#### Interest Rate and Credit Models

8. Modeling dependence and copulas

Andrew Lesniewski

Baruch College New York

Spring 2019

#### Outline

- Role of dependence in portfolio credit modeling
- 2 Measures of dependence
- 3 Copulas
- Monte Carlo simulation of copulas

#### Portfolio credit risk

- We now turn to the issues of modeling portfolios of credit risky assets.
- The central problem of portfolio credit risk modeling is understanding the impact of the dependence between credit events of the individual names on the portfolio as a whole.
- Exposure to portfolio credit risk is common in banking and insurance businesses.
- Various commonly traded structures such as collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) are exposed to portfolio credit risk.
- There is a class of credit derivatives, called synthetic CDOs, which are options on the portfolio losses in baskets of CDSs.

#### Portfolio loss function

- Let us consider portfolio consisting of risky N names.
- We fix a time horizon T, and let I<sub>i</sub> and τ<sub>i</sub> denote the loss given default (LGD) (defined as par less the recovery value) and the default time for name i, respectively.
- Let L(T) denote the total portfolio loss over the time horizon T. Thus

$$L(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{\tau_i \le T} I_i.$$

The expected loss is thus given by

$$E[L(T)] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[1_{\tau_i \le T}] I_i$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i(T) I_i,$$

where  $Q_i(T)$  is the default probability of name i.



#### Portfolio loss function

- The expected loss can often be estimated from CDS markets (which allows us to infer risk-neutral default probabilities) or from rating (using historical probabilities).
- The primary driver of loss distributions is the dependence among the defaults of the individual names.
- Intuitively, higher dependence among the credit events lead to more extreme events with multiple defaults.
- At the same time, higher dependence increases the likelihood of events with few defaults.
- As an illustration, consider N = 10, with  $I_i = 1$  and  $Q_i(T) = 0.1$ , for all i.



#### Portfolio loss function

If all names are completely independent:

$$P(L(T) = k) = {N \choose k} (0.1)^k (0.9)^{N-k}$$
, where  $n = 0, ..., 10$ .

In particular,

$$P(L(T) = 0) = (0.9)^{10} = 0.349,$$
  
 $P(L(T) = N) = (0.1)^{10} = 10^{-10}.$ 

If all names are perfectly dependent (if one firm defaults, they all default):

$$P(L(T) = 0) = 0.9,$$
  
 $P(L(T) = k) = 0.0, \text{ for all } k = 1, ..., N - 1,$   
 $P(L(T) = N) = 0.1.$ 

Consequently, more dependence implies higher probabilities of tail events.



#### Pearson correlation coefficient

• Consider N random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  with joint distribution  $H(X_1, \ldots, X_N)$ . Their Pearson correlation matrix  $\rho$  is defined by

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X_i, X_j)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}}.$$

• For example, if  $X_i = 1_{\tau_i < T}$ , then

$$E[X_i^2] = E[X_i]$$
  
=  $Q_i(T)$ ,

and so

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = P(\tau_i < T, \tau_j < T) - Q_i(T)Q_j(T),$$
  
$$Var(X_i) = Q_i(T)S_i(T).$$



#### Correlation coefficient

- The Pearson correlation coefficient is a flawed measure of dependence:
  - (i) It is not natural outside of Gaussian distributions.
  - (ii) It only measures linear relationships.
  - (iii) Perfectly dependent random variables do not necessarily have a correlation of 1.
  - (iv) For example, if  $X \sim N(0,1)$ , then X and  $Y \triangleq X^2$  are perfectly dependent, but their correlation coefficient is 0. The correlation coefficient between X and  $Z \triangleq X^3$  is  $3/\sqrt{15} \approx 0.775$ .
  - (v) Correlation is not invariant under monotone transformations of the random variables.
- Some other measures include concordance measures such as Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho.

#### Kendall's tau

- Let  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$  be a sample of observations from a pair of random variables X and Y with joint distribution H(X, Y).
- Of the (<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub>) distinct pairs (x<sub>i</sub>, y<sub>i</sub>), (x<sub>j</sub>, y<sub>j</sub>), let c be the number of concordant pairs (if x<sub>i</sub> < x<sub>j</sub> and y<sub>i</sub> < y<sub>j</sub>, or x<sub>i</sub> > x<sub>j</sub> and y<sub>i</sub> > y<sub>j</sub>), and let d be the number of discordant pairs (if x<sub>i</sub> < x<sub>i</sub> and y<sub>i</sub> > y<sub>i</sub>, or x<sub>i</sub> > x<sub>i</sub> and y<sub>i</sub> < y<sub>i</sub>).
- Then Kendall's tau is defined as

$$\widehat{\tau}_{X,Y} = \frac{c-d}{c+d}.$$

• The probabilistic version of this sample definition is

$$\tau_K(X,Y) = P((X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2) > 0) - P((X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2) < 0),$$

where  $(X_1, Y_1)$  and  $(X_2, Y_2)$  are independent pairs of random variables drawn from H.



