## The Recursion Theorem

https://noatmeal.github.io/

A useful item to have in one's toolbox while working in ZFC set theory<sup>1</sup> is the ability to create inductive definitions. My goal in this post is to prove a theorem that allows us to do exactly that whenever the proper conditions are present. We'll also work out a couple of fun examples at the end.

#### 1 **Preliminaries**

If the following material doesn't make much sense, then a great review can be found in the first half of [1]. A proof for the main theorem of this post can be found in that text as well.

#### Natural Numbers 1.1

**Definition 1.1.** A set *I* is inductive if and only if  $\emptyset \in I$  and  $\forall y \in I(y \cup \{y\} \in I)$ .

**Example 1.1.** Here are some elements one would find in an inductive set

- Ø
- $\emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\}$
- $\{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\$
- $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \cup \{\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}\}$

**Axiom 1.1** (Infinity).  $\exists I(I \text{ is inductive})$ 

**Axiom 1.2** (Comprehension).  $\forall v \exists y \forall x (x \in y \Leftrightarrow x \in v \land \phi(x))$  where  $\phi$  is a formula that y is not free in.

**Definition 1.2.** Let *I* be a set who's existence is guaranteed by Axiom 1.1. We use Axiom 1.2 to form the set

$$\mathbb{N} = \{ y \in I : \forall J(J \text{ is inductive} \Rightarrow y \in J) \}$$

One should think of  $\mathbb{N}$  as the "smallest" inductive set<sup>2</sup>. An element of  $\mathbb{N}$  is called a natural number.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Short for Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Zermelo-Fraenkel\_set\_theory for more information.

<sup>2</sup>We will prove this in Theorem 1.3

**Example 1.2.** Figure 1 shows a few compact names for natural numbers that are often used in place of the set theory notation<sup>3</sup>.

Element of $\mathbb{N}$	Compact Name
Ø	1
$\{\emptyset\}$	2
$\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$	3
$\{\emptyset, \{\mathring{\emptyset}\}, \{\mathring{\emptyset}, \{\emptyset\}\}\}\}$	4

Figure 1: Compact names for elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** The function  $S: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $S(n) = n \cup \{n\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is called the *successor function on*  $\mathbb{N}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will refer S(n) as  $n^+$ .

Example 1.3. Recall Example 1.1 and Example 1.2. One can deduce that

- $1^+ = 2$
- $2^+ = 3$
- $3^+ = 4$

and we'll define two more compact names here to be used in an informative example at the end of the post:

- $4^+ = 5$
- $5^+ = 6$

### 1.2 Peano Axioms

While we prove and refer to the following as theorems, it is common nomenclature to refer to these as *The Five Peano Axioms*.

Theorem 1.1.  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ 

*Proof.* Since 1 is in every inductive set, then  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By definition  $\forall I(I \text{ is inductive } \Rightarrow n \in I)$ , and so for any inductive set K we can conclude that  $n^+ \in K$  since  $n \in K$ . Recall that  $n \in I$  from Definition 1.2. By definition,  $n^+ \in I$ . So we conclude from these two facts that  $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$ .

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Note that 1 is considered the first natural number in this post.

**Theorem 1.3** (Principle of Mathematical Induction). If S is an inductive subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We will argue by subset inclusions that  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . By hypothesis, we are given that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Now suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By hypothesis, S is an inductive set and so by definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in S$ . Hence,  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.4.** We refer to invoking Theorem 1.3 as an argument by induction.

**Theorem 1.4.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $n^+ \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Recall that  $n^+ = n \cup \{n\}$  and so  $n \in n^+$ . Well  $n \notin \emptyset$  and so it cannot be the case that  $n^+ = 1$ . Therefore  $n^+ \neq 1$ .

**Definition 1.5.** A set T is transitive if and only if  $x \in T \Rightarrow x \subseteq T$ .

Lemma 1.1. Every natural number is transitive.

*Proof.* We argue by induction. Let S be the set of all transitive natural numbers.  $1 \in S$  trivially. Suppose  $n \in S$ . Since  $n^+ = n \cup \{n\}$ , then for all  $x \in n^+$  either  $x \in n$  or x = n. If  $x \in n$ , then by our inductive hypothesis that  $n \in S$ , we know that  $x \subseteq n$  which allows us to conclude that  $x \subseteq n^+$ . If x = n, then we also conclude that  $x \subseteq n^+$ . Therefore,  $n^+ \in S$  which completes the inductive argument.

