

## Exercise 1.29

Nolan Hauck

Last updated Thursday 16<sup>th</sup> May, 2024 at 10:29

If  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $m(E) > 0$ , then for any  $\alpha < 1$ , there is an open interval  $I$  such that  $m(E \cap I) > \alpha m(I)$ .

**Solution.** If  $\alpha \leq 0$ , then there is no problem to solve. So suppose  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . First suppose that the conclusion holds for  $m(E) < \infty$ . Then if  $m(E) = \infty$ , we can find  $E_0 \subseteq E$  with  $E_0 \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $0 < m(E_0) < \infty$  since  $m$  is semi-finite. Then there exists  $I$  so that  $m(E \cap I) \geq m(E_0 \cap I) > \alpha m(I)$  so the conclusion holds. Thus it suffices to prove the conclusion for  $m(E) < \infty$ .

For contradiction, suppose that  $m(E) \in \mathcal{L}$  with finite non-zero measure and there exists  $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$  so that for all open intervals  $I$ ,  $m(E \cap I) \leq \alpha_0 m(I)$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $U$  open in  $\mathbb{R}$  so that  $E \subseteq U$  and  $m(U) < m(E) + \epsilon$ , or  $m(U) - m(E) = m(U \setminus E) < \epsilon$ . Then since  $\mathbb{R}$  is second countable, there exists a collection of disjoint open intervals  $\{I_n\}_1^\infty$  so that  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n$ . Then by assumption,  $m(E \cap I_n) \leq \alpha_0 m(I_n)$  for all  $n$ . We would like somehow to use this inequality to get  $m(U \setminus E)$  in terms of the  $I_n \setminus E$ , so we can see what  $\epsilon$  we should choose to draw a contradiction. We have

$$I_n = (I_n \setminus E) \cup (E \cap I_n) \quad (1)$$

as a disjoint union, so that according to our inequality on the  $I_n$ ,

$$m(I_n) = m(I_n \setminus E) + m(E \cap I_n) \leq m(I_n \setminus E) + \alpha_0 m(I_n). \quad (2)$$

Rewriting this, we see that  $(1 - \alpha_0)m(I_n) \leq m(I_n \setminus E)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(U \setminus E) &= m\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n\right) \setminus E\right) \\ &= m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (I_n \setminus E)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty m(I_n \setminus E) \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha_0) \sum_{n=1}^\infty m(I_n) = (1 - \alpha_0)m(U). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

So we have

$$(1 - \alpha_0)m(U) \leq m(U \setminus E) < \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

If we choose  $\epsilon = m(E)(1 - \alpha_0)$  and find the corresponding  $U$  and  $\{I_n\}$ , the inequality will still hold and we get

$$m(U) < m(E) \leq m(U) \quad (5)$$

since  $E \subseteq U$ . This is clearly a contradiction, so no such  $\alpha_0$  can exist. By *reductio ad absurdum*, the conclusion is proved.