High Level OCaml-JavaScript Interfaces with Goji

Benjamin Canou
Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris 6
Université Pierre et Marie Curie
Boston (MA, USA), September 24, 2013

Current Method Vs Goji

Current method: write bindings with pa_js

User code use a new predefined operator ##

```
1 : buf ## append (Js.string "my_text")
2 : Js.to_bool (buf ## isEmpty ())
```

Preprocessed to generate low level calls

```
1: ignore (js_call_method buf "append" [| js_of_string "my_text" |])
2: bool_of_js (js_call_method buf "isEmpty" [| |])
```

And checks aigainst encoded JavaScript structures using fake OCaml object types

```
1 : class type buffer = object
2 : method isEmpty : bool Js.t js_meth ;
3 : method append : js_string Js.t -> unit js_meth ;
4 : end
```

PROS

- concise both for definition and calls
- reasonnably easy to write and maintain
- static typechecking at zero overcost

CONS

- visible for both binding writers and users
- introduces non-OCaml constructs and style
- fills user code with boring conversions
- not expressive enough for modern JavaScript libraries

Goji's method: describe bindings

Our two main goals:

- Hide the machinery from library users
- Get rid of boilerplate code / conversions

We use a good old technique: an Interface Description Language!

Goii is a tool which:

- Takes library descriptions in a specific IDL
- Generates the boring code for you
- Generates OCamlDoc from your annotations
- Does static checks and can optionally introduce dynamic checks
- Handles OCamlFind packages and JavaScript dependencies
- Has (or will have) several back-ends (abstract types / objects, concurrency)

And everything is still fresh and can be discussed!

Goji's method: describe bindings

The Interface Description Language:

- Supports OCaml features: optional arguments, complex types, modules
- Separates the desired OCaml output from its JavaScript mapping
- Predefined (and extensible) high-level constructs for conciseness
- Built as an embedded DSL: a public AST + a combinator library

In the end this original JS code

can become this OCaml code

```
1: var sound = new Howl({
                                  1: let sound : Howler.sound =
2:
     urls: ['sounds.mp3',
                                  2:
                                       Howler make
3:
           'sounds.ogg'l.
                                  3:
                                         ~autoplay:true
4: autoplay: true,
                                  4:
                                         ~sprites:
5: sprite: {
                                  5: [ "blast", (0, 2000):
6: blast: [0, 2000],
                                  6:
                                            "laser", (3000, 700);
                                  7:
7: laser: [3000, 700],
                                            "winner", (5000, 9000) 1
                                  8:
                                         [ "sounds.mp3" :
8: winner: [5000, 9000]
                                  9:
                                           "sounds.ogg" 1
10: }):
```

Details & Tutorial

Creating a binding description

Form of a (set of) binding(s):

- An (or a set of) .ml source files
- Linked against the goji_lib package
- Registering packages and modules using Goji_registry

For instance, we create an (initially empty) package:

And fill it with compilation units (components):

Describing the architecture

The top-level description describes the OCaml structure:

```
1 : [ Structure ("Utils", Doc "My, useful, functions", [
        Type ( (* .. *) ); Method ( (* .. *) );
 2:
 3:
    Inherits ( (* .. *) ) ;
 4: ];
 5: Structure ("Useless", Doc "My, useless, functions", [
 6:
         Exception ( (* .. *) ); Function ( (* .. *) );
 7:
      1:
       Function ("version", (* .. *), Doc "My, version") ]
 8:
Or using the DSL:
 1 : [ structure "Utils" ~doc: "My, useful, functions" [
         def_type (* .. *); def_method (* .. *); inherits (* .. *);
 3:
 4: structure "Useless" ~doc: "My_useless, functions" [
 5:
         def exception (* .. *) : def function (* .. *) :
```

def_function "version" ~doc:"My, version" (* .. *)]

6:]; 7: def

Mapping data types / structures

Description of reversible data mappings

- Usable for both injection and extraction
- Top-level: OCaml types (tuples, records, variants, options)
- Leaves: value types (int, array, etc.) + paths inside the JavaScript structure

Notation: type @@ location where location is

- root (the root of the JavaScript value)
- field location "f"
- cell location 3

```
For instance, to map ((A, B), (C, D)) to { x: A, y: B, x2: C, y2: D }

1: def_type
```

```
2: ~doc:"rectangular_boundaries_((left, _top), _(right, _bottom))"
3: "boundaries"
```

```
4: (public (tuple [ (tuple [ float @@ field root "x" ;
```

```
7: float @@ field root "y2" ]) ]));
```

Mapping functions / methods

A function is described by

- Its name, its parameters and return types
- What it does: specific combinators to describe the body
- How arguments are used in the body

To map OCaml arguments to JavaScript arguments, use the location $arg\ n$.

```
1: def_function "my_fun"
2:    [ curry_arg "x" (int @@ arg 0) ]
3:    (call_function "myFun")
4:    void
```

The body can be more complex, for instance to introduce phantom arguments:

Multiple call sites can be named and targeted by arg ~site:"cs" n.

Non demonstrated features

You didn't see it but it's available:

- Access to global JavaScript variables
- Optional / labeled arguments
- Collections (arrays, lists, assocs)
- gen_sym, gen_id, format constructs to get rid of "everything is a string"
- Variant types (with a notion of reversible guards)
- High-level DSL functions (e.g. simple_enum ["A" ; "B"])
- Automatic handling of JavaScript dependencies

Conclusion & Future Work

Conclusion

README

- Available on Github:
 - The tool: https://github.com/klakplok/goji
 - Some bindings: https://github.com/klakplok/goji-bindings
- Under the CeCILL (GPL like) license
- Examples: jQuery, Raphael, Howler, Box2D

TO_DO

- A comprehensive introduction / tutorial (OCamlDoc is already there)
- Event handling back-ends (on their way)
- Object oriented back-end
- More, more and more bindings!

FIXME

- More static checks (e.g. some form of typechecking)
- More dynamic checks (a real / release switch)