Warm-up: Recursive Least Squares Kalman Filter Nonlinear State Space Models Particle Filtering

# Time Series Analysis

#### 5. State space models and Kalman filtering

Andrew Lesniewski

Baruch College New York

Fall 2019

### **Outline**

- Warm-up: Recursive Least Squares
- 2 Kalman Filter
- 3 Nonlinear State Space Models
- 4 Particle Filtering

## **OLS** regression

 As a motivation for the reminder of this lecture, we consider the standard linear model

$$Y = X^{\mathrm{T}}\beta + \varepsilon, \tag{1}$$

where  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  is noise (this includes the model with an intercept as a special case in which the first component of X is assumed to be 1).

• Given n observations  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  of X and Y, respectively, the ordinary least square least (OLS) regression leads to the following estimated value of the coefficient  $\beta$ :

$$\widehat{\beta}_n = (\mathcal{X}_n^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{X}_n)^{-1} \mathcal{X}_n^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{Y}_n. \tag{2}$$

• The matrices  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  above are defined as

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_n^T \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Y}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3}$$

respectively.



## Recursive least squares

- Suppose now that X and Y consists of a streaming set of data, and each new observation leads to an updated value of the estimated β.
- It is not optimal to redo the entire calculation above after a new observation arrives in order to find the updated value.
- Instead, we shall derive a recursive algorithm that stores the last calculated value of the estimated β, and updates it to incorporate the impact of the latest observation.
- To this end, we introduce the notation:

$$P_n = (\mathcal{X}_n^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{X}_n)^{-1},$$
  

$$B_n = \mathcal{X}_n^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{Y}_n,$$
(4)

and rewrite (2) as

$$\widehat{\beta}_n = P_n B_n. \tag{5}$$



# Recursive least squares

Using (3), we can write

$$\mathcal{X}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}_n \\ \mathbf{x}_{n+1}^T \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{Y}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Y}_n \\ \mathbf{y}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Therefore,  $P_n$  and  $B_n$  obey the following recursive relations:

Particle Filtering

$$P_{n+1}^{-1} = P_n^{-1} + x_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
  
 $B_{n+1} = B_n + x_{n+1} y_{n+1}.$ 

Particle Filtering

## Recursive least squares

We shall now use the matrix inversion formula:

$$(A+BD)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(I+DA^{-1}B)^{-1}DA^{-1}, (6)$$

valid for a square invertible matrix *A*, and matrices *B* and *D* such that the operations above are defined.

This yields the following relation:

$$P_{n+1} = P_n - P_n x_{n+1} (1 + x_{n+1}^{T} P_n x_{n+1})^{-1} x_{n+1}^{T} P_n$$
  
=  $P_n - K_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{T} P_n$ .

where we have introduced the notation

$$K_{n+1} = P_n x_{n+1} (1 + x_{n+1}^T P_n x_{n+1})^{-1}.$$



Particle Filtering

# Recursive least squares

Now, define the a priori error

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}^{T} \widehat{\beta}_{n}.$$

Then the recursion for B<sub>n</sub> can be recast as

$$B_{n+1} = B_n + x_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{\mathrm{T}} \widehat{\beta}_n + x_{n+1} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1}.$$

Using (5), we see that

$$\begin{split} P_{n+1}^{-1}\widehat{\beta}_{n+1} &= P_n^{-1}\widehat{\beta}_n + x_{n+1}x_{n+1}^{\mathbb{T}}\widehat{\beta}_n + x_{n+1}\widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1} \\ &= (P_n^{-1} + x_{n+1}x_{n+1}^{\mathbb{T}})\widehat{\beta}_n + x_{n+1}\widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1} \\ &= P_{n+1}^{-1}\widehat{\beta}_n + x_{n+1}\widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1}. \end{split}$$

Particle Filtering

# Recursive least squares

In other words,

$$\widehat{\beta}_{n+1} = \widehat{\beta}_n + P_{n+1} x_{n+1} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1}.$$

However, from the definition of K<sub>n+1</sub>,

$$P_{n+1}x_{n+1} = K_{n+1}$$

and so

$$\widehat{\beta}_{n+1} = \widehat{\beta}_n + K_{n+1}\widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1}.$$

## Recursive least squares

• The algorithm can be summarized as follows. We initialize  $\widehat{\beta}_0$  (e.g. at 0), and  $P_0$  (e.g. at I), and iterate:

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}^{T} \widehat{\beta}_{n}, 
K_{n+1} = P_{n} x_{n+1} (1 + x_{n+1}^{T} P_{n} x_{n+1})^{-1}, 
P_{n+1} = P_{n} - K_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{T} P_{n}, 
\widehat{\beta}_{n+1} = \widehat{\beta}_{n} + K_{n+1} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{n+1}.$$
(7)

- Note that (i) we no longer have to store the (potentially large) matrices  $\mathcal{X}_n$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_n$ , and (ii) the computationally expensive operation of inverting the matrix  $\mathcal{X}_n\mathcal{X}_n^{\mathbb{T}}$  is replaced with a small number of simpler operations.
- We now move on to the main topic of these notes, the Kalman filter and its generalizations.



- A state space model (SSM) is a time series model in which the time series  $Y_t$  is interpreted as the result of a noisy observation of a stochastic process  $X_t$ .
- The values of the variables X<sub>t</sub> and Y<sub>t</sub> can be continuous (scalar or vector) or discrete.
- Graphically, an SSM is represented as follows:

- SSMs belong to the realm of Bayesian inference, and they have been successfully applied in many fields to solve a broad range of problems.
- Our discussion of SSMs follows largely [2].



