")
# Convert predictions to 1 or 2, for category 1 or 2 respectively.
\log \text{ pred} = (\log \text{ pred} > 0.5) + 1
log_pred = levels(training$Species)[log_pred]
log con = tabcle(true = test$Species, model = log pred)
acc_log = sum(log_con[1],log_con[4])/sum(log_con[1],log_con[2],log_con[3],log_con[4])
Ida = Ida(Species~Sepal.Length, training)
```

```
lda pred = predict(lda, test, type = "response")
lda pred = levels(training$Species)[lda pred$class]
lda_con = table(true = test$Species, model = lda_pred)
acc_lda = sum(lda_con[1],lda_con[4])/sum(lda_con[1],lda_con[2],lda_con[3],lda_con[4])
knn_pred3 = knn(
 # Note the use of [] rather than $ or [[]].
 # The knn() function expects a matrix or data frame for the train and test
 # arguments. Using $ or [[]] would get a vector rather than a data frame.
train = training["Sepal.Length"], # 1-col data frame
test = test["Sepal.Length"], # 1-col data frame
 cl = training$Species,
                                   # vector
k = 3
)
knn_con3 = table(true = test$Species, model = knn_pred3)
acc knn3 =
sum(knn_con3[1],knn_con3[4])/sum(knn_con3[1],knn_con3[2],knn_con3[3],knn_con3[4])
knn_pred5 = knn(
train = training["Sepal.Length"], # 1-col data frame
test = test["Sepal.Length"], # 1-col data frame
 cl = training$Species,
                                   # vector
 k = 5
knn_con5 = table(true = test$Species, model = knn_pred5)
acc knn5 =
sum(knn_con5[1],knn_con5[4])/sum(knn_con5[1],knn_con5[2],knn_con5[3],knn_con5[4])
```