# Using OWASP Security Controls The Unofficial Cookbook

Omair Manzoor Kevin Wall Jeffrey Walton

# Using OWASP Security Controls: The Unofficial Cookbook by Omair Manzoor, Kevin Wall, and Jeffrey Walton Publication date June 29, 2023

# **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	1
Source code	1
Contributing	1
Organization	1
Conventions	. 1
Applications	1
2. Input Validation	3
Input Validation	
Documentation	3
3. Output Contexts	. 4
Output Contexts	4
4. Cross Site Scripting	. 7
Documentation	7
Strategy	7
HTML Body	8
HTML Attribute	8
HTML Body vs Attribute	8
JavaScript	. 9
innerHTML	. 9
Cascading Style Sheets	10
Universal Resource Locator	
Uniform Resource Identifier	
5. SQL Injection	12
Documentation	12
Strategy	12
Prepared Statements	12
Parameterized Queries	13
Encoding	13
Dynamic Query	13
6. LDAP Injection	15
Documentation	15
Contexts	15
Strategy	15
Distinguished Name	17
Search Filter	18
encodeForLDAPSearchFilter	18
7. XML Injection	
Documentation	20
Strategy	
Index	22

# **List of Tables**

3.1. Defense by Output Context	5
4.1. Safe Encoding of Dangerous HTML Characters	
4.2. XSS Defense by Context	7
5.1. Safe Encoding of Dangerous SQL Characters	12
6.1. Encoding of LDAP Distinguished Name Characters	16
6.2. Encoding of LDAP Filter Characters	16
7.1. Encoding of XML Distinguished Name Characters	20
7.2. Encoding of XML Filter Characters	20

# **List of Examples**

3.1. Incomplete Remediation	5
3.2. Complete Remediation	5
4.1. HTML Body, OWASP Encoder	
4.2. HTML Body, ESAPI Encoder	. 8
4.3. HTML Attribute, OWASP Encoder	. 8
4.4. HTML Attribute, ESAPI Encoder	8
4.5. HTML Body vs Attribute, ESAPI Encoder	9
4.6. JavaScript Block, Pwned	
4.7. JavaScript, HTML Attribute, OWASP Encoder	
4.8. JavaScript Block, OWASP Encoder	
4.9. JavaScript, HTML Attribute, ESAPI Encoder	
4.10. JavaScript Block, ESAPI Encoder	
4.11. innerHTML, OWASP Encoder	
4.12. innerHTML, ESAPI Encoder	
4.13. CSS, Style Block, OWASP Encoder	
4.14. CSS, HTML Attribute, OWASP Encoder	
4.15. CSS, ESAPI Encoder	
4.16. URL, OWASP Encoder	
4.17. URL, ESAPI Encoder	
4.18. URI, OWASP Encoder	
4.19. URI, ESAPI Encoder	
5.1. Prepared Statement, Java, Pwned	
5.2. Prepared Statement, Java	
5.3. Parameterized Query, .Net	
6.1. LDAP Distinguished Name, ESAPI Encoder	
6.2. Incorrect LDAP Distinguished Name, ESAPI Encoder	
6.3. LDAP Search Filter, ESAPI Encoder	
6.4. Incorrect LDAP Search Filter, ESAPI Encoder	
6.5. LDAP Search Filter, Aggressive Encoding	18

# **Chapter 1. Introduction**

This document is a guide to using OWASP security controls. The controls help developers write safer applications. OWASP provides two libraries to take from, and a team can use either library, depending on what is needed. The first library is the OWASP Java Encoder [https://owasp.org/www-project-java-encoder/], and the second library is the Enterprise Security API [https://owasp.org/www-project-enterprise-security-api/].

The OWASP Java Encoder is a collection of security controls for Java 1.5 and above. The project minimizes dependencies to make it easier to integrate the encoder. If the OWASP Encoder fits your needs then you should use it.

The Enterprise Security API (ESAPI) is a collection of security controls for Java 8 and above. The project is feature rich, has more controls and has more dependencies than the OWASP Encoder. The ESAPI project also supports more languages than the OWASP Encoder project. You should use ESAPI when you need rich control and language support.