It is usually assumed that the state process X<sub>t</sub> is Markovian, i.e. X<sub>t</sub> depends on the history only through X<sub>t-1</sub>, and Y<sub>t</sub> depends only on X<sub>t</sub>:

$$X_t \sim p(X_t|X_{t-1}),$$
  

$$Y_t \sim p(Y_t|X_t).$$
(9)

- The most well studied SSM is the Kalman filter, for which the processes above are linear and and the sources of randomness are Gaussian.
- Namely, a *linear state space model* has the form:

$$X_{t+1} = GX_t + \varepsilon_{t+1},$$
  

$$Y_t = HX_t + \eta_t.$$
(10)

- Here, the state vector  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is possibly unobservable and it can be observed only through the observation vector  $Y_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- The matrices  $G \in \operatorname{Mat}_r(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n,r}(\mathbb{R})$  are assumed to be known. For example, their values may be given by (economic) theory, or they may have been obtained through MLE estimation.
- In fact, the matrices G and H may depend deterministically on time, i.e. G and H
  may be replaced by known matrices G<sub>t</sub> and H<sub>t</sub>, respectively.
- We also assume that the distribution of the initial value X<sub>1</sub> is known and Gaussian.

• The vectors of residuals  $\varepsilon_t \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $\eta_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy

$$E(\varepsilon_l \varepsilon_s^{\mathsf{T}}) = \delta_{ls} Q,$$
  

$$E(\eta_l \eta_s^{\mathsf{T}}) = \delta_{ls} R,$$
(11)

where  $\delta_{ts}$  denotes Kronecker's delta, and where Q and R are known positive definite (covariance) matrices.

- We also assume that the components of ε<sub>t</sub> and η<sub>s</sub> are independent of each other for all t and s.
- The matrices Q and R may depend deterministically on time.
- The first of the equations in (10) is called the state equation, while the second one is referred to as the observation equation.



## Inference for state space models

- Let T denote the time horizon.
- Our broad goal is to make inference about the states X<sub>t</sub> based on a set of observations Y<sub>1</sub>,..., Y<sub>t</sub>.
- Three questions are of particular interest:
  - (i) Filtering: t < T. What can we infer about the current state of the system based on all available observations?
  - (ii) Smoothing: t = T. What can be inferred about the system based on the information contained in the entire data sample? In particular, how can we back fill missing observations?
  - (iii) Forecasting: t > T. What is the optimal prediction of a future observation and / or a future state of the system?

- In principle, any inference for this model can be done using the standard methods of multivariate statistics.
- However, these methods require storing large amounts of data and inverting tn × tn matrices. Notice that, as new data arrive, the storage requirements and matrix dimensionality increase.
- This is frequently computationally intractable and impractical.
- Instead, the Kalman filter relies on a recursive approach which does not require significant storage resources and involves inverting n x n matrices only.
- We will go through a detailed derivation of this recursion.

- The purpose of filtering is to update the knowledge of the system each time a new observation is made.
- We define the *one period predictor*  $\mu_{t+1}$ , when the observation  $Y_t$  is made, and its covariance  $P_{t+1}$ :

$$\mu_{t+1} = \mathsf{E}(X_{t+1}|Y_{1:t}), P_{t+1} = \mathsf{Var}(X_{t+1}|Y_{1:t}),$$
 (12)

as well as the *filtered estimator*  $\mu_{t|t}$  and its covariance  $P_{t|t}$ :

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mathsf{E}(X_t|Y_{1:t}), P_{t|t} = \mathsf{Var}(X_t|Y_{1:t}).$$
 (13)

Our objective is to compute these quantities recursively.



We let

$$v_t = Y_t - E(Y_t | Y_{1:t-1})$$
 (14)

denote the one period prediction error or innovation.

- In Homework Assignment #6 we show that v<sub>t</sub>'s are mutually independent.
- Note that

$$v_t = Y_t - \mathsf{E}(HX_t + \eta_t | Y_{1:t-1})$$
  
=  $Y_t - H\mu_t$ .

• As a consequence, we have, for t = 2, 3, ...,

$$E(v_t|Y_{1:t-1}) = E(HX_t + \eta_t - H\mu_t|Y_{1:t-1})$$
= 0 (15)

Now we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(v_t|Y_{1:t-1}) &= \text{Var}(HX_t + \eta_t - H\mu_t|Y_{1:t-1}) \\ &= \text{Var}(H(X_t - \mu_t)|Y_{1:t-1}) + \text{Var}(\eta_t|Y_{1:t-1}) \\ &= \text{E}(H(X_t - \mu_t)(X_t - \mu_t)^T H^T|Y_{1:t-1}) + \text{E}(\eta_t \eta_t^T | Y_{1:t-1}) \\ &= HP_t H^T + R. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience we denote

$$F_t = \text{Var}(v_t|Y_{1:t-1}).$$
 (16)

We will assume in the following that the matrix  $F_t$  is invertible.

The result of the calculation above can thus be stated as:

$$F_t = HP_tH^{\mathrm{T}} + R. \tag{17}$$

This relation allows us to derive a relation between μ<sub>t</sub> and μ<sub>t|t</sub>.