# Spearman's rho

- We consider three pairs (X<sub>1</sub>, Y<sub>1</sub>), (X<sub>2</sub>, Y<sub>2</sub>) and (X<sub>3</sub>, Y<sub>3</sub>) of independent pairs of random variables drawn from the joint distribution H.
- Their Spearman' rho is defined by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X,Y) = 3\big(P((X_1-X_2)(Y_1-Y_3)>0) - P((X_1-X_2)(Y_1-Y_3)<0)\big).$$

- Spearman's rho is thus the difference of the probability of concordance and the probability of discordance for the vectors of random variables (X<sub>1</sub>, Y<sub>1</sub>) and (X<sub>2</sub>, Y<sub>3</sub>).
- These two pairs have the same margins, but the former has joint distribution H
  while the components of the latter are independent.

## Definition of a copula

- Copulas are devices for constructing joint (multivariate) probability distributions out of marginal (univariate) probability distributions.
- To motivate the definition, we consider uniformly distributed random variables U<sub>i</sub> ∈ [0, 1], i = 1,..., N.
- Their joint distribution is a function  $C:[0,1]^N \to [0,1]$  such that

$$C(u_1,\ldots,u_N)=\mathsf{P}(U_1\leq u_1,\ldots,U_N\leq u_N).$$

- Note that C satisfies the following conditions:
  - (i)

$$C(u_1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, u_N) = 0,$$
  
 $C(1, \ldots, 1, u_i, 1, \ldots, 1) = u_i.$ 

(ii) Volume monotonicity condition, which is elementary but somewhat cumbersome to write down.



## Definition of a copula

- We shall formulate the volume monotonicity condition explicitly in the N = 2 case only, see [2] or [3] for the general case.
- Namely, if N = 2, it reads as follows: for  $a_1 \le b_1$  and  $a_2 \le b_2$ ,

$$C(b_1,b_2)-C(a_1,b_2)-C(b_1,a_2)+C(a_1,a_2)\geq 0.$$

• This is a consequence of the fact that  $P(a_1 \le U_1 \le b_1, a_2 \le U_2 \le b_2) \ge 0$ , i.e.

$$0 \leq \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_2}^{b_2} dC(u_1, u_2)$$
  
=  $C(b_1, b_2) - C(a_1, b_2) - C(b_1, a_2) + C(a_1, a_2),$ 

where

$$dC(u_1, u_2) \triangleq \frac{\partial^2 C(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_2 \partial u_2} du_1 du_2.$$



## Definition of a copula

- Definition: Any function  $C: [0,1]^N \to [0,1]$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) is called a *copula*.
- A copula with N = 2 is called a *bivariate copula*.

## Examples of copulas

The independence copula is defined by

$$C(u_1,\ldots,u_N)=\prod_{i=1}^N u_i.$$

The dependence copula is defined by

$$C(u_1,\ldots,u_N)=\min_i u_i.$$

• The anti-dependence copula can be defined for N = 2:

$$C(u_1, u_2) = \max(u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0).$$

# Joint probability distributions and copulas

- Consider N continuous random variables X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>N</sub> with monotone increasing marginal probability distributions F<sub>1</sub> (x),...,F<sub>N</sub> (x), i.e. F<sub>i</sub> (x) = P(X<sub>i</sub> ≤ x), for i = 1,...,N.
- Let  $H(X_1, ..., X_N)$  denote their joint probability distribution, i.e.

$$H(x_1,\ldots,x_N)=\mathsf{P}(X_1\leq x_1,\ldots,X_N\leq x_N).$$

- For each *i*, consider the random variable  $U_i = F_i(X_i)$ . Clearly,  $U_i \in [0, 1]$ .
- Since

$$P(U_i \le u) = P(X_i \le F_i^{-1}(u))$$
  
=  $F_i(F_i^{-1}(u))$   
=  $u$ ,

 $U_i$  is uniformly distributed on [0, 1].