### Source code

The source code for the Java Encoder can be found on the OWASP GitHub at owasp-java-encoder [https://github.com/OWASP/owasp-java-encoder]. The source code for ESAPI can be found on the ESAPI GitHub at esapi-java-legacy [https://github.com/ESAPI/esapi-java-legacy].

# Contributing

This book is free software. If you see an opportunity for improvement, an error or an omission then please submit a pull request or open a bug report.

# **Organization**

The book proceeds in eight parts. First, administrivia is discussed, like how to ... TODO: Add something for each chapter of this book.

### Conventions

The book uses Monospace for program listings and code. Untrusted user input or attacker input is represented as <code>UNTRUSTED</code>, as in <code>encodeForHTML(UNTRUSTED)</code>, or as a block of text

String encoded = ESAPI().encoder().encodeForHTML(UNTRUSTED);

# **Applications**

This book provides the tools you need to make an application better and safer. A webapp accepts user input, transforms or acts upon the data, and then provides an output. The compa-

### Introduction

ny's business logic is embodied in the transformation, and it is your responsibility to make the data and transformation safe from malicious users. Understanding the webapp, its dataflows, and the security controls available will help you build a better and safer application for the company and users.

# **Chapter 2. Input Validation**

User input should be validated before your webapp consumes the data. This chapter of the book will show you how to use OWASP and ESAPI controls to assist in validating user data.

# **Input Validation**

Validating user input to ensure in conforms to a particular specification is a cornerstone to many developer tasks. A developer will encounter many forms of data, including names, numbers, social security numbers, credit card numbers, and dates. ESAPI provides the Validator class to help with the task.

### **Documentation**

Documentation for ESAPI Validator class is located at Interface Validator [https://www.javadoc.io/static/org.owasp.esapi/esapi/2.5.2.0/index.html?org/owasp/esapi/Validator.html].

# **Chapter 3. Output Contexts**

The security controls used for vulnerable code depends on the output context. The controls used when building a web page will be different from the controls you use when storing data in a database. This chapter of the book will examine output contexts to understand how to remediate a potential vulnerability.

# **Output Contexts**

The example web app below accepts a user input, and upon clicking Submit, the client sends the input to the server for further processing. The question is, what controls or encoder should we use to handle the user's data?



The answer to the question is, *it depends*. It depends on the output context. Or put another way, it depends on how the user's input is used in an output.

The image below shows the dataflow from the client, to the server, and then to various output contexts. The webapp processes user data five different ways. First, it returns the data to the user in a confirmation webpage. Second, it stores the data in a SQL database. Third, it writes the data to a plaintext log file. Fourth, it prints a copy of the user data. And finally, the webapp sends a confirmation email with the user's data.



Now that we know the output contexts, we can answer the question, what controls or encoder should we use to handle the user's data?

The table below shows defense by output context.

**Table 3.1. Defense by Output Context** 

Context	Defense
HTML webpage	XSS encoding.
SQL database	Parameterized queries.
Application logging	Newline sanitization.
Line printer	None. Printing does not suffer injections.
Plaintext email	None. Plaintext email does not suffer injections.

As Defense by Output Context shows, injections are remediated using one of several methods, depending on the output context. A HTML webpage returned to the user will use XSS encoding. (XSS Defense by Context provides more details, depending further on context). A SQL query or insertion will use Prepared Statements or Parameterized Queries. Application logging will use Newline sanitization. And two output contexts — the printer and plaintext email — do not require any remediations because they do not suffer from injection attacks.

The code shown below in Example 3.1, "Incomplete Remediation" *will not* work as expected. It is an incomplete remediation because it only addresses injections in the HTML body of the webpage returned to the user.

### **Example 3.1. Incomplete Remediation**

The code shown below in Example 3.2, "Complete Remediation" will work as expected. It is a complete remediation because it addresses injections in all the output contexts, and not just the context of the web page returned to the user.

### **Example 3.2. Complete Remediation**

```
String userText = request.getParameter("userText");
```

### **Output Contexts**

# **Chapter 4. Cross Site Scripting**

Cross Site Scripting (XSS) is the scourge of web applications. The vulnerability is a client-side injection attack and occurs when the attacker inserts malicious code into a web page. The malicious code is often sent to the server and later used in a web page provided to subsequent users. This chapter of the book will show you how to use OWASP and ESAPI controls to protect against XSS vulnerabilities.