#### Lemma

 First, we will establish the following Lemma: Let X and Y be Gaussian jointly distributed random vectors with

$$\mathsf{E}\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{XX} & \Sigma_{XY} \\ \Sigma_{XY}^{\mathrm{T}} & \Sigma_{YY} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\mathsf{E}(X|Y) = \mu_X + \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} (Y - \mu_Y), \tag{18}$$

and

$$Var(X|Y) = \Sigma_{XX} - \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY}^{T}.$$
 (19)

Proof: Consider the random variable

$$Z = X - \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} (Y - \mu_Y).$$



#### Lemma

- Since Z is a linear in X and Y, the vector (Y, Z) is Gaussian jointly distributed.
- Furthermore,

$$E(Z) = \mu_X,$$

$$Var(Z) = E((Z - \mu_X)(Z - \mu_X)^{T})$$

$$= \Sigma_{XX} - \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY}^{T}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(Y, Z) &= \mathsf{E}(Y(Z - \mu_X)^{\mathrm{T}}) \\ &= \mathsf{E}(Y(X - \mu_X)^{\mathrm{T}} - Y(Y - \mu_Y)^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY}^{\mathrm{T}}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that *Z* and *Y* are independently distributed!



#### Lemma

- Consequently, E(Z|Y) = E(Z) and Var(Z|Y) = Var(Z).
- Since

$$X = Z + \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} (Y - \mu_Y),$$

we have

$$\mathsf{E}(X|Y) = \mu_X + \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} (Y - \mu_Y),$$

which proves (18).

Also, conditioned on Y, X and Z differ by a constant vector, and so

$$Var(X|Y) = Var(Z|Y)$$

$$= Var(Z)$$

$$= \Sigma_{XX} - \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} \Sigma_{XY}^{T},$$

which proves (19). QED



Now, going back to the main calculation, we have

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mathsf{E}(X_t|Y_{1:t})$$
  
=  $\mathsf{E}(X_t|Y_{1:t-1}, v_t),$ 

and

$$\mu_{t+1} = \mathsf{E}(X_{t+1}|Y_{1:t}) = \mathsf{E}(X_{t+1}|Y_{1:t-1}, \nu_t).$$

Applying the Lemma to the joint distribution of X<sub>t</sub> and v<sub>t</sub> conditioned on Y<sub>t-1</sub> yields

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mathsf{E}(X_t|Y_{1:t-1}) + \mathsf{Cov}(X_t, v_t|Y_{1:t-1})\mathsf{Var}(v_t|Y_{1:t-1})^{-1}v_t. \tag{20}$$

Note that

$$Cov(X_{t}, v_{t}|Y_{1:t-1}) = E(X_{t}(HX_{t} + \eta_{t} - H\mu_{t})^{T}|Y_{1:t-1})$$

$$= E(X_{t}(X_{t} - \mu_{t})^{T}H^{T}|Y_{1:t-1})$$

$$= E((X_{t} - \mu_{t})(X_{t} - \mu_{t})^{T}|Y_{1:t-1})H^{T}$$

$$= P_{t}H^{T},$$
(21)

by the definition (12) of  $P_t$ .

• This allows us to rewrite equation (20) in the form

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mu_t + P_t H^{\mathrm{T}} F_t^{-1} v_t, \tag{22}$$

where  $F_t$  is defined by (16).



Next, we conclude from the Lemma that

$$Var(X_t|Y_{1:t}) = Var(X_t|Y_{1:t-1}, v_t)$$

$$= Var(X_t|Y_{1:t-1}) - Cov(X_t, v_t|Y_{1:t-1}) Var(v_t|Y_{1:t-1})^{-1} Cov(X_t, v_t|Y_{1:t-1})^{T}.$$

From the definition (13) of  $P_{t|t}$ , this can be stated as the following relation:

$$P_{t|t} = P_t - P_t H^{T} F_t^{-1} H P_t = (I - K_t H) P_t.$$
 (23)

where

$$K_t = P_t H^{\mathrm{T}} F_t^{-1}. \tag{24}$$

• The matrix  $K_t$  is referred to as the Kalman gain.



 Now we are ready to establish recursions for μ<sub>t</sub> and P<sub>t</sub>. From the state equation (the first equation in (10)) we have

$$\mu_{t+1} = E(GX_t + \varepsilon_{t+1} | Y_{1:t}) = GE(X_t | Y_{1:t}) = G\mu_{t|t}.$$
 (25)

Furthermore,

$$P_{t+1} = Var(GX_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}|Y_{1:t})$$
  
=  $GVar(X_t|Y_{1:t})G^{T} + Var(\varepsilon_{t+1}|Y_{1:t}).$  (26)

Substituting (23) and (24) into (26) we find that

$$P_{t+1} = GP_{t|t}G^{\mathrm{T}} + Q. \tag{27}$$

Relations and are called the prediction step of the Kalman filter.



 Using this notation, we can write the full system of recursive relations for updating from t to t + 1 in the following form:

$$v_{t} = Y_{t} - H\mu_{t},$$

$$F_{t} = HP_{t}H^{T} + R,$$

$$K_{t} = P_{t}H^{T}F_{t}^{-1},$$

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mu_{t} + K_{t}v_{t},$$

$$P_{t|t} = (I - K_{t}H)P_{t},$$

$$\mu_{t+1} = G\mu_{t|t},$$

$$P_{t+1} = GP_{t|t}G^{T} + Q,$$
(28)

for t = 1, 2, ...