**Theorem 1.5.** If  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n^+ = m^+$ , then n = m.

*Proof.* Suppose the hypothesis is true. Well then  $n \in n^+ \Rightarrow n \in m^+$  and so either  $n \in m$  or n = m. By the same type of observation, either  $m \in n$  or m = n. If  $n \neq m$ , then  $m \in n$  and  $n \in m$ . Well then Lemma 1.1 tells us that  $m \subseteq n$  and  $n \subseteq m$  and so n = m, a contradiction. Therefore it must be the case that n = m.

# 2 Existence and Uniqueness

**Theorem 2.1** (Recursion). For any set X, if  $a \in X$  and  $f : X \to X$ , then there exists a function  $u : \mathbb{N} \to X$  such that u(1) = a and  $u(n^+) = f(u(n))$  for all other natural numbers n.

*Proof.* Let  $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times X)$  be defined such that  $(1, a) \in c$  and  $(n^+, f(x)) \in c$  whenever  $(n, x) \in c$  for all  $c \in C$ . It's clear to see that C is nonempty since  $\mathbb{N} \times X \in C$  and so we can form the intersection of all sets in C which we'll call u.

Let S be the set of all natural numbers such that if  $n \in S$  then there exists  $(n,x) \in u$  and if  $(n,w),(n,y) \in u$  then w=y. We will prove inductively that  $S=\mathbb{N}$  which establishes that u is a function. Furthermore, given how u is constructed, such a proof gives us exactly the kind of function we are looking for.

Suppose that  $(1,b) \in u$ ,  $a \neq b$ , and consider the set  $M = u \setminus \{(1,b)\}$ . We claim that  $(n^+, f(x)) \in M$  whenever  $(n,x) \in M$ . Since  $(1,a) \in M$ , this will give us that  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $u \not\subseteq M$  which is a contradiction to u being the intersection of all members of  $\mathcal{C}$ . That will then allow us to say that  $(1,a) \in u$  and for all  $(1,b) \in u$  it would be the case that a = b.

So suppose that  $(n^+, f(x))$  is not present in M for some  $(n, x) \in M$ . Well by the definition of M, for all  $\alpha$  we have that  $(\alpha \in u \land \alpha \neq (1, b)) \to \alpha \in M$  which implies by contraposition that if  $\alpha \notin M$ , then  $\alpha \notin u \lor \alpha = (1, b)$ . Well it can't be the case that  $(n^+, f(x)) = (1, b)$  by Theorem 1.4. So then we must conclude that  $(n^+, f(x)) \notin u$  which contradicts u's construction since  $(n, x) \in u$  by the assumption that  $(n, x) \in M$ . We now must conclude that  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  which gives us the base case for our inductive argument as discussed in the previous paragraph.

Now suppose that  $n \in S$  which implies that there is an  $(n,x) \in u$  for at most one x. It follows from the definition of u that  $(n^+, f(x)) \in u$ . Now if  $n^+$  isn't in S, then there exists  $(n^+, y) \in u$  such that  $f(x) \neq y$ . Suppose that this is the case and consider the set  $J = u \setminus \{(n^+, y)\}$  with some element  $(m, t) \in J$ .

If m = n, then t = x since  $n \in S$  and so  $(n^+, f(x)) = (m^+, f(t)) \in J$ . By construction of J, we note that  $\alpha \notin J \to (\alpha \notin u \lor \alpha = (n^+, y))$  for all  $\alpha$ . So if  $m \neq n$ , then we know that  $m^+ \neq n^+$  by Theorem 1.5 which means that if  $(m^+, f(t)) \notin J$  then we must conclude that  $(m^+, f(t)) \notin u$  which is a contradiction to the construction of u and so  $(m^+, f(t)) \in J$ .

Therefore in every case,  $(m^+, f(t)) \in J$  whenever  $(m, t) \in J$ . Since it also the case that  $(1, a) \in J$  since  $n^+ \neq 1$  by Theorem 1.4, we conclude that  $J \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $u \not\subseteq J$  which is a contradiction to u's construction as being the intersection of all members of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

So  $n^+ \in S$  and by the principal of mathematical induction we conclude that  $\mathbb{N} = S$ .

