

Errata

The score of coreference resolution in Table 2 is incorrect. We apologize for the error and correct it.

error

| | Web | | | News | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | case analysis | zero anaphora resolution | coreference resolution | case analysis | zero anaphora resolution | coreference resolution |
| S&K (2018) | 89.2 | 58.1 | 68.5 | 89.5 | 35.6 | 54.1 |
| Kurita et al. (2018) | 92.0 | 58.4 ¹ | - | - | - | - |
| CAModel (ours) | 88.4 (± 0.2) | 70.5 (± 0.2) | 78.2 (± 0.4) | 85.8 (± 0.3) | 56.8 (± 0.9) | 68.1 (± 0.6) |

Table 2: Our CAModel performance (F-measure) compared with Shibata and Kurohashi (2018) and Kurita et al. (2018).

correct

| | Web | | | News | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | case analysis | zero anaphora resolution | coreference resolution | case analysis | zero anaphora resolution | coreference resolution |
| S&K (2018) | 89.2 | 58.1 | 68.5 | 89.5 | 35.6 | 54.1 |
| Kurita et al. (2018) | 92.0 | 58.4 ¹ | - | - | - | - |
| CAModel (ours) | 88.4 (± 0.2) | 70.5 (± 0.2) | 78.2 (± 0.4) | 85.8 (± 0.3) | 56.8 (± 0.9) | 58.4 (± 0.5) |

Table 2: Our CAModel performance (F-measure) compared with Shibata and Kurohashi (2018) and Kurita et al. (2018).