- The initial values  $\mu_1$  and  $P_1$  are assumed to be known (consequently,  $X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, P_1)$ ).
- If the matrices G, H, Q, R depend (deterministically) on t, the formulas above remain valid with G replaced by G<sub>t</sub>, etc.



## Kalman filter with mean adjustments

It is sometimes necessary to consider a linear SSM with mean adjustments:

$$X_{t+1} = GX_t + C_t + \varepsilon_{t+1},$$
  

$$Y_t = HX_t + D_t + \eta_t,$$
(29)

where  $C_t \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $D_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are deterministic (known).

Following the arguments above, we can derive the following Kalman filter for (29):

$$v_{t} = Y_{t} - H\mu_{t} - D_{t},$$

$$F_{t} = HP_{t}H^{T} + R,$$

$$K_{t} = P_{t}H^{T}F_{t}^{-1},$$

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mu_{t} + K_{t}v_{t},$$

$$P_{t|t} = (I - K_{t}H)P_{t},$$

$$\mu_{t+1} = G\mu_{t|t} + C_{t},$$

$$P_{t+1} = GP_{t|t}G^{T} + Q,$$
(30)

for t = 1, 2, ...



## State smoothing

- State smoothing refers to the process of estimation of the values of the states  $X_1, \ldots, X_T$ , given the *entire* observation set.
- The objective is thus to (recursively) determine the conditional mean

$$\widehat{X}_t = \mathsf{E}(X_t | Y_{1:T}) \tag{31}$$

and the conditional variance

$$V_t = \text{Var}(X_t | Y_{1:T}). \tag{32}$$

- Since all distributions are normal,  $X_t | Y_{1:T} \sim N(\widehat{X}_t, V_t)$ .
- As before, we assume that  $X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, P_1)$  with known  $\mu_1$  and  $P_1$ .



## State smoothing

- An analysis, similar to the derivation of the Kalman filter leads to the following result (see [2]) for the derivation).
- The smoothing process consists of two phases:
  - (i) forward sweep of the Kalman filter (28) for t = 1, ..., T,
  - (ii) backward recursion

$$R_{t-1} = H^{T} F_{t}^{-1} v_{t} + L_{t}^{T} r_{t},$$

$$N_{t-1} = H^{T} F_{t}^{-1} H + L_{t}^{T} N_{t} L_{t},$$

$$\widehat{X}_{t} = \mu_{t} + P_{t} R_{t-1},$$

$$V_{t} = P_{t} - P_{t} N_{t-1} P_{t},$$
(33)

where  $L_t = G(I - K_t H)$ , for t = T, T - 1, ..., with the terminal condition  $R_T = 0$  and  $N_T = 0$ .

 This version of the smoothing algorithm is somewhat unintuitive but computationally efficient.



# Forecasting with Kalman filter

- The forecasting problem consists in predicting the values of X<sub>t+d</sub> and Y<sub>t+d</sub> given the observations Y<sub>1:t</sub>.
- As discussed in Lecture Notes #1, the optimal forecasts of the state variable and observation are given by:

$$X_{t+d}^* = \mathsf{E}(X_{t+d}|Y_{1:t}), \tag{34}$$

with variance

$$P_{t+d}^* = \mathsf{E}\big((X_{t+d}^* - X_{t+d})(X_{t+d}^* - X_{t+d})^{\mathrm{T}}|Y_{1:t}\big),\tag{35}$$

and

$$Y_{t+d}^* = \mathsf{E}(Y_{t+d}|Y_{1:t}), \tag{36}$$

with variance

$$V_{t+d}^* = \mathsf{E}\big((Y_{t+d}^* - Y_{t+d})(Y_{t+d}^* - Y_{t+d})^{\mathrm{T}}|Y_{1:t}\big),\tag{37}$$

respectively.



# Forecasting with Kalman filter

• The forecast is straightforward for d = 1:

$$X_{t+1}^* = G\mu_{t|t}, (38)$$

with

$$P_{t+1}^* = GP_{t+1}G^{T} + Q, (39)$$

and

$$Y_{t+1}^* = H\mu_{t+1},\tag{40}$$

with

$$V_{t+1}^* = HP_{t+1}H^{T} + R. (41)$$

# Forecasting with Kalman filter

• For d > 1 we obtain the recursions:

$$X_{t+d}^* = GX_{t+d-1}^*, (42)$$

with

$$P_{t+d}^* = GP_{t+d-1}^*G^{T} + Q, (43)$$

and

$$Y_{t+d}^* = HX_{t+d}^*, (44)$$

with

$$V_{t+d}^* = HP_{t+d}^*H^{T} + R. (45)$$

# MLE estimation of the parameters

- We have left a number of parameters that may have not been specified, namely:
  - (i) the initial values  $\mu_1$  and  $P_1$  that enter the probability distribution  $N(\mu_1, P_1)$  of the state  $X_1$ ,
  - (ii) the matrices G and H,
  - (iii) the variances Q and R of the disturbances in the state and observation equations, respectively.
- We denote the unspecified model parameters collectively by  $\theta$ .
- If  $\mu_1$  and  $P_1$  are known, the remaining parameters  $\theta$  can be estimated by means of MLE.

