

**3.2-1.)** For  $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$ :  $c_1(f(n)+g(n)) \leq \max\{f(n), g(n)\} \leq c_2(f(n)+g(n))$ .  
 $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} = f(n)$  or  $g(n) \leq c_2(f(n)+g(n)) = O(f(n)+g(n))$ , however  $f(n)$  or  $g(n) \neq \Omega(f(n)+g(n))$ . Therefore  $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} \neq \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$

**3.2-2.)** The O-notation is only an upper bound not a tight bound essentially meaning at most. The statement is meaningless because it contradicts itself with a runtime “at least at most  $n^2$ ”.

**3.2-3.)** If  $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$ , there must be  $c, n_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq 2^{n+1} \leq c2^n$ . Since  $2^{n+1}$  can be expressed as  $2*2^n$ , therefore  $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$  when  $c = 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  
 $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$  if  $c, n_0 > 0$  exists and  $0 \leq 2^{2n} \leq c2^n$ . Since  $2^{2n} = 2^n*2^n$ , there is no such  $c$  that satisfies the definition, and  $2^{2n} \neq O(2^n)$ .

**3.2-4.)** The definition of  $\Theta$ -notation states for  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ ,  $c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n)$ .  
When  $f(n) \geq c_1g(n)$ ,  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ , and when  $f(n) \leq c_2g(n)$ ,  $f(n) = O(g(n))$ . Therefore when  $c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n)$ ,  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) = O(g(n))$  thus  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ .

**3.3-1.)** Since  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  are monotonically increasing,  $m \leq n$ ,  $f(m) \leq f(n)$ , and  $g(m) \leq g(n)$ .  
 $f(m) + g(m) \leq f(n) + g(n)$ ,  $f(g(m)) \leq f(g(n))$ , and if also nonnegative,  $f(m)*g(m) \leq f(n)*g(n)$ .

**3.3-2.)** When  $\alpha \neq 1$ , the term  $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor * n = 0 * n$  reduces to 0, and  $\lceil (1-\alpha)n \rceil = \lceil (1-\alpha) \rceil * n = 1 * n$ . When  $\alpha = 1$ , the term  $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor = \lfloor 1 \rfloor * n = 1 * n$ , in which the term  $\lceil (1-\alpha)n \rceil = \lceil (1-1) \rceil * n = 0$  reduces. Therefore  $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + \lceil (1-\alpha)n \rceil = n$  for all real numbers  $\alpha$  in the range  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**3.3-4b.)** Applying  $\lg$  to Stirling's approximation  $\lg(n!) = \lg(\sqrt{2\pi n}(\frac{n}{e})^n(1+\Theta(\frac{1}{n}))) \Rightarrow \lg(\sqrt{2\pi n}) + n\lg(\frac{n}{e}) + \lg(1+\Theta(\frac{1}{n})) \Rightarrow \lg(\sqrt{n}) + n\lg n + \lg(\Theta(\frac{1}{n})) \Rightarrow \lg(n!) = \Theta(n\lg n)$ .

**3-1a.)** If  $p(n) = O(n^k)$ , there must be  $c, n_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq p(n) \leq cn^k$ . Degree  $d$  polynomial  $p(n)$  can be expressed as  $n^d$ , and  $k \geq d$ . Since  $0 \leq n^d \leq cn^k$  satisfies the definition of O-notation, the expression  $p(n) = O(n^k)$  is true.

**3-1b.)** If  $p(n) = \Omega(n^k)$ , there must be  $c, n_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq cn^k \leq p(n)$ . Degree  $d$  polynomial  $p(n)$  can be expressed as  $n^d$ , and  $k \leq d$ . Since  $0 \leq cn^k \leq n^d$  satisfies the definition of  $\Omega$ -notation, the expression  $p(n) = \Omega(n^k)$  is true.

**3-1c.)** If  $p(n) = \Theta(n^k)$ , there must be  $c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq c_1 n^k \leq p(n) \leq c_2 n^k$ . Degree  $d$  polynomial  $p(n)$  can be expressed as  $n^d$ , and  $k = d$ . Since  $0 \leq c_1 n^k \leq n^d \leq c_2 n^k$  satisfies the definition of the  $\Theta$ -notation, the expression  $p(n) = \Theta(n^k)$  is true.

**3-2.)**

	A	B	O	o	$\Omega$	$\omega$	$\Theta$
a.	$\lg^k n$	$n^\epsilon$	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
b.	$n^k$	$c^n$	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
c.	$\sqrt{n}$	$n^{\sin(n)}$	No	No	No	No	No
d.	$2^n$	$2^{\frac{n}{2}}$	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
e.	$n^{\lg(c)}$	$c^{\lg(n)}$	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
f.	$\lg(n!)$	$\lg(n^n)$	Yes	Yes	No	No	No

**3-4a.)**  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  implies  $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$ , not  $O(f(n))$ , due to transpose symmetry.

**3-4b.)**  $f(n) + g(n) = \Omega(\min\{f(n), g(n)\})$ , not  $\Theta$ , because there exists  $c, n_0$  such that  $0 \leq c \min\{f(n), g(n)\} \leq f(n) + g(n)$ .

