# **Performance Report: Python VS. Rust**

#### **Rust Performance**

Metric	Value	
Execution Time	1.702875 ms	
Memory Usage Before	17,029,037 KB	
Memory Usage After	17,029,037 KB	
Memory Consumed	0 KB	

### **Python Performance**

Metric	Value	
Execution Time	0.30 ms	
Memory Usage Before	33,984 KB	
Memory Usage After	34,000 KB	
Memory Consumed	16 KB	

## **Comparison summary**

Language	Execution Time	Memory Usage Before	Memory Usage After	Memory Consumed
Rust	1.702875 ms	17,029,037 KB	17,029,037 KB	0 KB
Python	0.30 ms	33,984 KB	34,000 KB	16 KB

#### Improvements in speed and resource usage

- **Speed:** Python was faster for this simple task, with an execution time of 0.30 ms versus Rust's 1.70 ms. Python's high-level optimizations can sometimes give it an edge in simpler operations.
- **Memory Efficiency:** Rust showed zero memory growth, keeping memory usage constant, while Python consumed an additional 16 KB. Rust's strict memory management makes it highly efficient, especially for larger applications.
- **Best Use Cases:** Rust: Ideal for resource-intensive tasks where memory predictability and efficiency are crucial. Python: Great for rapid prototyping and tasks where speed in development outweighs strict resource control.
- Conclusion: Rust offers advantages in resource management and predictable memory usage, which can lead to better performance in high-demand applications.
  Python, however, remains a strong choice for rapid development and tasks where execution speed is prioritized over strict memory management.