# MLE estimation of the parameters

To this end, we consider the joint probability of the observations:

$$p(Y_{1:T}|\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(Y_t|Y_{1:t-1}), \tag{46}$$

where  $p(Y_1|Y_0) = p(Y_1)$ .

Hence,

$$-\log \mathcal{L}(\theta|Y_{1:T}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \log \det(F_t) + v_t^{\mathrm{T}} F_t^{-1} v_t \right) + const, \tag{47}$$

where  $v_t$  denotes the innovation.

## MLE estimation of the parameters

- For each t, the value of the log likelihood function is calculated by running the Kalman filter.
- Searching for the minimum of this log likelihood function using an efficient algorithm such as BFGS, we find estimates of  $\theta$ .
- In the case of unknown μ<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>1</sub>, one can use the diffuse log likelihood method, which is discussed in detail in [2].
- Alternatively, one can regard μ<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>1</sub> hyperparameters of the model.

### Nonlinear state space models

- The recursion relations defining the Kalman filter can be extended to the case of more general state space models.
- Some of these extensions are straightforward (such as adding constant terms to the right hand sides of the state and observation equations in (10)), others are fundamentally more complicated.
- In the remainder of this lecture we summarize these extensions following [2].

#### Nonlinear state space models

In general, a state space model has the form:

$$X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_t \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \cdots$$

$$Y_1 \qquad Y_2 \qquad \dots \qquad Y_t \qquad \dots$$
(48)

where the state and observed variables may be continuous or discrete.

- It is also not required that the distributions of the residuals are Gaussian.
- Such models include linear and non-linear Kalman filters, hidden Markov models, stochastic volatility models, etc.
- As in the case of a linear SSM, the *filtering problem* is to estimate *sequentially* the values of the unobserved states  $X_t$ , given the values of the observation process  $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$ , for any time step t.



### Nonlinear state space models

- We assume that the states X<sub>t</sub> and the observations Y<sub>t</sub> can be modeled in the following form.
- $X_1, X_2, \cdots$ , is a Markov process on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that evolves according to the transition probability density  $p(X_t \mid X_{t-1})$ :

$$X_t \mid X_{t-1} \sim p(X_t \mid X_{t-1}).$$
 (49)

On the other hand,  $Y_t$  depends only on the value of the state variable  $X_t$ :

$$Y_t \sim p(Y_t \mid X_t). \tag{50}$$

Usually, these two relations are stated in explicit functional form:

$$X_t = G(X_{t-1}, \varepsilon_t),$$
  

$$Y_t = H(X_t, \eta_t),$$
(51)

where  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\eta_t$  are noises.



# Stochastic volatility model

An example of a nonlinear SSM is the stochastic volatility model

$$X_{t+1} = a + X_t + \varepsilon_{t+1},$$
  

$$Y_t = \exp(X_t)\eta_t,$$
(52)

where  $X_t, Y_t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \alpha^2)$ , and  $\eta_t \sim N(0, 1)$ .

- This model can be thought of as follows:
  - (i) the (hidden) state variable  $X_t$  drives the stochastic volatility process  $\sigma_t = \exp(X_t)$ ,
  - (ii) the volatility process is observed through the change  $Y_t = F_{t+1} F_t$  in the market observable  $F_t$  (such as asset price or forward rate).
- One can view this model as a discretized version of a continuous time stochastic volatility model such as SABR.



- The extended Kalman filter (EKF) consists in approximating a nonlinear SSM by a linear SSM followed by applying the Kalman filter.
- Namely, assume that the state and observation equations are given by

$$X_{t+1} = G(X_t) + \varepsilon_{t+1},$$
  

$$Y_t = H(X_t) + \eta_t,$$
(53)

#### respectively, where

- (i) G(x) and H(x) are differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^r$ .
- (ii) the disturbances  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\eta_t$  are mutually and serially uncorrelated with mean zero and covariances  $Q(X_t)$  and  $R(X_t)$ , respectively (we do not require that their distributions are Gaussian),
- (iii)  $X_1$  has mean  $\mu_1$  and variance  $P_1$ , and is uncorrelated with all noises.



 We denote by G'<sub>t</sub> and H'<sub>t</sub> the matrices of first derivatives (Jacobi matrices) of G(X<sub>t</sub>) and H(X<sub>t</sub>) evaluated at μ<sub>t</sub> and μ<sub>t|t</sub>, respectively:

$$G'_t = \nabla G(X_t)|_{X_t = \mu_{t|t}},$$
  
$$H'_t = \nabla H(X_t)|_{X_t = \mu_t}.$$

• We now expand the matrix functions G, H, Q and R in Taylor series to the orders indicated:

$$G(X_t) = G(\mu_{t|t}) + G'_t(X_t - \mu_{t|t}) + \dots,$$
  
 $H(X_t) = H(\mu_t) + H'_t(X_t - \mu_t) + \dots,$   
 $Q(X_t) = Q(\mu_{t|t}) + \dots,$   
 $R(X_t) = R(\mu_t) + \dots,$ 

and disregard the higher order terms denoted by . . ..



