The Recursion Theorem

A useful item to have in one's toolbox while working in ZFC set theory[1] is the ability to create inductive definitions. My goal in this post is to prove a theorem that allows us to do exactly that whenever the proper conditions are present. We'll also work out a couple of fun examples at the end.

1 Preliminaries

If the following material doesn't make much sense, then a great review can be found in the first half of [1]. A proof for the main theorem of this post can be found in that text as well.

1.1 Natural Numbers

Definition 1.1. A set I is inductive if and only if $\emptyset \in I$ and $\forall y \in I(y \cup \{y\} \in I)$.

Example 1.1. Here are some elements one would find in an inductive set

- $\emptyset$

- $\emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\}$

- $\{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$

- $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \cup \{\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$

Axiom 1.1 (Infinity). $\exists I(I \text{ is inductive})$

Axiom 1.2 (Comprehension). $\forall v \exists y \forall x(x \in y \Leftrightarrow x \in v \wedge \phi(x))$ *where* ϕ *is a formula that* y *is not free in.*

Definition 1.2. Let I be a set who's existence is guaranteed by Axiom 1.1. We use Axiom 1.2 to form the set

$$\mathbb{N} = \{y \in I : \forall J(J \text{ is inductive} \Rightarrow y \in J)\}$$

One should think of $\mathbb{N}$ as the "smallest" inductive set[2]. An element of $\mathbb{N}$ is called a *natural number*.

---

[1] Short for Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zermelo-Fraenkel_set_theory for more information.

[2] We will prove this in Theorem 1.3

Example 1.2. Figure 1 shows a few compact names for natural numbers that are often used in place of the set theory notation[3].

Element of $\mathbb{N}$	Compact Name
$\emptyset$	1
$\{\emptyset\}$	2
$\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$	3
$\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$	4

Figure 1: Compact names for elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.3. The function $S : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by $S(n) = n \cup \{n\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is called the *successor function on* $\mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will refer $S(n)$ as n^+.

Example 1.3. Recall Example 1.1 and Example 1.2. One can deduce that

- $1^+ = 2$

- $2^+ = 3$

- $3^+ = 4$

and we'll define two more compact names here to be used in an informative example at the end of the post:

- $4^+ = 5$

- $5^+ = 6$

1.2 Peano Axioms

While we prove and refer to the following as theorems, it is common nomenclature to refer to these as *The Five Peano Axioms*.

Theorem 1.1. $1 \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof. Since 1 is in every inductive set, then $1 \in \mathbb{N}$. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 1.2. *If* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *then* $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition $\forall I(I$ is inductive $\Rightarrow n \in I)$, and so for any inductive set K we can conclude that $n^+ \in K$ since $n \in K$. Recall that $n \in I$ from Definition 1.2. By defintion, $n^+ \in I$. So we conclude from these two facts that $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$. $\qquad\square$

---

[3]Note that 1 is considered the first natural number in this post.

Theorem 1.3 (Principle of Mathematical Induction)**.** *If S is an inductive subset of $\mathbb{N}$, then $S = \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We will argue by subset inclusions that $S = \mathbb{N}$. By hypothesis, we are given that $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Now suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By hypothesis, S is an inductive set and so by definition of $\mathbb{N}$, $n \in S$. Therefore, we conclude that $S = \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Definition 1.4. We refer to invoking Theorem 1.3 as an *argument by induction.*

Theorem 1.4. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $n^+ \neq 1$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that $n^+ = n \cup \{n\}$ and so $n \in n^+$. Well $n \notin \emptyset$ and so it cannot be the case that $n^+ = 1$. Therefore $n^+ \neq 1$. $\square$

Definition 1.5. A set T is transitive if and only if $x \in T \Rightarrow x \subseteq T$.

Lemma 1.1. *Every natural number is transitive.*

Proof. We argue by induction. Let S be the set of all transitive natural numbers. $1 \in S$ trivially. Suppose $n \in S$. Since $n^+ = n \cup \{n\}$, then for all $x \in n^+$ either $x \in n$ or $x = n$. If $x \in n$, then by our inductive hypothesis that $n \in S$, we know that $x \subseteq n$ which allows us to conclude that $x \subseteq n^+$. If $x = n$, then we also conclude that $x \subseteq n^+$. Therefore, $n^+ \in S$ which completes the inductive argument. $\square$

Theorem 1.5. *If $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n^+ = m^+$, then $n = m$.*

Proof. Suppose the hypothesis is true. Well then $n \in n^+ \Rightarrow n \in m^+$ and so either $n \in m$ or $n = m$. By the same type of observation, either $m \in n$ or $m = n$. If $n \neq m$, then $m \in n$ and $n \in m$. Well then Lemma 1.1 tells us that $m \subseteq n$ and $n \subseteq m$ and so $n = m$, a contradiction. Therefore it must be the case that $n = m$. $\square$

2   Existence and Uniqueness

Theorem 2.1 (Recursion)**.** *For any set X, if $a \in X$ and $f : X \to X$, then there exists a function $u : \mathbb{N} \to X$ such that $u(1) = a$ and $u(n^+) = f(u(n))$ for all other natural numbers n.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times X)$ be defined such that $(1, a) \in c$ and $(n^+, f(x)) \in c$ whenever $(n, x) \in c$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. It's clear to see that $\mathcal{C}$ is nonempty since $\mathbb{N} \times X \in C$ and so we can form the intersection of all sets in $\mathcal{C}$ which we'll call u.