### **Documentation**

Documentation for OWASP Encoder class is located at Class Encoder [https://javadoc.io/static/org.owasp.encoder/encoder/1.2.3/index.html?org/owasp/encoder/Encoder.html]. Documentation for ESAPI Encoder class is located at Interface Encoder [https://www.javadoc.io/static/org.owasp.esapi/esapi/2.5.2.0/index.html?org/owasp/esapi/Encoder.html].

# **Strategy**

The strategy used to defend against XSS is output encoding. Output encoding is context dependent, and different encoder functions will be used depending on how the vulnerable parameter is used. The vulnerable parameter can be present in HTML, URLs, JavaScript, CSS or JSON.

Output encoding translates dangerous characters into a benign representation for display on a web page. The table below shows safe ways to encode dangerous characters.

Table 4.1. Safe Encoding of Dangerous HTML Characters

Character	Decimal	Hexadecimal	HTML Entity	Unicode
" (double quote)	"	"	"	\u0022
' (single quote)	'	'	'	\u0027
& (ampersand)	<b>&amp;</b> #38	&	&	\u0026
< (less than)	<b>&lt;</b>	<	<	\u003c
> (greater than)	>	>	>	\u003e

The table below shows XSS defense by context.

Table 4.2. XSS Defense by Context

Data Type	Context	Defense
String	HTML Body	HTML Entity Encoding
String	HTML Attribute	Minimal Attribute Encoding
String	GET Parameter	URL Encoding
String	URL	URL Validation
String	CSS	Structural Validation; CSS Hex Encoding

Data Type	Context	Defense
HTML	HTML Body	HTML Validation; HTML Sanitizer
N/A	DOM	OWASP Cheatsheet
JavaScript	All	Sandboxing
JSON	Client Parsing	JSON Validation

# **HTML Body**

The primary defense against XSS in HTML body is HTML escaping or Entity encoding. Use the encoding method when the attacker controlled text is displayed to the user in a <body> tag.

Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below.

### Example 4.1. HTML Body, OWASP Encoder

```
<body><b><%= Encode.forHTML(UNTRUSTED) %>" /></b></body>
```

### **Example 4.2. HTML Body, ESAPI Encoder**

<body><b><%= ESAPI.encoder().encodeForHTML(UNTRUSTED) %>" /></b></body>

### **HTML Attribute**

The primary defense against XSS in HTML attribute is HTML escaping or Entity encoding. Use the encoding method when the attacker controlled text is part of an attribute, like in an <input> or <image> tag.

Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below.

### **Example 4.3. HTML Attribute, OWASP Encoder**

```
<input type="text" name="data"
    value="<%= Encode.forHTMLAttribute(UNTRUSTED) %>" />
<input type="text" name="data"
    value=<%= Encode.forHTMLUnquotedAttribute(UNTRUSTED) %> />
```

### Example 4.4. HTML Attribute, ESAPI Encoder

```
<input type="text" name="data"
    value="<%= ESAPI.encoder().encodeForHTMLAttribute(UNTRUSTED) %>" />
```

# **HTML Body vs Attribute**

The main difference between encodeForHTML and encodeForHTMLAttribute is, encodeForHTML does not encode the space character, while encodeForHTMLAttribute encodes the space character as &#x20.

An example of HTML Body vs HTML Attribute using the ESAPI encoder is shown below. Notice how the space is handled between the words *Hello* and *World*.

### Example 4.5. HTML Body vs Attribute, ESAPI Encoder

```
$ESAPI.encoder().encodeForHTML("<h1>Hello World!</h1>");
&lt;h1&gt;Hello World!&lt;/h1&gt;

$ESAPI.encoder().encodeForHTMLAttribute("<h1>Hello World!</h1>");
&lt;h1&gt;Hello&#x20;World!&lt;/h1&gt;
```

# **JavaScript**

The primary defense against XSS in JavaScript is avoid doing so. Allowing attacker controlled data directly inside a script is dangerous.