#### Corollary 2.1. The function defined in Theorem 2.1 is unique.

Proof. Let  $a \in X$  and  $f: X \to X$  for some set X. Suppose that there are two functions  $F: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{X}$  and  $G: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{X}$  given by Theorem 2.1 where F(1) = G(1) = a and for all other natural numbers n we have that  $F(n^+) = f(F(n))$  and  $G(n^+) = f(G(n))$ . Let F(1) = G(1) be the base case for an inductive proof and suppose that F(n) = G(n) for some natural number n. Well then  $F(n^+) = f(F(n)) = f(G(n)) = G(n^+)$  by the inductive hypothesis. So by the principle of mathematical induction, F and G are the same function.

## 3 Examples

Let's define a couple of familiar concepts using what we've shown.

**Definition 3.1.** For any natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$  by Theorem 1.2. If we apply  $n^+$  and the successor function on  $\mathbb{N}$  to Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, we can define a unique function  $s_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  where  $s_n(1) = n^+$  and  $s_n(m^+) = s_n(m)^+$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 3.2** (Addition). Let  $+: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be the function that maps +(n,m) to  $s_n(m)$  for all  $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We almost always write this using inline notation as n+m and call it the *sum of n and m*.

**Preliminary Remark:** In an effort to recover some familiar looking calculations we prove the following lemma and theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $n+1=n^+$ 

*Proof.* 
$$n+1=s_n(1)=n^+$$

**Theorem 3.1.** n + (m+1) = (n+m) + 1

Proof.

$$n + (m + 1) = s_n(m + 1)$$
 by Definition 3.2  
=  $s_n(m^+)$  by Lemma 3.1  
=  $(s_n(m))^+$  by Definition 3.1  
=  $s_n(m) + 1$  by Lemma 3.1  
=  $(n + m) + 1$  by Definition 3.2

**Example 3.1.** Recall Example 1.3. One can deduce that

- $2 = 1^+ = 1 + 1$
- $3 = 2^+ = 2 + 1$
- $4 = 3^+ = 3 + 1$
- $5 = 4^+ = 4 + 1$
- $6 = 5^+ = 5 + 1$

**Example 3.2.** Recall Example 3.1. We can see that

$$2+2=2+(1+1)=(2+1)+1=3+1=4$$

**Definition 3.3.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we take  $s_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  from Definition 3.2 and define  $p_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  where  $p_n(1) = n$  and  $p_n(m^+) = s_n(p_n(m)) = n + p_n(m)$ .

**Definition 3.4** (Multiplication). Let  $\cdot : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be the function that maps  $\cdot (n,m)$  to  $p_n(m)$  for all  $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We almost always write this using inline notation as  $n \cdot m$  and call it the *product of* n and m.

**Preliminary Remark:** In an effort to recover some familiar looking calculations we prove the following lemma and theorem.

**Lemma 3.2.**  $n \cdot 1 = n$ 

Proof. 
$$n \cdot 1 = p_n(1) = n$$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $n \cdot (m+1) = n + (n \cdot m)$ 

Proof.

$$n \cdot (m+1) = p_n(m+1)$$
 by Definition 3.4  
=  $p_n(m^+)$  by Lemma 3.1  
=  $n + p_n(m)$  by Definition 3.3  
=  $n + (n \cdot m)$  by Definition 3.4

**Example 3.3.** Recall Example 1.3 once more. We can see that

$$2 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot (2+1)$$

$$= 2 + (2 \cdot 2)$$

$$= 2 + (2 \cdot (1+1))$$

$$= 2 + (2 + (2 \cdot 1))$$

$$= 2 + (2 + 2)$$

$$= 2 + 4 \text{ by Example } 3.2$$

$$= 2 + (3+1)$$

$$= (2+3)+1$$

$$= (2+(2+1))+1$$

$$= ((2+2)+1)+1$$

$$= (4+1)+1$$

$$= 5+1=6$$

### References

[1] Paul R. Halmos. Naive Set Theory. Springer New York, NY, 1998.