Prove Proposition 2.20, which states that if  $f \in L^+$  and  $\int f < \infty$ , then  $\{x : f(x) = \infty\}$  is a null set and  $\{x : f(x) > 0\}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. The reader is instructed to refer to Proposition 0.20, which proves a special case.

Solution. First we state and prove, as a lemma, Chebyshev's inequality.

**Lemma.** Given  $f \in L^+$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mu(\lbrace x : f(x) > \lambda \rbrace) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{X} f \, d\mu. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* Note that the set  $\{x: f(x) > \lambda\} \in \mathcal{M}$  since it is merely  $f^{-1}((\lambda, \infty))$  and f is measurable. First we prove the case when  $\lambda = 1$ . This reduces the problem to showing that

$$\mu(\{x: f(x) > 1\}) \le \int f \, d\mu.$$
 (1)

Since  $f \in L^+$ , the set on the left hand side can be written in terms of the supremum of all simple functions  $\phi$  such that  $0 \le \phi \le f$ :

$$\mu(\{x: f(x) > 1\}) = \sup_{\phi} \mu(\{x: \phi(x) > 1\}). \tag{2}$$

For any such  $\phi$ , there is a standard expression  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \chi_{E_j}$  for disjoint  $E_j$  and distinct  $a_j$ . Then, there is a subset of indices J so that  $a_j > 1$  for all  $j \in J$  (J could be empty). So, since measures are always positive and all  $a_j$  are positive,

$$\mu(\{x : \phi(x) > 1\}) = \sum_{j \in J} \mu(E_j) \le \sum_{j \in J} a_j \mu(E_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu(E_j) = \int \phi.$$
 (3)

Thus,

$$\sup_{\phi} \mu(\{x : \phi(x) > 1\}) \le \sup_{\phi} \left\{ \int \phi \right\} = \int f, \tag{4}$$

where the supremums are taken over the collection of all simple functions  $\phi$  with  $0 \le \phi \le f$ . It should be mentioned that these supremums exist by Theorem 2.10, the approximation theorem. Since inequality (1) is true for any  $L^+$  function, and  $\frac{1}{\lambda}f \in L^+$  for any  $f \in L^+$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\mu(\{x: \frac{1}{\lambda}f(x) > 1\}) \le \int \frac{1}{\lambda}f$$

which reduces to the desired inequality.  $\square$ 

To prove the first set is a null set, consider that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mu(\{x: f(x) > n\}) \le \frac{1}{n} \int f$$

by the lemma. Since  $\int f < \infty$  and the continuity from below of measures gives that  $\mu(\{x:f(x)=\infty\})=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(\{x:f(x)>n\})$ , we have

$$\mu(\{x: f(x) = \infty\}) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int f = 0.$$

For the second set, the conclusion follows from noting that

$${x: f(x) > 0} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} {x: f(x) > \frac{1}{n}}$$

and  $\mu(\lbrace x: f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\rbrace) \leq n \int f < \infty$  for all n by the lemma.