#### Sklar's theorem

The function

$$C(u_1,\ldots,u_N)=H(F_1^{-1}(u_1),\ldots,F_N^{-1}(u_N))$$

defines a copula.

Conversely, given a copula C, the function

$$H(x_1,...,x_N) = C(F_1(x_1),...,F_N(x_N))$$

defines a joint probability function with marginals  $F_1, \ldots, F_N$ .

- The last two statements form the content of Sklar's theorem.
- Actually, Sklar's theorem is a bit more general than what we formulated above.

## Gaussian copula

- Consider an N-dimensional Gaussian distribution  $\Phi_{\rho}$  with correlation matrix  $\rho$ .
- A random vector Z distributed according to Φ<sub>ρ</sub> has zero mean and each of its components has variance one.
- The Gaussian copula is defined by

$$C_{\rho}(u_1,\ldots,u_N) = \Phi_{\rho}(N^{-1}(u_1),\ldots,N^{-1}(u_N)),$$

where N(x) is the standard uniform Gaussian distribution function.

## Gaussian copula

Explicitly, in the bivariate case,

$$C_{\rho}(u_1, u_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{N^{-1}(u_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{N^{-1}(u_2)} \exp\Big\{-\frac{s^2 - 2\rho_{12}su + u^2}{2(1 - \rho_{12}^2)}\Big\} ds du.$$

- ullet Note that the correlation matrix ho is the driver of dependence for the Gaussian copula.
- This is one of the reason's of the popularity of the Gaussian copula: its
  dependence parameters are the correlation coefficients between the random
  variables (which are not necessarily Gaussian).

## Student t copula

- Let Z be a random vector distributed according to Φ<sub>ρ</sub>, and let Y be a scalar random variable distributed according to the χ<sup>2</sup>-distribution with ν degrees of freedom, S ~ χ<sup>2</sup><sub>ν</sub>.
- The Student t copula  $C_{\nu,\rho}$  with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom is defined as the copula corresponding to the N-dimensional random variable  $X = \sqrt{\nu/S}Z$ ,

$$C_{\nu,\rho}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)=t_{\nu,\rho}(t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1),\ldots,t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_N)),$$

where  $t_{\nu,\rho}$  is the distribution of  $\sqrt{\nu/S}Z$ , and  $t_{\nu}$  is the distribution of  $\sqrt{\nu/S}Z_1$ .

## Student t copula

Explicitly, in the bivariate case,

$$C_{\nu,\rho}(u_1,u_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho_{12}^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{t_\nu^{-1}(u_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{t_\nu^{-1}(u_2)} \left(1 + \frac{s^2 - 2\rho_{12}su + u^2}{\nu(1-\rho_{12}^2)}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+2}{2}} ds du.$$

- The Student t copula has fatter tails than the Gaussian copula (the smaller  $\nu$ , the fatter the tails).
- It is used to model situations where tail events are believed to play a role.

## Archimedean copulas

- These are simple copulas that have interesting properties but (so far) little use in finance.
- A function  $\phi:[0,1] \to [0,\infty)$  is called a *copula generator* if it satisfies the properties:
  - (i)  $\phi$  is monotone decreasing,
  - (ii)  $\phi$  is convex,
  - (iii)  $\phi(0) = \infty$ ,
  - (iv)  $\phi(1) = 0$ .
- Given a copula generator, an Archimedean copula is defined by

$$C(u_1,\ldots,u_N) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \ldots + \phi(u_N)).$$

As expected, an Archimedean copula is indeed a copula.

# Archimedean copulas

- Below are some of the more popular Archimedean copulas.
- Independence copula:

$$\phi(u) = -\log u.$$

• Clayton copula: for  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\phi(u) = u^{-\theta} - 1,$$

$$C_{Clayton}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = (u_1^{-\theta} + \dots u_N^{-\theta} - N + 1)^{-1/\theta}.$$

# Archimedean copulas

• Gumbel copula: for  $\theta > 1$ ,

$$\phi(u) = (-\log u)^{\theta},$$
 
$$C_{Gumbel}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \exp\Big\{-\left((-\log u_1)^{\theta} + \dots + (-\log u_N)^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta}\Big\}.$$

