## Exercise 1.22

## Nolan Hauck

Last updated Sunday 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 at 19:08

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space,  $\mu^*$  the outer measure induced by  $\mu$  according to (1.12) (the infimum definition),  $\mathcal{M}^*$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, and  $\overline{\mu} = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}^*}$ .

- **a.** If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\overline{\mu}$  is the completion of  $\mu$ . (Use Exercise 18.)
- **b.** In general,  $\overline{\mu}$  is the saturation of the completion of  $\mu$ .

**Solution.** a. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{\text{null sets of } \mu\}$ . Recall that the completion of  $\mathcal{M}$  is

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}} = \{ E \cup F : E \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } F \subset N \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{N} \}$$
 (1)

and the completion of  $\mu$  is the unique complete measure  $\lambda$  on  $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$  such that  $\lambda(E \cup F) = \mu(E)$  for  $E \cup F \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ . So  $\lambda$  extends  $\mu$  to  $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ . By the completion theorem, all we must show is that  $\mathcal{M}^* = \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ , since  $\overline{\mu}$  will be a complete measure on  $\mu^*$  (since it agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ ) and thus can only be the completion of  $\mu$ .

Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}^*$ . Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $\mu^*$  was generated by  $\mu$ , we can use 18b freely without supposing that  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ . This means  $E \in \mathcal{M}^*$  is equivalent to the statement "there exists  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma\delta}$  (using the notation of Exercise 18) with  $E \subseteq B$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ ." However, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma\delta} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , and the reverse inclusion is obvious so the two our equal. So the statement in question becomes "there exists  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $E \subseteq B$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ ." We show this implies  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and the reverse.

Since  $\mu^*$  is generated by  $\mu$ ,

$$\mu^*(B \setminus E) = \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j), \ B \setminus E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j, \ A_j \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$
 (2)

Since the  $A_j$  are in  $\mathcal{A}$ , this is equivalent to if the infimum were taken over disjoint collections  $\{A_j\}$  and their disjoint unions. Then each of those unions would be in  $\mathcal{M}$  themselves and have measure exactly equal to the sum of the measures of their parts. So we may say there exists a sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  so that  $A_n \searrow B \setminus E$  i.e.  $\mu(A_n) \searrow \mu(B \setminus E)$  i.e.  $\mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0$  and  $B \setminus E \subset A_n$  for all n means that  $B \setminus E \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . So  $B \setminus E \in \mathcal{M}$  since  $\mathcal{M}$  is complete.

But since  $B \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $B^c \in \mathcal{M}$  and since  $E \subset B$ ,  $E^c = B^c \cup (B \setminus E)$ , so  $E^c \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Thus  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^* \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $E \cup F \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and there is  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  so that  $F \subset N$  and  $\mu(N) = 0$ . So  $E \cup F \subset E \cup N$  and  $(E \cup N) \setminus (E \cup F) = N \setminus F$ . Then since  $N \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\mu^*(N \setminus F) \le \mu^*(N) = \mu(N) = 0. \tag{3}$$

So there is  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $E \cup F \subseteq B$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus (E \cup F)) = 0$ . By the Exercise 18b equivalence discussed above,  $E \cup F$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. So  $\underline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{M}^*$ , and we are done.

**b.** If  $(X, \underline{\mathcal{M}}, \underline{\mu})$  is the completion of  $\mu$  then according to exercise 16, we must show that  $\overline{\mu}(E) = \mu(E)$  if  $\overline{E} \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\overline{\mu}(E) = \infty$  otherwise.

Suppose  $E \cup F \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then there is  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  so that  $\mu(N) = 0$  and  $F \subseteq N$ . Then since  $\overline{\mu}$  agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $E \cup N \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\overline{\mu}(E \cup F) \le \overline{\mu}(E \cup N) = \mu(E \cup N) = \mu(E) + \mu(N \setminus E) = \mu(E). \tag{4}$$

Also  $\mu(E) = \overline{\mu}(E) \leq \overline{\mu}(E \cup F)$  by subadditivity of outer measures, so the two are equal. Now suppose  $E \notin \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ . If  $\overline{\mu}(E) = \mu^*(E) < \infty$ , the argument above using Exercise 18 applies to E and we can say that  $E \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ , a contradiction. So  $\mu^*(E) = \overline{\mu}(E) = \infty$ . Since  $\overline{\mu}$  is saturated by Exercise 21,  $\overline{\mu}$  must be the saturation of  $\mu$ .