You should avoid code similar to the following example because it is nearly impossible to guard against XSS. DOM-based XSS is nearly impossible to prevent because the controls run client-side, not server-side. Most controls can be bypassed onerror.

### Example 4.6. JavaScript Block, Pwned

```
<script type="text/javascript">
   window.setInterval('<%= encode(UNTRUSTED and PWNED) %>');
</script>
```

Encoding can be used when the attacker controlled text is part of JavaScript. This remediation includes all DOM-based JavaScript event handler attributes such as onfocus, onclick, and onload.

Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below. Note that the developer must supply the quotes for the strings.

### Example 4.7. JavaScript, HTML Attribute, OWASP Encoder

```
<button onclick="alert('<%=Encode.forJavaScript(UNTRUSTED)%>');">
```

### Example 4.8. JavaScript Block, OWASP Encoder

```
<script type="text/javascript">
  var msg = "<%= Encode.forJavaScriptBlock(UNTRUSTED) %>";
</script>
```

### Example 4.9. JavaScript, HTML Attribute, ESAPI Encoder

```
<button onclick=
   "alert('<%=ESAPI.encoder().encodeForJavaScript(UNTRUSTED)%>');">
```

### Example 4.10. JavaScript Block, ESAPI Encoder

```
<script type="text/javascript">
  var msg = "<%= ESAPI.encoder().encodeForJavaScript(UNTRUSTED) %>";
</script>
```

# innerHTML

The primary defense against XSS in innerHTML is to avoid it and use innerText. If you must use innerHTML, then the defense is HTML escaping or Entity encoding. Use the encoding method when the attacker controlled text is displayed to the user in the innerHTML.

Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below.

### Example 4.11. innerHTML, OWASP Encoder

### **Example 4.12. innerHTML, ESAPI Encoder**

# Cascading Style Sheets

The primary defense against XSS in Cascading Style Sheets is hexadecimal encoding. Use the encoding method for style blocks and attributes in HTML.

Hexadecimal encoding is applied to U+0000-U+001f, ", ',  $\setminus$ , <, &, (, ), /, >, U+007f, line separator (U+2028), paragraph separator (U+2029).

Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below.

### **Example 4.13. CSS, Style Block, OWASP Encoder**

```
<style type="text/css">
   background: url('<%=Encode.forCssString(...)%>');
</style>
```

### Example 4.14. CSS, HTML Attribute, OWASP Encoder

```
<div style="background: url('<=Encode.forCssString(...)%>');">
```

### Example 4.15. CSS, ESAPI Encoder

Foo bar baz

### **Universal Resource Locator**

The primary defense against XSS in a Universal Resource Locator (URL) is URL escaping or percent encoding. Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below.

### Example 4.16. URL, OWASP Encoder

Foo bar baz

### Example 4.17. URL, ESAPI Encoder

Foo bar baz

### **Uniform Resource Identifier**

The primary defense against XSS in a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) is URL escaping or percent encoding. Examples of encoding using various encoders are shown below.

# Example 4.18. URI, OWASP Encoder

Foo bar baz

### Example 4.19. URI, ESAPI Encoder

Foo bar baz

# Chapter 5. SQL Injection

SQL Injection is the scourge of database programming. The vulnerability is a server-side injection attack and occurs when the attacker inserts malicious code into SQL query. OWASP and ESAPI do not supply controls for SQL Injections. Rather, you use the controls provided by the platform you are working on.

### **Documentation**

Documentation for Java PreparedStatement class is located at Interface PreparedStatement [https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/index.html?java/sql/PreparedStatement.html].

Documentation for .Net SqlCommand class is located at SqlCommand Class [https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/api/system.data.sqlclient.sqlcommand].

OWASP provides a SQL Injection cheat sheet at SQL Injection Prevention Cheat Sheet [https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/SQL\_Injection\_Prevention\_Cheat\_Sheet.html].

# **Strategy**

The primary defense against SQL Injections are Prepared Statements or Parameterized Queries. Prepared Statements and Parameterized Queries keep code and data separate to ensure attacker supplied data is not interpreted as code by the underlying database engine.

