

INFO-F-311: Artificial Intelligence - Project 2:
Recherche adversariale

Bourgeois Noé

2023 October 29

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Better evaluation function	2
2.1	get_available_actions_ordered	2
2.2	Method: transition	2
3	Results	3
3.1	Fewer Nodes with Better Evaluation	3
3.1.1	First Map	3
3.1.2	Second Map	4
3.1.3	Third Map	5
3.2	Fourth Map	6
3.3	Fifth Map	7
4	Discussion	8
4.1	Limitations of High-Level Heuristics	8
4.2	Future Work	8
5	ChatGPT Usage	8

1 Introduction

This report outlines the application of adversarial search techniques in graph search problems. For references, please refer to the project instructions and the project 1.

2 Better evaluation function

2.1 `get_available_actions_ordered`

Algorithm	Time Complexity
Minimax	$O(b^m)$
Alpha-Beta	$O(b^{m/2})$ to $O(b^m)$
Alpha-Beta with Perfect Ordering	$O(bm/2)$

In the `BetterValueFunction` class, this method orders the available actions based on certain conditions:

- Moves the action `STAY` to the end of the list.
- If not all gems are collected, it prioritizes actions that lead to a gem.
- If the agent's path intersects with dangerous lasers, it de-prioritizes such actions.

2.2 Method: `transition`

In this method, the value of a state is changed based on multiple factors:

- The distance of agents to gems and exit points.
- Whether all gems are collected or not.




Figure 1: Map 1

3 Results

3.1 Fewer Nodes with Better Evaluation

3.1.1 First Map

Here we can see that, compared to `alpha_beta`, the number of nodes is reduced by 6. Additionally, compared to `minimax`, the number of nodes is reduced by 11. This is what we expected, because we have a better evaluation function.





Figure 2: Map 1 results

3.1.2 Second Map

Again, we can see that, compared to **alpha_beta**, the number of nodes is reduced and compared to **minimax**, the number of nodes is reduced by factor 2.



Here again, with a slightly bigger map and with a much bigger difference with factors of 2 and 7.




Figure 4: Map 2

3.2 Fourth Map

This case shows that better evaluation function does not always mean fewer nodes.




Figure 5: Map 2 results

3.3 Fifth Map

Again, better evaluation function is not the best.



Figure 6: Map 3

4 Discussion

4.1 Limitations of High-Level Heuristics

While the use of high-level heuristics in action ordering generally improves performance, it is not always fine enough for every case. As a result, in some cases, the `BetterValueFunction` may not actually result in fewer nodes being expanded compared to basic evaluation functions.

4.2 Future Work

Recent advancements in adversarial search algorithms have started to incorporate machine learning techniques to dynamically adapt the heuristics used for action selection. This represents a potential avenue for further improving the performance of our algorithms.

5 ChatGPT Usage

The project was made with ChatGPT.




Figure 7: Map 3 results



Figure 8: Map 4




Figure 9: Map 4 results



Figure 10: Map 5




Figure 11: Map 5 results