As a result of this approximation we obtain a linear SSM with mean adjustment:

$$X_{t+1} = G'_{t}X_{t} + (G(\mu_{t|t}) - G'_{t}\mu_{t|t}) + \varepsilon_{t+1},$$
  

$$Y_{t} = H'_{t}X_{t} + (H(\mu_{t}) - H'_{t}\mu_{t}) + \eta_{t}.$$
(54)

 Applying the Kalman filter formulas to this SSM we obtain the following EKF recursion:

$$v_{t} = Y_{t} - H(\mu_{t}),$$

$$F_{t} = H'_{t}P_{t}H'^{T}_{t} + R(\mu_{t}),$$

$$K_{t} = P_{t}H'^{T}F_{t}^{-1},$$

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mu_{t} + K_{t}v_{t},$$

$$P_{t|t} = (I - K_{t}H'_{t})P_{t},$$

$$\mu_{t+1} = G(\mu_{t|t}),$$

$$P_{t+1} = G'_{t}P_{t|t}G'^{T}_{t} + Q(\mu_{t|t}),$$
(55)

for t = 1, 2, ...



- The EKF works well if the functions *G* and *H* are weakly nonlinear, for strongly nonlinear models its performance may be poor.
- Other extensions of the Kalman filter have been developed, including the unscented Kalman filter (UKF).
- It is based on a different principle than the EKF: rather than approximating G and H by linear expressions, one matches approximately the first and second moments of a nonlinear function of a Gaussian random variable, see [2] for details.
- Another approach to inference in nonlinear SSMs is via Monte Carlo (MC) techniques particle filters, a.k.a. sequential Monte Carlo.

# Particle filtering

- Estimation of complex time series models requires evaluation of complex expected values, often expressed as high dimensional, analytically intractable integrals.
- Particle filters provide a method for calculating such integrals approximately via carefully crafted MC techniques.
- In this approach, a continuous PDF is approximated by a discrete PDF made of weighted outcomes called particles.
- Particle filter algorithms are formulated recursively, very much in the spirit of the Kalman filter.
- They are far reaching generalizations of the Kalman filter to nonlinear, non-Gaussian SSMs.
- Since particle filtering is based on MC methods, its performance or accuracy does not much that of the Kalman filter.



### Nonlinear state space models

- The probability distributions in the following depend on some parameters  $\theta$ . In order to streamline the notation, we will suppress  $\theta$  from all the formulas.
- All (Bayesian) inference about  $X_t$  is encoded in the posterior PDF  $p(X_t \mid Y_{1:t})$ .
- The particle filter methodology provides an approximation of these conditional probabilities using the empirical measure associated with a sampling algorithm.
- The objective of a particle filter is to estimate the posterior PDF of the (unobserved) state variables given a time series of observations.
- Distribution properties of the state variable can be captured by the joint smoothing distribution, which is defined as

$$p(X_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t}) = \frac{p(X_{1:t}, Y_{1:t})}{p(Y_{1:t})}.$$
 (56)

# Joint smoothing distribution

We derive the following recursion relation for the joint smoothing distribution:

$$p(X_{1:t} | Y_{1:t}) = \frac{p(Y_t | X_{1:t}, Y_{1:t-1})p(X_{1:t}, Y_{1:t-1})}{p(Y_t, Y_{1:t-1})}$$

$$= \frac{p(Y_t | X_{1:t}, Y_{1:t-1})p(X_t | X_{1:t-1}, Y_{1:t-1})}{p(Y_t | Y_{1:t-1})} p(X_{1:t-1} | Y_{1:t-1})$$

$$= \frac{p(Y_t | X_t)p(X_t | X_{t-1})}{p(Y_t | Y_{1:t-1})} p(X_{1:t-1} | Y_{1:t-1}).$$
(57)

This recursion will be approximated by numerically tractable expressions.

# Filtering recursion

- An alternative to working directly with the joint smoothing distribution is to find recursive relations for the one-period predictive and filtering distributions.
- This is analogous to the approach we took when deriving the Kalman filter.
- Assume that the initial distribution  $p(X_1)$  is known.
- The one-period prediction distribution is given by

$$p(X_t \mid Y_{1:t-1}) = \int p(X_t \mid X_{t-1}) p(X_{t-1} \mid Y_{1:t-1}) dX_{t-1}.$$
 (58)

# Filtering recursion

- The filtering distribution is calculated based on the arrival of the new observation
   Y<sub>t</sub>.
- Namely, applying Bayes' rule, and the fact that  $Y_t$  depends on  $X_t$  only,

$$p(X_{t} | Y_{1:t}) = \frac{p(Y_{t}, X_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})}{p(Y_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})}$$

$$= \frac{p(Y_{t} | X_{t}, Y_{1:t-1})p(X_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})}{\int p(Y_{t} | X_{t})p(X_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})dX_{t}}$$

$$= \frac{p(Y_{t} | X_{t})p(X_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})}{\int p(Y_{t} | X_{t})p(X_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})dX_{t}}.$$
(59)

# Filtering recursion

- The difficulty with this recursion is clear: there is a complicated integral in the denominator, which cannot in general be calculated in closed form.
- In some special cases this can be done: for example, in the case of a linear Gaussian state space model, this integral is Gaussian and can be calculated.
- The recursion above leads then to the Kalman filter.
- Instead of trying to evaluate the integral numerically, we will develop a Monte Carlo based approach for approximately solving recursions (58) and (59).