A secondary defense against SQL Injections is encoding dangerous characters so the attacker supplied data is not interpreted as code by the underlying database engine. Encoding is an anti-pattern and should not be used. Instead, you should use Prepared Statements or Parameterized Queries.

Encoding translates dangerous characters into a benign representations for storage in a database. The table below shows safe ways to encode dangerous characters.

Table 5.1. Safe Encoding of Dangerous SQL Characters

Character	Encoding	Comment
' (single quote)	"	Single quote espaced with a single quote

# **Prepared Statements**

Prepared Statements are used in Java as the primary defense against SQL injections. A PreparedStatement is a precompiled SQL statement with placeholders for attacker controlled data. Java uses the question mark (?) as a placeholder for the user supplied data.

An example of a vulnerable query is shown below.

### Example 5.1. Prepared Statement, Java, Pwned

```
String custName = request.getParameter("customerName");
String query = "SELECT balance FROM userdata WHERE username = " + custName;
Statement statement = connection.createStatement(...);
ResultSet results = statement.executeQuery(query);
ResultSet results = statement.executeQuery();
```

An example of a Prepared Statement is shown below.

### **Example 5.2. Prepared Statement, Java**

```
String custName = request.getParameter("customerName");
String query = "SELECT balance FROM userdata WHERE username = ?";
PreparedStatement command = connection.prepareStatement(query);
command.setString(1, custName);
ResultSet results = command.executeQuery();
```

### **Parameterized Queries**

Parameterized Queries are used in .Net as the primary defense against SQL injections. A SqlCommand is a precompiled SQL statement with named parameters for attacker controlled data.

An example of a Prepared Statement is shown below. The Microsoft .NET Framework Data Provider for SQL Server does not support the question mark (?) placeholder for passing parameters to a SQL Statement or a stored procedure called by a command of Command-Type. Text. A named parameter must be used instead.

### **Example 5.3. Parameterized Query, .Net**

```
String custName = request.getParameter("customerName");
String query = "SELECT balance FROM userdata WHERE username = @UNAME";
SqlCommand command = new SqlCommand(query, connection);
command.Parameters.Add("@UNAME", SqlDbType.Text);
command.Parameters["@UNAME"].Value = custName;
ResultSet results = command.executeQuery();
```

# **Encoding**

# **Dynamic Query**

Dynamic queries refers to the technique of selecting table names and column names at runtime rather than compile time. Dynamic queries select the table name or column name at runtime using concatenation to build the SQL query. The problem with dynamic queries is, you cannot bind a table name or column name in a Prepared Statement or Parameterized Query.

our primary defense in dynamic query is to avoid the technique in the first place.	

# **Chapter 6. LDAP Injection**

LDAP Injection is the scourge of directory programming. The vulnerability is a server-side injection attack and occurs when the attacker inserts malicious code into LDAP query. This chapter of the book will show you how to use OWASP and ESAPI controls to protect against LDAP vulnerabilities.

### **Documentation**

Documentation for ESAPI Encoder class is located at Interface Encoder [https://www.javadoc.io/static/org.owasp.esapi/esapi/2.5.2.0/index.html?org/owasp/esapi/Encoder.html].

Documentation for the two RFCs used in this chapter are located at RFC 4514, Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Distinguished Names [https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4514] and RFC 4515, Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Search Filters [https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4515].

An additional IETF document, RFC 4512, Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Directory Information Models [https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4512], is used to express the grammars provided in RFC 4514 and RFC 4515.

### **Contexts**

When you program against the directory there are two different contexts you must be aware of. The contexts are LDAP Distinguished Names and LDAP Filter Strings. The context drives the encoder used, like encodeForDN and encodeForLDAP.

The first context is *LDAP Distinguished Names*, and it is used for LDAP distinguished names (DN). Encoding is specified in RFC 4514, *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP):* String Representation of Distinguished Names. RFC 4514 uses the characters from ranges 0x01-0x21, 0x23-0x2A, 0x2D-0x3A, 0x3D, 0x3F-0x5B, 0x5D-0x7F, and hex encode characters outside the ranges.

