CROSSTABS

/TABLES=Corpus BY Email Billing SSN Ads Location Children Law Change Choice Aggregation

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES
/STATISTICS=CHISQ CC PHI
/CELLS=COUNT ROW
/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Notes

Output Created		06-MAY-2020 23:06:
Comments		
Input	Data	/Users/cfi- razieh/Dropbox/Resear ch-10/My Papers/SOUPS20- govVSCompPP/data/SPS S/PCAllCorpora.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none></none>
	Weight	<none></none>
	Split File	<none></none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	835
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table.
Syntax		CROSSTABS /TABLES=Corpus BY Email Billing SSN Ads Location Children Law Change Choice Aggregation /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ CC PHI /CELLS=COUNT ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.06
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00

Notes

Dimensions Requested	2
Cells Available	524245

[DataSet1] /Users/cfi-razieh/Dropbox/Research-10/My Papers/SOUPS20-govVSCompPP/data/SPSS/PCAllCorpora.sav

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Va	alid	Mis	sing	To	otal
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Corpus * Email	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Billing	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * SSN	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Ads	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Location	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Children	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Law	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Change	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Choice	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%
Corpus * Aggregation	835	100.0%	0	0.0%	835	100.0%

Corpus * Email

		Email				
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	0	36	0	36
		% within Corpus	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	-US	Count	0	249	0	249
		% within Corpus	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	APP	Count	4	96	0	100
		% within Corpus	4.0%	96.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	55	335	10	400
		% within Corpus	13.8%	83.8%	2.5%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	2	48	0	50
		% within Corpus	4.0%	96.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	61	764	10	835
		% within Corpus	7.3%	91.5%	1.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	61.888 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	82.272	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 7 cells (46.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.272	.000
	Cramer's V	.193	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.263	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Billing

				Billing		
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	0	5	31	36
		% within Corpus	0.0%	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%
	-US	Count	0	26	223	249
		% within Corpus	0.0%	10.4%	89.6%	100.0%
	APP	Count	2	53	45	100
		% within Corpus	2.0%	53.0%	45.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	32	151	217	400
		% within Corpus	8.0%	37.8%	54.3%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	2	34	14	50
		% within Corpus	4.0%	68.0%	28.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	36	269	530	835
		% within Corpus	4.3%	32.2%	63.5%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	153.894 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	171.085	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 3 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.55.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.429	.000
	Cramer's V	.304	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.394	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * SSN

				SSN		
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	1	1	34	36
		% within Corpus	2.8%	2.8%	94.4%	100.0%
	-US	Count	0	4	245	249
		% within Corpus	0.0%	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%
	APP	Count	3	6	91	100
		% within Corpus	3.0%	6.0%	91.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	42	69	289	400
		% within Corpus	10.5%	17.3%	72.3%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	4	1	45	50
		% within Corpus	8.0%	2.0%	90.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	50	81	704	835
		% within Corpus	6.0%	9.7%	84.3%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	91.442 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	111.375	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 4 cells (26.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.16.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.331	.000
	Cramer's V	.234	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.314	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Ads

			Ads			
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	0	36	0	36
		% within Corpus	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	-US	Count	0	230	19	249
		% within Corpus	0.0%	92.4%	7.6%	100.0%
	APP	Count	1	99	0	100
		% within Corpus	1.0%	99.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	55	293	52	400
		% within Corpus	13.8%	73.3%	13.0%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	0	49	1	50
		% within Corpus	0.0%	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	56	707	72	835
		% within Corpus	6.7%	84.7%	8.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	92.732 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	122.689	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 4 cells (26.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.41.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.333	.000
	Cramer's V	.236	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.316	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Location

		Location				
_			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	0	0	36	36
		% within Corpus	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	-US	Count	0	7	242	249
		% within Corpus	0.0%	2.8%	97.2%	100.0%
	APP	Count	1	28	71	100
		% within Corpus	1.0%	28.0%	71.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	30	100	270	400
		% within Corpus	7.5%	25.0%	67.5%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	0	23	27	50
		% within Corpus	0.0%	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	31	158	646	835
		% within Corpus	3.7%	18.9%	77.4%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	126.496 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	155.006	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 3 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.34.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.389	.000
	Cramer's V	.275	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.363	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Children

			Children			
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	1	22	13	36
		% within Corpus	2.8%	61.1%	36.1%	100.0%
	-US	Count	3	76	170	249
		% within Corpus	1.2%	30.5%	68.3%	100.0%
_	APP	Count	4	4	92	100
		% within Corpus	4.0%	4.0%	92.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	45	30	325	400
		% within Corpus	11.3%	7.5%	81.3%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	2	2	46	50
		% within Corpus	4.0%	4.0%	92.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	55	134	646	835
		% within Corpus	6.6%	16.0%	77.4%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	151.825 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	141.854	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 2 cells (13.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.37.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.426	.000
	Cramer's V	.302	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.392	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Law

				Law		
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	16	20	0	36
		% within Corpus	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	-US	Count	176	73	0	249
		% within Corpus	70.7%	29.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	APP	Count	51	49	0	100
		% within Corpus	51.0%	49.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	179	210	11	400
		% within Corpus	44.8%	52.5%	2.8%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	10	40	0	50
		% within Corpus	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	432	392	11	835
		% within Corpus	51.7%	46.9%	1.3%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	74.533 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	80.555	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 4 cells (26.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .47.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.299	.000
	Cramer's V	.211	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.286	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Change

		Change				
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	3	33	0	36
		% within Corpus	8.3%	91.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	-US	Count	115	134	0	249
		% within Corpus	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	APP	Count	10	90	0	100
		% within Corpus	10.0%	90.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	97	285	18	400
		% within Corpus	24.3%	71.3%	4.5%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	6	44	0	50
		% within Corpus	12.0%	88.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	231	586	18	835
		% within Corpus	27.7%	70.2%	2.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	92.505 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	101.027	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 3 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .78.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.333	.000
	Cramer's V	.235	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.316	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Choice

		Choice				
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	16	17	3	36
		% within Corpus	44.4%	47.2%	8.3%	100.0%
	-US	Count	206	41	2	249
		% within Corpus	82.7%	16.5%	0.8%	100.0%
	APP	Count	68	32	0	100
		% within Corpus	68.0%	32.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	212	151	37	400
		% within Corpus	53.0%	37.8%	9.3%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	33	15	2	50
		% within Corpus	66.0%	30.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	535	256	44	835
		% within Corpus	64.1%	30.7%	5.3%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	77.343 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	87.610	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 2 cells (13.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.304	.000
	Cramer's V	.215	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.291	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	

Corpus * Aggregation

		Aggregation				
			1	2	3	Total
Corpus	-EU	Count	0	36	0	36
		% within Corpus	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	-US	Count	0	249	0	249
		% within Corpus	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	APP	Count	3	97	0	100
		% within Corpus	3.0%	97.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	STOCK	Count	30	361	9	400
		% within Corpus	7.5%	90.3%	2.3%	100.0%
	WEB	Count	0	50	0	50
		% within Corpus	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	33	793	9	835
		% within Corpus	4.0%	95.0%	1.1%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	37.871 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	51.908	8	.000
N of Valid Cases	835		

a. 8 cells (53.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .39.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.213	.000
	Cramer's V	.151	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.208	.000
N of Valid Cases		835	