**3-4e.)**  $f(n) = O((f(n))^2)$  because there exists  $c, n_0$  such that  $0 \leq f(n) \leq c f(n)^2$ .

**3-4f.)**  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  implies  $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$  due to transpose symmetry.

**3-4g.)**  $f(n) = \Theta(f(n/2))$  because there is no such  $c_1, c_2, n_0$  that satisfies the expression  $0 \leq c_1 f(n/2) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 f(n/2)$ .

**4.2-1.)** 1: Matrix A B and C are partitioned into 1x1 matrices. 2:  $S_1=8-2=6, S_2=1+3=4, S_3=7+5=12, S_4=4-6=-2, S_5=1+5=6, S_6=6+2=8, S_7=3-5=-2, S_8=4+2=6, S_9=1-7=-6, S_{10}=6+8=14$ . 3:  $P_1=1*6=6, P_2=4*2=8, P_3=12*6=72, P_4=5*-2=-10, P_5=6*8=48, P_6=-2*6=-12, P_7=-84$ . 4:  $C_{11} = 48-10-8-12 = 18. C_{12} = 6+8 = 14. C_{21} = 72-10 = 62, C_{22} = 48+6-72+84 = 66$ .

**4.2-5.)** The multiplication of complex numbers  $(a + bi)*(c + di)$  can be done with an algorithm taking  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  as inputs, multiplying real numbers  $ac, ad, bc$  and imaginary numbers  $bd$ . The real component  $ac-bd$  and the imaginary component  $ad+bc$  would each be returned.

**4.3-1a.)**

**4.3-1b.)**

**4.3-1c.)**

**4.5-1a.)** When  $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{4}) + 1$ ,  $a=2$ ,  $b=4$ , and  $f(n)=1$ . This implies  $n^{\log_4(2)} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ ,  $f(n) = 1 = O(n^{(\frac{1}{2})-\epsilon})$  with  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , the first case of the master theorem is applied, and  $T(n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ .

**4.5-1b.)** When  $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{4}) + \sqrt{n}$ ,  $a=2$ ,  $b=4$ , and  $f(n)=\sqrt{n}$ . This implies  $n^{\log_4(2)} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ ,  $f(n) = \sqrt{n} = \Theta(\sqrt{n} * \lg^0 n)$ , the second case of the master theorem is applied, and  $T(n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n} * \lg n)$ .

**4.5-1d.)** When  $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{4}) + n$ ,  $a=2$ ,  $b=4$ , and  $f(n)=n$ . This implies  $n^{\log_4(2)} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ ,  $f(n) = n = \Omega(n^{(\frac{1}{2})+\epsilon})$  with  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , the third case of the master theorem is applied, thus the regularity condition  $af(\frac{n}{b}) \leq cf(n)$  must be satisfied. The term  $af(\frac{n}{b}) = 2 * \frac{n}{4} = \frac{2n}{4}$  and when  $c = \frac{2}{4}$ ,  $cf(n) = \frac{2n}{4}$ , and  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

**4.5-1e.)** When  $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{4}) + n^2$ ,  $a=2$ ,  $b=4$ , and  $f(n)=n^2$ . This implies  $n^{\log_4(2)} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ ,  $f(n) = n^2 = \Omega(n^{(\frac{1}{2})+\epsilon})$  with  $\epsilon = \frac{3}{2}$ , the third case of the master theorem is applied, thus the regularity condition  $af(\frac{n}{b}) \leq cf(n)$  must be satisfied. The term  $af(\frac{n}{b}) = 2 * (\frac{n}{4})^2 = \frac{2n}{8}$  and when  $c = \frac{2}{8}$ ,  $cf(n) = \frac{2n}{8}$ , and  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

**4.5-3.)** When  $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + \Theta(1)$ ,  $a=1$ ,  $b=2$ , and  $f(n)=\Theta(1)$ . This implies  $n^{\lg(1)} = \Theta(n^0) = \Theta(1)$ ,  $f(n) = \Theta(1) = \Theta(1 * \lg^0 n)$ , the second case of the master theorem is applied, and  $T(n) = \Theta(1 * \lg^1 n) = \Theta(\lg n)$ .

**Proof1.)** If a non-empty finite set  $S$  of positive integers has only 1 element  $n$ , it is the least element and the base case holds. If a non-empty finite set  $S$  of positive integers has at least  $n+1$  elements, for some  $x \in S$ ,  $x$  is either the least element of  $S$ , or there exists some  $y \in S$ , where  $y < x$ , in such case  $y$  is the least element of  $S$ . The base and inductive cases show every non-empty finite set contains a least element.

**Proof2.)** If a non-empty set  $S$  of positive integers does not contain a least element, then for any positive integer  $n \in S$  there must be an  $x \in S$  such that  $x < n$ , creating a sequence of positive integers in  $S$  continuously smaller than the previous. This will continue indefinitely, however an

infinite sequence of positive integers must reach 1, with no other positive integer smaller than it. Therefore any non-empty set of  $S$  of positive integers must contain a least element, even if infinite.