• Frank copula: for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \phi(u) &= -\log\frac{e^{-\theta u}-1}{e^{-\theta}-1},\\ C_{\textit{Frank}}(u_1,\ldots,u_N) &= -\frac{1}{\theta}\log\Big(1+\frac{(e^{-\theta u_1}-1)\ldots(e^{-\theta N^{U}}-1)}{(e^{-\theta}-1)^{N-1}}\Big). \end{split}$$

### Copulas and dependence measures

- Let (X, Y) be a vector of continuous random variables with copula C. Then:
  - (i) Kendall's tau is given by

$$\tau_K(X,Y) = 4 \iint_{[0,1]^2} C(u,v) dC(u,v) - 1.$$

(ii) Spearman's rho is given by

$$\rho_{S}(X, Y) = 12 \iint_{[0,1]^2} uvdC(u, v) - 3$$

$$= 12 \iint_{[0,1]^2} C(u, v)dudv - 3.$$

Note that these results do not depend on the marginals of X and Y.

## Copulas and dependence measures

For Clayton's copula,

$$\tau_{\mathsf{K}} = \frac{\theta}{\theta + 2}.$$

For Gumbel's copula,

$$au_K = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}$$
.

For Gaussian and Student t copulas, the following relation holds:

$$\rho = 2\sin(\pi\rho_S/6).$$

- The concept of tail dependence relates to the amount of dependence in the upper right quadrant tail or lower left quadrant tail of a bivariate distribution.
- This concept is relevant for the study of dependence between tail (
   = extreme)
   events.
- One can prove that tail dependence between two continuous random variables X and Y is a copula property.
- As a result, the amount of tail dependence is invariant under strictly increasing transformations of X and Y.

Consider a bivariate copula is such that the limit

$$\lim_{u\to 1} (1-2u+C(u,u))/(1-u) \triangleq \lambda_U$$

exists.

- Then C has upper tail dependence if  $0 < \lambda_U \le 1$ , and no upper tail dependence if  $\lambda_U = 0$
- Similarly, if

$$\lim_{u\to 0} C(u,u)/u \triangleq \lambda_L.$$

exists, then *C* has *lower tail dependence* if  $0 < \lambda_L \le 1$ , and no lower tail dependence if  $\lambda_L = 0$ .

The definition is justified by the following calculation:

$$\lambda_U = \lim_{u \to 1} P(U_1 > u | U_2 > u)$$
$$= \lim_{u \to 1} P(U_2 > u | U_1 > u).$$

However,

$$\lim_{u \to 1} P(U_1 > u | U_2 > u) = \lim_{x \to \infty} P(X > x | Y > x).$$

- This shows that  $\lambda_U$  measures the co-dependence of X and Y at the right tail:  $\lambda_U > 0$  if and only if the conditional probabilities P(X > x | Y > x) and P(Y > x | X > x) are positive for large values of x.
- A similar argument can be made for  $\lambda_I$ .

• For the bivariate Gaussian copula,  $|\rho_{12}| < 1$ ,

$$\lambda_U=0,$$

$$\lambda_L = 0.$$

• For the bivariate Student t copula,  $|\rho_{12}| < 1$ ,

$$\lambda_U = 2t_{\nu+1}(-\sqrt{\nu+1}\sqrt{(1-\rho_{12})/(1+\rho_{12})}),$$
  
 $\lambda_L = \lambda_U.$ 

• For the bivariate Clayton copula,  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\lambda_U = 0$$
,

$$\lambda_L = 2^{1/\theta}$$

• For the bivariate Gumbel copula,  $\theta > 1$ ,

$$\lambda_U = 2 - 2^{1/\theta}$$

$$\lambda_I = 0.$$

- Consider a two-component system where the components are subject to shocks, which are fatal to one or both components.
- We assume that these shocks arrive at times  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_{12}$  as independent Poisson processes, with intensities of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\lambda_{12}$ , respectively.
- In credit applications, the components are credit names, and λ<sub>1</sub>, λ<sub>2</sub>, and λ<sub>12</sub> are default intensities.
- The shared shock  $\lambda_{12}$  can be interpreted as global shock, that affects multiple names simultaneously.
- Let τ<sub>1</sub> = min(T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>) and τ<sub>2</sub> = min(T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>) denote the lifetimes of the two components.