The second context is *LDAP Filter Strings*, and they are used when searching the directory. Encoding is specified in RFC 4515, *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Search Filters*. RFC 4515 uses the characters from ranges 0x01-0x27, 0x2B-0x5B and 0x5D-0x7F, and hex encode characters outside the ranges.

It is possible to use a more restricted encoder for LDAP search filter strings. The restricted encoder could encode filter operators like &, |, :, ! and  $\sim$ . An example of a more restricted filter encoder is shown below in the section called "encodeForLDAPSearchFilter".

# **Strategy**

The primary defense against LDAP Injections in is encoding problematic characters. The characters to encode are context dependent as explained in the section called "Contexts".

For distinguished names, the characters are provided in the grammar shown in RFC 4514, Section 3 [https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4514#section-3]. The table below shows the characters and how to encode them for the directory. Some characters fall under the "special" production rule, and they can be escaped with a slash. The remaining characters need hexadecimal encoding. ESAPI will use the special encoding for a character when available.

LUTF1, SUTF1 and TUTF1 are the subset of UTF-8 characters specified by RFC 4514 in leading (LUTF1), middle (SUTF1) and trailing (TUTF1) character positions. Distinctions are made between leading, middle stream and trailing characters like leading and trailing spaces. Leading and trailing spaces need to be escaped to preserve them in the distinguished name.

Table 6.1. Encoding of LDAP Distinguished Name Characters

Character	Encoding	Special	Comment
NUL	\00	None	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
SPACE	\20	\SPACE	Not in LUTF1 or TUTF1
"	\22	\"	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
#	\23	\#	Not in LUTF1
+	\2B	\+	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
,	\2C	١,	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
1	\2F	None	Required by Microsoft AD
• ,	\3B	\;	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
<	\3C	/<	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
=	\3D	\=	Optional, "special" production rule <sup>a</sup>
>	\3E	/>	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
ESC	\5C	//	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
0x80-0xFFFF	\hh	None	Hexadecimal encoded, 2 digits <sup>b</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The EQUAL character is allowed in LUTF1, SUTF1 and TUTF1. However, the grammar has a production rule that allows EQUAL to have "special" encoding, too.

For search filter strings, the characters are provided in the grammar shown in RFC 4515, Section 3 [https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4515#section-3]. The table below shows the characters and how to encode them for the directory. UTF1SUBSET is the subset of UTF-8 characters specified by RFC 4515.

Table 6.2. Encoding of LDAP Filter Characters

Character	Encoding	Comment
NUL	\00	Not in UTF1SUBSET
!	\21	Used in Filter grammar
&	\26	Used in Filter grammar
(	\28	Not in UTF1SUBSET
)	\29	Not in UTF1SUBSET

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The character is first converted to a UTF-8 multibyte sequence, then each byte is hexadecimal encoded.

Character	Encoding	Comment
*	\2A	Not in UTF1SUBSET
1	\2F	Required by Microsoft AD
:	\3A	Used in Filter grammar
<	\3C	Used in Filter grammar
=	\3D	Used in Filter grammar
>	\3E	Used in Filter grammar
ESC	\5C	Not in UTF1SUBSET
	\7C	Used in Filter grammar
~	\7E	Used in Filter grammar
0x80-0xFFFF	\hh	Hexadecimal encoded, 2 digits <sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The character is first converted to a UTF-8 multibyte sequence, then each byte is hexadecimal encoded.

# **Distinguished Name**

The example below shows how to encode three relative distinguished names using ESAPI's encodeForDN. Recall the valid character ranges from RFC 4514 are 0x01-0x21, 0x23-0x2A, 0x2D-0x3A, 0x3D, 0x3F-0x5B, 0x5D-0x7F, and characters outside the ranges are hex encoded.

### Example 6.1. LDAP Distinguished Name, ESAPI Encoder

```
Encoder encoder = ESAPI.encoder();
String cn = encoder.encodeForDN(request.getParameter("cn"));
String dc2 = encoder.encodeForDN(request.getParameter("dc2"));
String dc1 = encoder.encodeForDN(request.getParameter("dc1"));
String distinguishedName = "CN=" + cn + ",DC=" + dc2 + ",DC=" + dc1;
```

Running the code with Domain Component (DC) set to net, Domain Component (DC) set to example and Common Name (CN) set to James "Jim" Smith, III will result in an encoded distinguished name of CN=James "Jim" Smith\, III, DC=example, DC=net. Notice the quotes and comma in James Smith's name are escaped with a backslash.