# Importance sampling

Suppose we are faced with Monte Carlo evaluation of the expected value

$$\mathsf{E}(f(X_{1:t}) \mid Y_{1:t}) = \int f(x_{1:t}) p(x_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t}) dx_{1:t}. \tag{60}$$

- The straightforward approach would be to generate a number of samples  $x_{1:t}^j$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,N$ , from the distribution  $p(x_{1:t}|Y_{1:t})$ , evaluate the integrand  $f(x_{1:t}^j)$  on each of these samples, and take the average of these values.
- This approach may prove impractical if the density  $p(x_{1:t} | Y_{1:t})$  is hard to simulate from.
- Instead, we use the method of importance sampling (IS).
- We proceed as follows:



# Importance sampling

1. Choose a proposal distribution  $g(X_{1:t} | Y_{1:t})$ , and write

$$\mathsf{E}(f(X_{1:t}) \mid Y_{1:t}) = \int f(x_{1:t}) \, \frac{p(x_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t})}{g(x_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t})} \, g(x_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t}) dx_{1:t}. \tag{61}$$

The proposal distribution should be chosen so that it is easy to sample from it.

 Draw N samples of paths x<sub>1:t</sub><sup>1</sup>,..., x<sub>1:t</sub><sup>N</sup> from the proposal distribution, and assign to each of them a weight proportional to the ratio of the target and proposal distributions:

$$w_t^j \propto \frac{p(x_{1:t}^j \mid Y_{1:t})}{g(x_{1:t}^j \mid Y_{1:t})}.$$
 (62)

# Importance sampling

3. Given the sample, we define the estimated expected value by

$$\widehat{\mathsf{E}}_{N}(f(X_{1:t}) \mid Y_{1:t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t}^{j} f(X_{1:t}^{j}), \tag{63}$$

where the *importance weights*  $\widehat{w}_t^j$ , j = 1, ..., N, are given by

$$\widehat{w}_t^j = \frac{w_t^j}{\sum_{j=1}^N w_t^j} \,. \tag{64}$$

- The efficiency of IS depends essentially on how closely the proposal distribution g(X<sub>1:t</sub> | Y<sub>1:t</sub>) matches the target distribution.
- One could, for example, settle on a parametric distribution such as Gaussian and fine tune its parameters by minimizing its KL divergence from  $p(x_{1:t} | Y_{1:t})$ .



- Another serious limitation of IS is that it is computationally very expensive to generate  $x_{1,t}^{i}$ , and that this cost increases with t.
- To mitigate it, the method of sequential importance sampling (SIS) has been developed.
- In this approach we retain the previously simulated values  $x_{1:t-1}^j$  and generate the value of  $x_t^j$  only.
- In order to implement this idea, the samples x<sub>1:t</sub> are simulated from a sequence of conditional distributions rather than a joint proposal distribution.
- The proposal distribution can be factored into two pieces as follows:

$$g(X_{1:t} | Y_{1:t}) = \frac{g(X_{1:t}, Y_{1:t})}{g(Y_{1:t})}$$

$$= \frac{g(X_t | X_{1:t-1}, Y_{1:t})g(X_{1:t-1}, Y_{1:t})}{g(Y_{1:t})}$$

$$= g(X_t | X_{1:t-1}, Y_{1:t})g(X_{1:t-1} | Y_{1:t}).$$

- Once the sample of  $X_{1:t-1}$  has been generated from  $g(X_{1:t-1} | Y_{1:t-1})$ , its value is independent of the observation  $Y_t$ , and so  $g(X_{1:t-1} | Y_{1:t}) = g(X_{1:t-1} | Y_{1:t-1})$ .
- We can thus write the result of the calculation above as the following recursion:

$$g(X_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t}) = g(X_t \mid X_{1:t-1}, Y_{1:t})g(X_{1:t-1} \mid Y_{1:t-1}).$$
 (65)

- The second factor on the RHS of this equation, g(X<sub>1:t-1</sub> | Y<sub>1:t-1</sub>), is the proposal distribution built out of the paths that have already been generated in the previous steps.
- A new set of samples  $x_t^1, \dots, x_t^N$  is drawn from the first factor  $g(X_t | X_{1:t-1}, Y_{1:t})$ .
- We then append the newly simulated values  $x_t^1, \dots, x_t^N$  to the simulated paths  $x_{1:t-1}^1, \dots, x_{t-t-1}^N$  of length t-1.
- We thus obtain simulated paths  $x_{1:t}^1, \ldots, x_{1:t}^N$  of length t.



The weights (62) can be computed as follows. Using (57) and (65),

$$w_{t}^{j} \propto \frac{p(Y_{t} | x_{t}^{j})p(x_{t}^{j} | x_{t-1}^{j})p(x_{1:t-1}^{j} | Y_{1:t-1})}{p(Y_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})g(x_{t}^{j} | x_{1:t-1}^{j}, Y_{1:t})g(x_{1:t-1}^{j} | Y_{1:t-1})}$$

$$= \frac{p(Y_{t} | x_{t}^{j})p(x_{t}^{j} | x_{t-1}^{j})}{p(Y_{t} | Y_{1:t-1})g(x_{t}^{j} | x_{1:t-1}^{j}, Y_{1:t})} \times \frac{p(x_{1:t-1}^{j} | Y_{1:t-1})}{g(x_{1:t-1}^{j} | Y_{1:t-1})}$$

$$\propto \frac{p(Y_{t} | x_{t}^{j})p(x_{t}^{j} | x_{t-1}^{j})}{g(x_{t}^{j} | x_{1:t-1}^{j}, Y_{1:t})} w_{t-1}^{j}$$

$$= \widetilde{w}_{t} w_{t-1}^{j},$$
(66)

where the factor  $\widetilde{w}_t$  is defined by

$$\widetilde{w}_t = \frac{p(Y_t \mid x_t^l) p(x_t^l \mid x_{t-1}^l)}{g(x_t^j \mid x_{t-1}^l, Y_{1:t})}.$$
 (67)

• We initialize this distribution with  $w_1^j = 1$ .