The joint survival probability is given by

$$P(\tau_1 > t_1, \tau_2 > t_2) = P(T_1 > t_1)P(T_2 > t_2)P(T_{12} > \max(t_1, t_2))$$
  
=  $\exp(-\lambda_1 t_1 - \lambda_2 t_2 - \lambda_{12} \max(t_1, t_2)).$ 

The marginal survival probabilities are

$$P(\tau_1 > t_1) = \exp(-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12})t_1),$$
  

$$P(\tau_2 > t_1) = \exp(-(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12})t_2).$$

• We now find the copula  $C_{MO}$  for this distribution.

- To this end, we express  $P(\tau_1 > t_1, \tau_2 > t_2)$  in terms of  $P(\tau_1 > t_1)$  and  $P(\tau_2 > t_2)$ .
- Since

$$\max(t_1, t_2) = t_1 + t_2 - \min(t_1, t_2),$$

we have

$$P(\tau_1 > t_1, \tau_2 > t_2) = P(\tau_1 > t_1)P(\tau_2 > t_2) \min(\exp(\lambda_{12}t_1), \exp(\lambda_{12}t_2)).$$

Set

$$u_1 = P(\tau_1 > t_1),$$
  
 $u_2 = P(\tau_2 > t_2),$ 

and

$$\alpha_1 = \lambda_{12}/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{12}),$$
 $\alpha_2 = \lambda_{12}/(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{12}).$ 



Then

$$\exp(\lambda_{12}t_1) = u_1^{-\alpha_1},$$
  
 $\exp(\lambda_{12}t_2) = u_2^{-\alpha_2}.$ 

This leads to the following copula:

$$C_{MO}(u_1, u_2) = u_1 u_2 \min(u_1^{-\alpha_1}, u_2^{-\alpha_2})$$
  
= \text{min}(u\_1^{1-\alpha\_1} u\_2, u\_1 u\_2^{1-\alpha\_2}).

- Note that the Marshall-Olkin copula is an example of a survival copula, which
  expresses the joint survival probability in terms of marginal survival probabilities.
- This is unlike the copulas discussed above, which express the joint event probabilities in terms of marginal event probabilities.



- The Marshall-Olkin copula can be extended to more than two names in a straightforward manner.
- However, its complexity increases with the number of components.
- There are different kinds of shared shocks: some will affect all names, some will affect only subsets (like those in a particular industry).
- In practice, the specification of these shocks and their intensities is impractical, as the combinatorics get complicated, and the Marshall-Olkin copula is not used often in practice.
- Elements of the MO copula approach, specifically the idea of shared shocks, are used in combination with other models.

## Algorithm for simulating Gaussian copula

- Consider the *N*-dimensional Gaussian distribution  $N(0, \rho)$  with mean 0 and correlation matrix  $\rho$ .
- Find the Cholesky decomposition of  $\rho$ , i.e.  $\rho = LL^{T}$ , where L is an  $N \times N$ -dimensional lower triangular matrix.
- Then repeat the following steps a desired number of times:
  - (i) Step 1. Simulate N independent samples  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_N$  from N(0, 1).
  - (ii) Step 2. Calculate  $X_1 = LZ_1, \dots, X_N = LZ_N$ .
  - (iii) Step 3. Convert this sample to a correlated N-dimensional uniform vector  $U_1 = N(X_1), \dots, U_N = N(X_N)$ .
- Then  $(U_1,\ldots,U_N)\sim C_\rho$ .

# Algorithm for simulating Student t copula

- The algorithm is similar to the one above (Gaussian copula).
- ullet Sampling from a  $\chi^2$ -distribution can be done by standard acceptance-rejection techniques or by numerically inverting the cumulative distribution function
- Find the Cholesky decomposition L of  $\rho$ , and repeat the following steps:
  - (i) Step 1. Simulate N independent samples  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_N$  from from N(0, 1).
  - (ii) Step 2. Simulate a random variate S from  $\chi^2_{\nu}$  independent of  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_N$ .
  - (iii) Step 3. Calculate Y = LZ.
  - (iv) Step 4. Set  $X = \sqrt{\nu/S}Y$ .
  - (v) Step 5. Set  $U_i = t(X_i)$ , for i = 1, ..., N.
- Then  $(U_1,\ldots,U_N)\sim C_{\nu\rho}$ .

#### References



Embrechts, P., Lindskog, F., and McNeil, A.: Modeling dependence with copulas and applications to risk management, in *Handbook of Heavy Tailed Distributions in Finance*. Elsevier (2003).



Joe, H.: Dependence Modeling with Copulas, Chapman & Hall (2014).



Nelsen, R. B.: An Introduction to Copulas, Springer (1999).