Be certain to use <code>encodeForDN</code> on attacker controlled data, and not the entire distinguished name string. If you use <code>encodeForDN</code> on the entire distinguished name string, then the control characters will be encoded and modifications or updates on the object will fail. For example, the following code is incorrect, and <code>distinguishedName</code> will be ill-formed due to encoding control characters.

### **Example 6.2. Incorrect LDAP Distinguished Name, ESAPI Encoder**

```
Encoder encoder = ESAPI.encoder();
String cn = request.getParameter("cn");
String dc2 = request.getParameter("dc2");
```

```
String dc1 = request.getParameter("dc1");
String dn = "CN=" + cn + ",DC=" + dc2 + ",DC=" + dc1;
String distinguishedName = encoder.encodeForDN(dn);
```

### Search Filter

The example below shows how to encode a search filter using ESAPI's <code>encodeForLDAP</code>. Recall the valid character ranges from RFC 4515 are 0x01-0x27, 0x2B-0x5B and 0x5D-0x7F, and characters outside the ranges are hex encoded.

Note the code below encodes cn because the attacker controls the value. The code below does not encode searchFilter because it is controlled by the program, and characters like (, ), = and & are control characters required by the filter.

### Example 6.3. LDAP Search Filter, ESAPI Encoder

```
Encoder encoder = ESAPI.encoder();
String cn = encoder.encodeForLDAP(request.getParameter("cn"));
String searchFilter = "(&(objectClass=user)(cn=" + cn + "))";
```

Be certain to use <code>encodeForLDAP</code> on attacker controlled data, and not the entire filter string. If you use <code>encodeForLDAP</code> on the entire filter string, then the control characters will be encoded and the filter will fail. For example, the following code is incorrect, and <code>searchFilter</code> will be ill-formed due to encoding control characters.

### Example 6.4. Incorrect LDAP Search Filter, ESAPI Encoder

```
Encoder encoder = ESAPI.encoder();
String cn = request.getParameter("cn");
String filter = "(&(objectClass=user)(cn=" + cn + "))";
String searchFilter = encoder.encodeForLDAP(filter);
```

# encodeForLDAPSearchFilter

<code>encodeForLDAP</code> showed you how to safely perform a directory search. However, <code>encodeForLDAP</code> does not encode filter operators like &, |, :, ! and  $\sim$ . Instead, the filter relies on a bullet proof LDAP parser to parse the input properly.

It is possible to use a more restricted encoder for LDAP search filter strings that can tolerate buggy LDAP parsers. The restricted encoder would encode filter operators like &, |, :, ! and  $\sim$ , and it is shown in Example 6.5, "LDAP Search Filter, Aggressive Encoding".

<code>encodeForLDAPSearchFilter</code> is aggressive in its encoding. The encoder is based on RFC 4515, but it also encodes control characters like &, |, :, ! and  $\sim$ . The extra encoding will provide an additional layer of hardening in case of a buggy LDAP parser.

### Example 6.5. LDAP Search Filter, Aggressive Encoding

```
String encodeForLDAPSearchFilter(String input, boolean encodeAsterisk)
{
   if( input == null ) {
      return null;
   }
```

```
StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();
for( int i = 0; i < input.length(); i++ ) {</pre>
    final char c = input.charAt(i);
    switch (c) {
        case 0x00: // NUL
           sb.append("\\00"); break;
        case '\\': // ESC
           sb.append("\\5c"); break;
        case '/':
            sb.append("\\2f"); break;
        case '*':
            if (encodeAsterisk) {
                sb.append("\2a");
            } else {
                sb.append(c);
            break;
        case '!':
           sb.append("\21"); break;
        case '&':
           sb.append("\\26"); break;
        case '(':
           sb.append("\\28"); break;
        case ')':
           sb.append("\29"); break;
        case ':':
           sb.append("\\3a"); break;
        case '<':
           sb.append("\\3c"); break;
        case '=':
           sb.append("\\3d"); break;
        case '>':
           sb.append("\\3e"); break;
        case '|':
           sb.append("\\7c"); break;
        case '~':
           sb.append("\\7e"); break;
        default:
            if(c >= 0x80) {
                final byte[] u = String.valueOf(c).getBytes("UTF-8");
                for (byte b : u) {
                    sb.append(String.format("\\\\b));
            } else {
                sb.append(c);
        }
    return sb.toString();
}
```