Let S be the set of all natural numbers such that if $n \in S$ then there exists $(n, x) \in u$ and if $(n, w), (n, y) \in u$ then $w = y$. We will prove inductively that $S = \mathbb{N}$ which establishes that u is a function. Furthermore, given how u is constructed, such a proof gives us exactly the kind of function we are looking for.

Suppose that $(1, b) \in u$, $a \neq b$, and consider the set $M = u \setminus \{(1, b)\}$. We claim that $(n^+, f(x)) \in M$ whenever $(n, x) \in M$. Since $(1, a) \in M$, this will give us that $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and $u \not\subseteq M$ which is a contradiction to u being the intersection of all members of u. That will then allow us to say that $(1, a) \in u$ and for all $(1, b) \in u$ it would be the case that $a = b$.

So suppose that $(n^+, f(x))$ is not present in M for some $(n, x) \in M$. Well by the definition of M, for all α we have that $(\alpha \in u \wedge \alpha \neq (1, b)) \to \alpha \in M$ which implies by contraposition that if $\alpha \notin M$, then $\alpha \notin u \vee \alpha = (1, b)$. Well it can't be the case that $(n^+, f(x)) = (1, b)$ by Theorem 1.4. So then we must conclude that $(n^+, f(x)) \notin u$ which contradicts u's construction since $(n, x) \in u$ by the assumption that $(n, x) \in M$. We now must conclude that $M \in \mathcal{C}$ which gives us the base case for our inductive argument as discussed in the previous paragraph.

Now suppose that $n \in S$ which implies that there is an $(n, x) \in u$ for at most one x. It follows from the definition of u that $(n^+, f(x)) \in u$. Now if n^+ isn't in S, then there exists $(n^+, y) \in u$ such that $f(x) \neq y$. Suppose that this is the case and consider the set $J = u \setminus \{(n^+, y)\}$ with some element $(m, t) \in J$.

If $m = n$, then $t = x$ since $n \in S$ and so $(n^+, f(x)) = (m^+, f(t)) \in J$. By construction of J, we note that $\alpha \notin J \to (\alpha \notin u \vee \alpha = (n^+, y))$ for all α. So if $m \neq n$, then we know that $m^+ \neq n^+$ by Theorem 1.5 which means that if $(m^+, f(t)) \notin J$ then we must conclude that $(m^+, f(t)) \notin u$ which is a contradiction to the construction of u and so $(m^+, f(t)) \in J$.

Therefore in every case, $(m^+, f(t)) \in J$ whenever $(m, t) \in J$. Since it also the case that $(1, a) \in J$ since $n^+ \neq 1$ by Theorem 1.4, we conclude that $J \in \mathcal{C}$ and $u \not\subseteq J$ which is a contradiction to u's construction as being the intersection of all members of $\mathcal{C}$.

So $n^+ \in S$ and by the principal of mathematical induction we conclude that $\mathbb{N} = S$. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *The function defined in Theorem 2.1 is unique.*

Proof. Let $a \in X$ and $f : X \to X$ for some set X. Suppose that there are two functions $F : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{X}$ and $G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{X}$ given by Theorem 2.1
where $F(1) = G(1) = a$ and for all other natural numbers n we have that $F(n^+) = f(F(n))$ and $G(n^+) = f(G(n))$. Let $F(1) = G(1)$ be the base case for an inductive proof and suppose that $F(n) = G(n)$ for some natural number n. Well then $F(n^+) = f(F(n)) = f(G(n)) = G(n^+)$ by the inductive hypothesis. So by the principle of mathematical induction, F and G are the same function.
$\square$

3 Examples

Let's define a couple of familiar concepts using what we've shown.

Definition 3.1 (Addition). For any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$ by Theorem 1.2. If we apply n^+ and the successor function on $\mathbb{N}$ to Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, we can define a unique function $s_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ where $s_n(1) = n^+$ and $s_n(m^+) = s_n(m)^+$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any such function s_n and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we refer to $s_n(m)$ as $n + m$ and call it the *sum of n and m*.

Example 3.1. Recall Example 1.3. We can see that

$$2 + 2 = s_2(2) = s_2(1^+) = s_2(1)^+ = (2^+)^+ = 3^+ = 4$$

Definition 3.2 (Multiplication). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $s_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ from Definition 3.1 and define $p_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ where $p_n(1) = n$ and $p_n(m^+) = s_n(p_n(m)) = n + p_n(m)$. We refer to $p_n(m)$ as $n \cdot m$. We call this the *product of n and m* or *n multiplied* by m.

Example 3.2. Recall Example 1.3 once more. We can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \cdot 3 &= 2 + (2 \cdot 2) \\
&= 2 + (2 + (2 \cdot 1)) \\
&= 2 + (2 + 2) \\
&= 2 + 4 \text{ by Example 3.1} \\
&= (2 + 3)^+ \\
&= ((2 + 2)^+)^+ \\
&= (4^+)^+ \text{ by Example 3.1} \\
&= 6
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] Paul R. Halmos. *Naive Set Theory*. Springer New York, NY, 1998.