

## Exercise 1.18

Nolan Hauck

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an algebra, and  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  the collection of countable unions of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  the collection of countable intersections of sets in  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu^*$  the induced outer measure.

- a. For any  $E \subset X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  with  $E \subset A$  and  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(E) + \epsilon$ .
- b. If  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , then  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable if and only if there exists  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  with  $E \subset B$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ .
- c. If  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the restriction  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$  in b. is superfluous.

**Solution. a.** This part is basically saying that the outer measure of any subset of  $X$  can be approximated from above by sets in the given algebra. Since  $\mu^*$  is the outer measure induced by  $\mu_0$ , we have for any  $E \subset X$  that

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_j), E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j, A_j \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By definition of infimum, there exists a collection of sets  $\{A_j\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  so that  $E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$  and  $\mu^*(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) \leq \mu^*(E) + \epsilon$ . But  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  by definition, so we are done.

**b.** Suppose  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$  and  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. By part a., for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  so that  $\mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + 1/n$ , or in other words  $\mu^*(A_n) - \mu^*(E) \leq 1/n$ . Since  $\mu^*$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu_0$  on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Since the set of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra, the sets  $A_n$  (which are countable unions of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ ) are necessarily  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Since  $E$  is also  $\mu^*$  measurable and  $\mu^*$  is a complete measure on the set of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, we have

$$\mu^*(A_n) - \mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A_n \setminus E)$$

for each  $n$ . Then let  $B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . This  $B$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  as a countable intersection. Then for each  $n$ , we have

$$\mu^*(B \setminus E) = \mu^*\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_k \setminus E)\right) \leq \mu^*(A_n \setminus E) = \mu^*(A_n) - \mu^*(E) \leq 1/n.$$

But then  $\mu^*(B \setminus E)$  can only be zero.

Conversely suppose such a set  $B$  exists. Then let  $C \subset X$ . We must show that

$$\mu^*(C) = \mu^*(C \cap E) + \mu^*(C \cap E^c).$$

Since  $E \subset B$ ,  $B = (B \setminus E) \cup E$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ , we have

$$\mu^*(C \cap E) \leq \mu^*(C \cap B) \tag{1}$$

$$= \mu^*(C \cap ((B \setminus E) \cup E)) \tag{2}$$

$$\leq \mu^*(C \cap (B \setminus E)) + \mu^*(C \cap E) \tag{3}$$

$$= \mu^*(C \cap E) \tag{4}$$

i.e.  $\mu^*(C \cap E) = \mu^*(C \cap B)$ . On the other hand,  $E^c = (E^c \setminus B^c) \cup B^c$  since  $B^c \subset E^c$ . But

$$E^c \setminus B^c = E^c \cap B = B \cap E^c = B \setminus E$$

so  $E^c = (B \setminus E) \cup B^c$ . Then we have

$$\mu^*(C \cap B^c) \leq \mu^*(C \cap E^c) \leq \mu^*(C \cap (B \setminus E)) + \mu^*(C \cap B^c) = \mu^*(C \cap B^c)$$

i.e.  $\mu^*(C \cap B^c) = \mu^*(C \cap E^c)$ . This string of inequalities also works since  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ . Finally,

$$\mu^*(C \cap E) + \mu^*(C \cap E^c) = \mu^*(C \cap B) + \mu^*(C \cap B^c) = \mu^*(C)$$

since  $B$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable as a countable intersection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets (the  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra). So  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

**c.** Note that the reverse implication doesn't use the assumption that  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , so we only need to show the forwards implication is independent of this assumption, supposing that  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. If it is, then there exists  $\{X_j\} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  so that  $X_j \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu_0(X_j) < \infty$  for each  $j$  and  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ . It is possible to "disjointify" this collection and still have it satisfy all requirements, so we will simply assume it is disjoint. Then for any  $\mu^*$ -measurable  $E$  (with possibly infinite outer measure) we can write  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E \cap X_j)$ . If  $E_j = E \cap X_j$  for each  $j$ , then  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  and each  $E_j$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable as the intersection of two  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, and  $\mu^*(E_j) \leq \mu^*(X_j) = \mu_0(X_j) < \infty$  since  $\mu^*$  restricted to  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu_0$ . Then by part b., there exists a  $B_j \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  so that  $E_j \subset B_j$  and  $\mu^*(B_j \setminus E_j) = 0$ . Then

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j := B$$

Also since  $E_j \subset B_j$  for each  $j$  and all the  $E_j$  are disjoint, we have

$$\mu^*(B \setminus E) = \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \setminus E_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_j \setminus E_j) = 0.$$

Now, is  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ?