

Section A	Level descriptor
Marks	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little knowledge and understanding of relevant issues and business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories.</li> <li>• Little use of business management terminology.</li> <li>• Little reference to the stimulus material.</li> </ul>
3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A description or partial analysis of some relevant issues with some use of business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories.</li> <li>• Some use of appropriate terminology.</li> <li>• Some reference to the stimulus material that goes beyond the name of a person(s) and/or the name of the organization.</li> <li>• At the lower end of the markband, responses are mainly theoretical.</li> </ul>
5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An analysis of the relevant issues with good use of business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories.</li> <li>• Use of appropriate terminology throughout the response.</li> <li>• Effective use of the stimulus material.</li> </ul>

Section B	Level descriptor
Marks	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little understanding of the demands of the question.</li> <li>• Few business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theory are explained or applied and business management terminology is lacking.</li> <li>• Little reference to the stimulus material.</li> </ul>
3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some understanding of the demands of the question.</li> <li>• Some relevant business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories are explained or applied, and some appropriate terminology is used.</li> <li>• Some reference to the stimulus material but often not going beyond the name of a person(s) and/or the name of the organization.</li> </ul>
5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understanding of most of the demands of the question.</li> <li>• Relevant business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories are explained and applied, and appropriate terminology is used most of the time.</li> <li>• Some reference to the stimulus material that goes beyond the name of a person(s) and/or the name of the organization.</li> <li>• Some evidence of a balanced response.</li> <li>• Some judgments are relevant but not substantiated.</li> </ul>
7–8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good understanding of the demands of the question.</li> <li>• Relevant business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories are explained and applied well, and appropriate terminology is used.</li> <li>• Good reference to the stimulus material.</li> <li>• Good evidence of a balanced response.</li> <li>• The judgments are relevant but not always well substantiated.</li> </ul>
9–10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good understanding of the demands of the question, including implications, where relevant.</li> <li>• Relevant business management tools (where applicable), techniques and theories are explained clearly and applied purposefully, and appropriate terminology is used throughout the response.</li> <li>• Effective use of the stimulus material in a way that significantly strengthens the response.</li> <li>• Evidence of balance is consistent throughout the response.</li> <li>• The judgments are relevant and well substantiated.</li> </ul>

## Section C

### Criterion A: Knowledge and understanding of tools, techniques and theories

This criterion addresses the extent to which the candidate demonstrates knowledge and understanding of relevant business management tools, techniques and theories, as stated and/or implied by the question. This includes using appropriate business management terminology.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Superficial knowledge of relevant tools, techniques and theory is demonstrated.
2	Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of relevant tools, techniques and theories is demonstrated.
3	Good knowledge and understanding of relevant tools, techniques and theories is generally demonstrated, though the explanation may lack some depth or breadth.
4	Good knowledge and understanding of relevant tools, techniques and theories is demonstrated.

### Criterion B: Application

This criterion addresses the extent to which the candidate is able to apply the relevant business management tools, techniques and theories to the case study organization.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	The relevant business management tools, techniques and theories are connected to the case study organization, but this connection is inappropriate or superficial.
2	The relevant business management tools, techniques and theories are appropriately connected to the case study organization, but this connection is not developed.
3	The relevant business management tools, techniques and theories are generally well applied to explain the situation and issues of the case study organization, though the explanation may lack some depth or breadth. Examples are provided.
4	The relevant business management tools, techniques and theories are well applied to explain the situation and issues of the case study organization. Examples are appropriate and illustrative.

### Criterion C: Reasoned arguments

This criterion assesses the extent to which the candidate makes reasoned arguments. This includes making relevant and balanced arguments by, for example, exploring different practices, weighing up their strengths and weaknesses, comparing and contrasting them or considering their implications, depending on the requirements of the question. It also includes justifying the arguments by presenting evidence for the claims made.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Statements are made but these are superficial.
2	Relevant arguments are made but these are mostly unjustified.
3	Relevant arguments are made and these are mostly justified.
4	Relevant, balanced arguments are made and these are well justified.

### Criterion D: Structure

This criterion assesses the extent to which the candidate organizes his or her ideas with clarity, and presents a structured piece of writing comprised of:

- an introduction
- a body
- a conclusion
- fit-for-purpose paragraphs.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Two or fewer of the structural elements are present, and few ideas are clearly organized.
2	Three of the structural elements are present, or most ideas are clearly organized.
3	Three or four of the structural elements are present, and most ideas are clearly organized.
4	All of the structural elements are present, and ideas are clearly organized.

### Criterion E: Individual and societies

This criterion assesses the extent to which the candidate is able to give balanced consideration to the perspectives of a range of relevant stakeholders, including individuals and groups internal and external to the organization.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	One individual or group perspective is considered superficially or inappropriately.
2	One relevant individual or group perspective is considered appropriately, or two relevant individual or group perspectives are considered superficially or inappropriately.
3	At least two relevant individual or group perspectives are considered appropriately.
4	Balanced consideration is given to relevant individual and group perspectives.