

## 1 Day 2

**Definition 1.** A ring is a commutative ring with unity.

**Definition 2.** A field is a ring where every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse

The first ring we'll examine is  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is the ring of integers

**Definition 3.** Let  $R$  be a ring, with  $a, b \in R$ . We say that  $a$  divides  $b$  if,

$$c * a = b$$

and introduce the following notation,

$$a|b = c$$

- Transitivity: This satisfies transitivity as,  $a$  divides  $b$  and  $b$  divides  $c$  implies that  $a$  divides  $c$ .
- Reflexivity: ????

**Definition 4.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p$  is called prime if  $p > 0$  and the divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ , with  $1 \neq p$

Fact:  $\forall n \geq 2, \exists p_1, \dots, p_k$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are prime, such that  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$

*Proof.* If  $n$  is prime, then we are done.

If  $n$  is not prime, then it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} a|n &\implies n = ab \\ &\implies a, b < n \\ &\implies a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k \\ &\implies b = q_1 q_2 \dots q_k \\ &\implies n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k q_1 q_2 \dots q_k \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.** There are infinitely many primes.

*Proof.* Assume that there are finitely many primes,  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ . Suppose, towards contradiction that we have  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k + 1$ . Then there exists a prime  $q|n$ , but  $p_i \neq q$  since every  $p_i$  division leaves a remainder. □

An alternative approach can be seen,

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &< 3 < 5 < 7 < 11 < \dots < p < \dots < q < \dots \\ p_1 &< p_2 < p_3 < \dots \\ \frac{1}{p_1} &+ \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k} = \infty \end{aligned}$$

Which somehow follows from,

$$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots = \infty$$

Beats me. □

Fact:  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a prime  $p$ , such that  $q - p > N$  where  $q$  is the next prime. (We can make this claim about there being a next prime, thanks to the well ordering principle, where any non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a smallest element.)

$\iff$  There exist composite numbers (non-primes),

$$n, n+1, \dots, n+L, \quad L \geq N$$

$$(L+1)! + 2, (L+2)! + 3, \dots, (L+1)! + L, (L+1)! + (L+1)$$

(This baffles me.)

Conjecture: The Twin-prime conjecture suggests that there are infinitely many pairs of primes of the form  $p, p+2$

**Definition 5.** Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the Greatest Common Divisor is defined as such,

$$\gcd(a, b) := \text{The largest common divisor, thanks goobz}$$

The Euclidean Algorithm is as such,

$$\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad b \neq 0$$

$$\nexists y, r, \text{ s.t. } a = qb + r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq |b|$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality,  $b > 0$ ,

### Number line, with b on it

- $\{a - qb : q \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  contains non-negative integers. Looking at the subset of non-negatives, or  $\{a - qb | q \in \mathbb{Z}, a - qb \geq 0\}$  we can select a smallest element, thanks to the well ordering principle. We'll call this  $r$ , giving

$$a - qb = r$$

$$a = qb + r$$

- Algorithm for finding  $\gcd(a, b)$ ,

$$a = q_1 b + r_1$$

if  $r_1 = 0$  then  $\gcd(a, b) = |b|$ . If  $r_1 \neq 0$ , then,

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2 r_1 = \dots$$

Apparently, we know this. Great. It has been proven. Libtards(me) owned by facts and logic.

**Theorem 2.**

$$\gcd(a, b) = xa + yb, \text{ for some } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(18, 22) &= 2 \\ &= x * 18 + y * 22 \\ &= (x + 22) * 18 + (y - 18) * 22 \\ &= 5 * 18 + (-4) * 22\end{aligned}$$

Fact:

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ where not all are } 0$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_n a_n \\ \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \gcd(\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})a_n) \\ &= \min(x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_n a_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}, x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_n a_n > 0)\end{aligned}$$