

# Meeting

2019/07/01

# 1. Summer research credit program

## - Planned first week schedule

### 1) Literature Search

#### 1. Bayesian Neural Network + Video Interpolation

- Not practical generally
- No gain in generalization performance but additional computational costs.  
⇒ There are some reasons if nobody does it.
- However, we can diagnose model behavior => better model interpretability  
⇒ Useful?

### 2) Research environment setup

#### 1. Getting friendly with Lab server + Docker

- Created own docker environment with docker build  
⇒ Better productivity

# 1. Literature Search

- 1) Variational Inference: A review for statisticians
- 2) Uncertainty estimations by softplus normalization in Bayesian Convolutional Neural Networks with Variational Inference

# Type of Estimators(Mathematical Stat)

- **Method of Moments(MOM):** need complex calculations often, hard integration => not practical, don't use in practice.
- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation(MLE):** Basically used in ML. Efficient, nice theoretical guarantees.
- **Maximum a Posteriori(MAP):** Only calculates point estimate in full Bayesian. Similar computation with MLE.
- **Full Bayesian:** Need to calculate integration or approximation. Can model posterior distribution  $p(\theta|D)$  (Uncertainty estimation). But, typically larger amount of computation.

# Bayesian Methods

$$\textit{Posterior} = \frac{\textit{Prior} \times \textit{Likelihood}}{\textit{Evidence}}$$

$$p(\theta|D) = \frac{p(\theta)p(D|\theta)}{\int_{\theta'} p(\theta')p(D|\theta')d\theta'} = \frac{p(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i, \theta)}{\int_{\theta'} p(\theta') \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i, \theta')d\theta'}$$

\*Substitute Summation for integration for discrete RV

⇒ We can calculate Posterior distribution

# Intractable Posterior distribution

- Current integration algorithm has time complexity of  $O(n^p)$  for number of variables  $p$  (Monte carlo, etc)
- Calculating Evidence term  $\int_{\theta} p(\theta') \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i|x_i, \theta') d\theta'$  is generally intractable.
- But nowadays, efficient evidence approximation framework called "Variational Inference" is introduced and widely used.

# Variational Inference

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{opt} &= \arg \min_{\theta} \text{KL} [q_{\theta}(w|\mathcal{D})||p(w|\mathcal{D})] \\ &= \arg \min_{\theta} \text{KL} [q_{\theta}(w|\mathcal{D})||p(w)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(w|\theta)} [\log p(\mathcal{D}|w)] + \log p(\mathcal{D})\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where

$$\text{KL} [q_{\theta}(w|\mathcal{D})||p(w)] = \int q_{\theta}(w|\mathcal{D}) \log \frac{q_{\theta}(w|\mathcal{D})}{p(w)} dw.\tag{2}$$

- Transform approximation problem to optimization problem
- However, another approximation problem arises.
- But, easier and accurate approximation with standard Monte Carlo

# 1. Literature Search

- 1) Variational Inference: A review for statisticians
- 2) Uncertainty estimations by softplus normalization in Bayesian Convolutional Neural Networks with Variational Inference



Bayesian Neural Networks?

# Bayes by Backprop

- Standard Backprop and update for NN:
  1. Use chain rule to calculate derivative of each parameters
  2. Apply gradient-based optimization algorithm.

# Bayes by Backprop

- Bayes by backprop(probabilistic backprop):
  - Also called local reparameterization trick
  - Algorithm for gaussian distribution modeling
    1. Sample from gaussian  $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$
    2. Multiply s.d parameter  $\sigma$  and add mean parameter  $\mu$
    3. Use chain rule to calculate gradient for each parameter.
    4. Apply gradient-based optimization algorithm

=> Modeling for arbitrary Gaussian distribution is possible!

# Other personal improvements

1. PRML ch2 exercises(half)
  2. PRML ch3 offline meeting with SKKU undergrads & grads
- Participated SKKU statistic academy "P-SAT" home coming day(7/29):  
Networking with stat/CS graduate students, newly employed data analyst

# Other discussions

- ICCV 2019 in seoul
- In-lab Study