# Chapter 7. XML Injection

XML Injection is the scourge of XML programming. The vulnerability is a server-side injection attack and occurs when the attacker inserts malicious code into XML document. This chapter of the book will show you how to use OWASP and ESAPI controls to protect against XML vulnerabilities.

### **Documentation**

Documentation for ESAPI Encoder class is located at Interface Encoder [https://www.javadoc.io/static/org.owasp.esapi/esapi/2.5.2.0/index.html?org/owasp/esapi/Encoder.html].

Documentation for XML can be found at the W3C's *Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0* (Fifth Edition) [https://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/].

# **Strategy**

The primary defense against XML Injections in is encoding problematic characters.

.

**Table 7.1. Encoding of XML Distinguished Name Characters** 

Character	Encoding	Special	Comment
NUL	/00	None	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
SPACE	\20	\SPACE	Not in LUTF1 or TUTF1
"	\22	\"	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1
#	\23	\#	Not in LUTF1
+	\2B	\+	Not in LUTF1, SUTF1 or TUTF1

For search filter strings, the characters are provided in the grammar shown in RFC 4515, Section 3 [https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4515#section-3]. The table below shows the characters and how to encode them for the directory. UTF1SUBSET is the subset of UTF-8 characters specified by RFC 4515.

Table 7.2. Encoding of XML Filter Characters

Character	Encoding	Comment
NUL	\00	Not in UTF1SUBSET
!	\21	Used in Filter grammar
&	\26	Used in Filter grammar
(	\28	Not in UTF1SUBSET
)	\29	Not in UTF1SUBSET

Character	Encoding	Comment
*	\2A	Not in UTF1SUBSET
1	\2F	Required by Microsoft AD
:	\3A	Used in Filter grammar
<	\3C	Used in Filter grammar
=	\3D	Used in Filter grammar
>	\3E	Used in Filter grammar
ESC	\5C	Not in UTF1SUBSET
I	\7C	Used in Filter grammar
~	\7E	Used in Filter grammar
0x80-0xFFFF	\hh	Hexadecimal encoded, 2 digits <sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The character is first converted to a UTF-8 multibyte sequence, then each byte is hexadecimal encoded.

### Output Encoding, 7 Index ParameterizedQuery, 13 PreparedStatement, 12 Administrivia, 1 S C Source code, 1 Contributing, 1 SQL Injection, 12 Conventions, 1 Documentation, 12 Cross Site Scripting, 7 ParameterizedQuery, 12, 13 CSS, 10 Documentation, 7 PreparedStatement, 12, 12 Strategy, 12 HTML Attribute, 8 SUTF1, 16 HTML Body, 8 innerHTML, 9 Т JavaScript, 9 TUTF1, 16 Strategy, 7 **URI, 10** U **URL, 10 UTF1SUBSET, 16, 20** D Design, 1 Validation, 3 Ε encodeForDN, 17 X encodeForLDAP, 18 XML Injection, 20 encodeForLDAPSearchFilter, 18 Documentation, 20 Strategy, 20 UTF1SUBSET, 20 Input Validation, 3 XSS, 7 Documentation, 3 CSS, 10 Introduction, 1 Documentation, 7 HTML Attribute, 8 L HTML Body, 8 LDAP Injection, 15 innerHTML, 9 Context, 15 JavaScript, 9 Documentation, 15 Strategy, 7 encodeForDN, 17 URI, 10 encodeForLDAP, 18 **URL, 10** encodeForLDAPSearchFilter, 18 LUTF1, 16 Strategy, 15 SUTF1, 16 TUTF1, 16 UTF1SUBSET, 16 LUTF1, 16

Output Contexts, 4, 4