

# Summary

## Classical Model Training and Validation Accuracy-loss logs

Dataset Name	Training Accuracy	Training Loss	Validation Accuracy	Validation Loss
FB-Hindi	87.03	7.3457	81.80	10.0226
WA-Hindi	77.68	6.6767	71.76	10.0618
TW-Hindi	80.26	6.1887	71.32	10.2023
FB-Telugu	81.72	5.2883	70.64	7.8993
WA-Telugu	73.52	6.9347	63.99	10.2721
TW-Telugu	73.72	6.9461	64.89	8.2022
FB-Bengali	89.80	12.9458	80.42	21.3304
WA-Bengali	85.91	4.7105	70.33	10.9235
TW-Bengali	81.21	10.8032	70.62	15.3960

## Hybrid Model Training and Validation Accuracy-loss logs

Dataset Name	Training Accuracy	Training Loss	Validation Accuracy	Validation Loss
FB-Hindi	85.17	8.4169	77.77	12.5667
WA-Hindi	80.06	6.7736	69.55	11.1335
TW-Hindi	79.39	6.9773	69.65	11.2484
FB-Telugu	77.64	7.2082	63.19	10.9479
WA-Telugu	79.39	6.9773	69.65	11.2280
TW-Telugu	75.13	7.5832	58.93	10.4739
FB-Bengali	86.70	13.9220	74.25	26.5977
WA-Bengali	86.48	5.8872	65.83	11.4204
TW-Bengali	76.56	11.7028	63.19	17.2765

## Classical Model Testing Accuracy-Loss logs

Dataset Name	Testing Accuracy	Testing Loss
FB-Hindi	80.29	9.9528
WA-Hindi	69.58	9.8855
TW-Hindi	69.09	10.0289
FB-Telugu	70.75	7.2481
WA-Telugu	62.68	8.8873
TW-Telugu	62.72	8.6370
FB-Bengali	78.11	20.4141
WA-Bengali	72.27	11.0362
TW-Bengali	69.99	17.7104

## Hybrid Model Testing Accuracy-Loss logs

Dataset Name	Testing Accuracy	Testing Loss
FB-Hindi	78.13	12.2256
WA-Hindi	67.03	10.9464
TW-Hindi	68.06	10.9776
FB-Telugu	63.60	9.2218
WA-Telugu	54.76	10.4635
TW-Telugu	55.85	11.0743
FB-Bengali	75.09	25.2992
WA-Bengali	67.65	13.1142
TW-Bengali	5830	21.6946

## Dataset Statistics

Dataset Name	Total Samples	Sentence Min Length	Sentence Max Length	Sentence 95 <sup>th</sup> perc. Length
FB-Hindi	1069	1	245	62
WA-Hindi	1001	1	33	24
TW-Hindi	1001	1	33	24
FB-Telugu	987	1	87	28
WA-Telugu	748	1	28	21
TW-Telugu	1164	1	30	22
FB-Bengali	231	1	128	90
WA-Bengali	346	1	62	26
TW-Bengali	209	1	33	28

Since the best performance was observed on the Facebook Hindi-English code-mixed dataset, I've included the detailed classification report for the same, for each tag in the test set:

# === Hybrid (Quantum-Classical) Evaluation ===

Total tokens evaluated: 3521

## Classification report (per-tag precision / recall / f1):

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
CC	0.59	0.47	0.52	118
DT	0.92	0.87	0.89	238
G_J	0.62	0.62	0.62	199
G_N	0.79	0.84	0.82	755
G_PRP	0.79	0.88	0.83	336
G_PRT	0.51	0.51	0.51	142
G_R	0.64	0.62	0.63	188
G_SYM	0.67	0.32	0.43	31
G_V	0.87	0.82	0.85	697
G_X	0.96	0.96	0.96	478
PSP	0.80	0.83	0.81	339
accuracy			0.80	3521
macro avg	0.74	0.70	0.72	3521
weighted avg	0.80	0.80	0.80	3521

## Per-tag counts and accuracy:

Tag	Support	Precision	Recall	F1	Accuracy
CC	118	0.591	0.466	0.521	0.466
DT	238	0.916	0.866	0.890	0.866
G_J	199	0.620	0.623	0.622	0.623
G_N	755	0.792	0.841	0.816	0.841
G_PRP	336	0.789	0.878	0.831	0.878
G_PRT	142	0.514	0.507	0.511	0.507
G_R	188	0.639	0.622	0.631	0.622
G_SYM	31	0.667	0.323	0.435	0.323
G_V	697	0.871	0.824	0.847	0.824
G_X	478	0.960	0.958	0.959	0.958
PSP	339	0.796	0.829	0.812	0.829

=== Hybrid (Quantum-Classical) Evaluation ===

Total tokens evaluated: 3521



Classification report (per-tag precision / recall / f1):

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
CC	0.47	0.12	0.19	118
DT	0.95	0.87	0.91	238
G_J	0.55	0.54	0.54	199
G_N	0.79	0.84	0.81	755
G_PRP	0.86	0.86	0.86	336
G_PRT	0.50	0.37	0.42	142
G_R	0.53	0.60	0.56	188
G_SYM	0.00	0.00	0.00	31
G_V	0.79	0.86	0.82	697
G_X	0.96	0.94	0.95	478
PSP	0.72	0.85	0.78	339
accuracy			0.78	3521
macro avg	0.65	0.62	0.62	3521
weighted avg	0.77	0.78	0.77	3521

Per-tag counts and accuracy:

Tag	Support	Precision	Recall	F1	Accuracy
CC	118	0.467	0.119	0.189	0.119
DT	238	0.949	0.866	0.905	0.866
G_J	199	0.545	0.543	0.544	0.543
G_N	755	0.790	0.837	0.813	0.837
G_PRP	336	0.858	0.863	0.861	0.863
G_PRT	142	0.500	0.366	0.423	0.366
G_R	188	0.533	0.601	0.565	0.601
G_SYM	31	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
G_V	697	0.792	0.858	0.824	0.858
G_X	478	0.964	0.941	0.952	0.941
PSP	339	0.720	0.850	0.779	0.850