

5.2.12

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{2y}{\theta^2}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \theta$$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_Y(y_i; \theta) = \frac{2^n \prod_{i=1}^n y_i}{\theta^{2n}} = 2^n (\theta^{-2})^n \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(L(\theta)) = n \ln 2 - 2n \ln \theta + \ln(\prod y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\ln(L(\theta))) = -\frac{2n}{\theta}$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\ln(L(\theta))) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2n}{\theta} = 0$$

Since  $\frac{2n}{\theta} = 0$  is not possible with  $n > 0$ ,

$L(\theta)$  continuously decreases as  $\theta$  increases.

Also  $\theta \geq y_i$  for all  $i$ , so the minimum value of  $\theta$  will be  $y_{\max}$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = y_{\max}$$

5.3.10

$$5.3.10 \quad k = 192 \quad n = 540 \quad \frac{k}{n} = 0,356$$

According to theorem, ~~CI~~ for 95% CI is

$$\left[ \frac{k}{n} - Z_{0,025} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1-k/n)}{n}}, \frac{k}{n} + Z_{0,025} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1-k/n)}{n}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ 0,356 - 1,96 \sqrt{\frac{0,356 \times 0,644}{540}}, 0,356 + 1,96 \sqrt{\frac{0,356 \times 0,644}{540}} \right]$$

$$= \left[ 0,356 - 1,96 \times 0,0206, 0,356 + 1,96 \times 0,0206 \right]$$

$$= \left[ 0,356 - 0,0404, 0,356 + 0,0404 \right]$$

$$= \left[ 0,3156, 0,3964 \right]$$

Thus, 95% CI is  $[0,3156, 0,3964]$

5.3.14 50% CI: (0.57, 0.63)

Since CI is  $\left[ \frac{k}{n} - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1-k/n)}{n}}, \frac{k}{n} + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1-k/n)}{n}} \right]$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{k}{n} = \frac{\text{upper} + \text{lower}}{2} = \frac{0.63 + 0.57}{2} = 0.60$$

$$\text{So, } \begin{cases} \frac{k}{n} - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1-k/n)}{n}} = 0.57 \\ \frac{k}{n} + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1-k/n)}{n}} = 0.63 \end{cases} \Rightarrow z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{0.6 \times 0.4}{n}} = 0.03$$

$$\Rightarrow z_{0.25} \sqrt{\frac{0.24}{n}} = 0.03$$

$$0.67 \sqrt{\frac{0.24}{n}} = 0.03$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{0.24}{n}} \approx 0.04478$$

$$\frac{0.24}{n} \approx 0.0020$$

$$0.0020n \approx 0.24$$

$$n \approx 120$$

There are 120 observations



5.4.20

$$X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda), \quad E(X) = \lambda, \quad \text{Var}(X) = \lambda$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = X_1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_2 = \bar{X}$$

$$E(\hat{\lambda}_1) = E(X_1) = \lambda \Rightarrow \text{unbiased}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\lambda}_2) &= E(\bar{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n\lambda = \lambda \Rightarrow \text{unbiased} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_1) = \text{Var}(X_1) = \lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_2) &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  The relative efficiency of  $\hat{\lambda}_1$  to  $\hat{\lambda}_2$  is:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_2) / \text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_1) = \cancel{\lambda} / \cancel{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{n} / \lambda = \frac{1}{n}$$

So  $\hat{\lambda}_2$  is more efficient

5.6.6

5.6.6:  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ .  $f_Y(y; \theta) = \theta y^{\theta-1}$ ,  $y \in [0, 1]$

$$W = h(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_Y(y_i; \theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (y_i^{\theta-1}) = \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\theta-1}$$

$$\text{Set } g(h; \theta) = \theta^n h^{\theta-1}, \text{ and } b(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\theta) = \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\theta-1} = g(h(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)) \cdot b(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$$

Thus  $W = h(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is a sufficient statistics

For  $L(\theta)$ , apply logarithm to both sides:

$$\ln(L(\theta)) = \ln\left(\theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^{\theta-1}\right) = n \ln \theta + (\theta-1) \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)$$

Apply  $\frac{d}{d\theta}$ :

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}(\ln(L(\theta))) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)$$

$$\text{Set the } \frac{d}{d\theta} = 0: \quad \frac{n}{\theta} + \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{\theta} = -\ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = -\frac{n}{\ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = -\frac{n}{\ln W}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Hence, ~~the~~  $\hat{\theta}$  is a function of  $W$