- The densities p(Y<sub>t</sub> | X<sub>t</sub>) and p(X<sub>t</sub> | X<sub>t-1</sub>) are determined by the state and observation equations (51).
- The only quantity that needs to be computed at each iteration is the ratio of weights  $\widetilde{w}_t$ .
- As a result of each iteration, SIS produces N Monte Carlo paths  $x_{1:t}^1, \ldots, x_{1:t}^N$  along with the *unnormalized* importance weights  $w_t^1, \ldots, w_t^N$ .
- These paths are referred to as particles.
- We define the normalized weights by

$$\widehat{w}_t^j = \frac{w_t^j}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_t^j} \,. \tag{68}$$



The joint smoothing PDF is estimated as follows:

$$\widehat{p}(X_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t}^{j} \, \delta(X_{1:t} - X_{1:t}^{j}), \tag{69}$$

where  $\delta$  denotes Dirac's delta function, and so

$$\widehat{\mathsf{E}}(f(X_{1:t}) \mid Y_{1:t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t}^{j} f(x_{1:t}^{j}). \tag{70}$$

The estimated contribution to the likelihood function at time t is equal to

$$\widehat{p}(Y_t | Y_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t-1}^{j} \widetilde{w}_{t}^{j}.$$
 (71)



- It has been observed that in practice SIS suffers from the weight degeneracy problem.
- This manifests itself in the rapid increase of the variance of the distribution of the importance weights as the number of time steps t increases.
- As t increases, all the probability density gets eventually allocated to a single particle.
- That particle's normalized weight converges to one, while the normalized weights
  of the other particles converge to zero, and the SIS estimator becomes a
  function of a single sample.

- A remedy to this problem is resampling, a process in which a new population of particles is replicated from the existing population in proportion to their normalized importance weights.
- This algorithm is called sequential importance sampling with resampling (SISR).
- A new population of particles is generated by sampling from the existing population:
  - The probability of selecting a particle is proportional to its normalized importance weight.
  - (ii) Once the resampled particles are selected, their weights are set equal (to 1/N). This prevents the weights from degenerating as in SIS.
- We proceed as follows:
- 1. Initialize the filter: draw N samples  $x_1^j \sim g(X_1)$  and define the weights

$$w_1^j = \frac{p(x_1^j)}{g(x_1^j)}.$$



- 2. For t = 2, ..., T:
  - (i) Generate N samples  $x_t^j \sim g(X_t \,|\, x_{t-1}^j,\, Y_{1:t})$  and compute the importance weights

$$w_t^j \propto \frac{p(Y_t \mid x_t^j) \, p(x_t^j \mid x_{t-1}^j)}{g(x_t^j \mid x_{t-1}^j, Y_{1:t})} \, w_{t-1}^j.$$

(ii) Normalize the importance weights:

$$\widehat{w}_{t}^{j} = \frac{w_{t}^{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{t}^{j}} \,. \tag{72}$$

- (iii) Resample N particles with probabilities  $\widehat{w}_t^1, \dots, \widehat{w}_t^N$ , and define  $w_t^j = 1/N$ .
- After every iteration, once the particles have been generated, quantities of interest can be estimated.



The joint smoothing PDF at time t is estimated as follows:

$$\widehat{\rho}_{N}(X_{1:t} \mid Y_{1:t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t}^{j} \delta(X_{1:t} - X_{1:t}^{j}), \tag{73}$$

where the normalized weights are given by (72).

 The estimate of the expected value of a function f(X<sub>1:t</sub>) of the path X<sub>1:t</sub> is given by

$$\widehat{\mathsf{E}}_{N}(f(X_{1:t}) \mid Y_{1:t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t}^{j} \delta(X_{1:t} - X_{1:t}^{j}). \tag{74}$$

• The contribution to the likelihood function at time *t* is estimated as follows:

$$\widehat{p}_{N}(Y_{t} \mid Y_{1:t-1}) \approx \int p(Y_{t} \mid X_{t}) p(X_{t} \mid Y_{1:t-1}) dX_{t}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{w}_{t}^{j}.$$
(75)

# Bootstrap filter

- The efficacy of the algorithms presented above depends on the choice of the proposal distribution.
- The simplest choice of the proposal distribution is

$$g(X_t \mid X_{t-1}, Y_t) = p(X_t \mid X_{t-1}). \tag{76}$$

This choice is called the *prior kernel*, and the corresponding particle filter is called the *bootstrap filter*.

- The bootsstrap filter resamples by setting the incremental weight ratios equal to  $\widetilde{w}_t = p(Y_t | X_t)$ .
- The prior kernel is an example of a blind proposal: it does not use the current observation Y<sub>t</sub>.
- Despite this, the bootstrap filter performs well in a number of situations.
- Another popular version is the auxiliary particle filter, see [1] and [2].



#### References



[1] Creal, D.: A survey of sequential Monte Carlo methods for economics and finance, *Economic Reviews*, textbf31, 245 - 296 (2012).



[2] Durbin, J., and Koopman, S. J.: *Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods*, Oxford University Press (2012).