Problem

Let \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , and \mathbf{c} be $n \times 1$ column vectors, and let \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 be $n \times n$ matrices. Suppose

$$egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1 \\ \mathbf{M}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}.$$

Show that if $\det(\mathbf{M}_1) \neq 0$, then

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{M}_1^{-1} \mathbf{v}_1.$$

Solution

Left-multiply both sides of the given equation by the $n \times 2n$ block matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{M}_1^{-1} & -\mathbf{I}_{n \times n} \end{bmatrix}$, where $\mathbf{I}_{n \times n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. On the left-hand side of the equation, we obtain

$$egin{bmatrix} \left[\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1^{-1} & -\mathbf{I}_{n imes n}
ight] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1^{-1}\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{I}_{n imes n}\mathbf{v}_2 \ &= \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1^{-1}\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2. \end{split}$$

On the right-hand side of the equation, we obtain

$$egin{align} \left[\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1^{-1} & -\mathbf{I}_{n imes n}
ight] \left[egin{align} \mathbf{M}_1 \ \mathbf{M}_2
ight] \mathbf{c} &= \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1^{-1}\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{I}_{n imes n}\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{c} \ &= \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{c} \ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{M}_{2}\mathbf{M}_{1}^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathbf{v}_{2}=\mathbf{0};$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{M}_1^{-1} \mathbf{v}_1,$$

as asserted.

Remark 1

The interesting thing about this problem is that the equation

$$egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1 \ \mathbf{M}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}$$

looks like the equation

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}.$$

The solution for b in the latter equation is

$$b = \frac{d}{c}a = dc^{-1}a,$$

while the solution for \mathbf{v}_2 in the former equation is

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{M}_1^{-1} \mathbf{v}_1.$$

Strangely enough, you can solve for \mathbf{v}_2 almost by pretending that you have fractions instead of block matrices.

Remark 2

The reason I am solving for \mathbf{v}_2 in this problem is because an equation of this form came up in my research on mathematical modeling of Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW) devices. In that situation, there was a vector representing physical quantities in the location of \mathbf{v}_2 that needed to be solved for in the creation of the model.