Koszul Resolution of a Polynomial Ring

Brandon Mather

Departments of Mathematics, University of North Texas

September 4, 2024

We consider the polynomial ring $A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and construct its Koszul resolution. This allows the computation of its Hochschild cohomology groups.

1 Koszul Resolution

Let \mathbb{k} be a field, $A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and $V = \mathbb{k}x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{k}x_n$. Fix a basis of $A^e = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as a \mathbb{k} -vector space

$$\{x_1^{i_1}\dots x_n^{i_n}\otimes x_1^{j_1}\dots x_n^{j_n}=\vec{x}^{\vec{i}}\otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}}\mid \vec{i},\vec{j}\in\mathbb{N}^n\}.$$

Also fix a basis of $(A^e)^n$ as an A^e -module

$$\left\{ e_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \middle| 0 \le i \le n, 1 \text{ in ith row} \right\}.$$

Finally, fix a basis of V as k-vector space

$$\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}.$$

The sequence $(x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, x_n \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_n)$ is clearly regular in A^e , so we get a free resolution from the Koszul complex

$$0 \to A^e \to \bigwedge^{n-1} (A^e)^n \to \cdots \to \bigwedge^2 (A^e)^n \to (A^e)^n \to A^e \to 0$$

of $A^e/(x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, x_n \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_n) \cong A$. The differentials are given by

$$\partial_k : \bigwedge^k (A^e)^k \to \bigwedge^{k-1} (A^e)^n$$

$$\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} e_{m_0} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{m_{k-1}} \mapsto \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} (-1)^t \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} (x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t}) e_{m_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{m_t}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{m_{k-1}}$$

where $\widehat{e_{m_t}}$ means ommitting that term. Note: $\bigwedge^k (A^e)^n$ is being taken over A^e , and so we are identifying $\bigwedge^n (A^e)^n \cong A^e$ and $\wedge^1 (A^e)^n \cong (A^e)^n$.

2 Isomorphic Resolution

There is an isomorphism of A^e -modules

$$\bigwedge^{k} (A^{e})^{n} \cong A^{e} \otimes \bigwedge^{k} V$$

$$\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} e_{m_{0}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{m_{k-1}} \mapsto \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}}.$$

Note that $\bigwedge^k V$ is being taken over k. This isomorphism induces a chain map isomorphism from the Koszul resolution to the resolution

$$0 \to A^e \to A^e \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1} V \to \cdots \to A^e \otimes \bigwedge^2 V \to A^e \otimes V \to A^e \to 0$$

where we identify $A^e \otimes \bigwedge^n V \cong A^e$, $A^e \otimes \bigwedge^1 V \cong A^e \otimes V$. The induced differentials of this resolution are given by

$$\partial_k': A^e \otimes \bigwedge^k V \to A^e \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V$$

$$\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_0} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \mapsto \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} (-1)^t \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} (x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t}) \otimes x_{m_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{m_t}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}}.$$

Henceforth, this resolution will be identified as the Koszul resolution of A.

3 Calculating Cohomology

Recall that as A is a k-vector space, and so a free k-module, $HH^k(A) = Ext_{A^e}^k(A, A)$. This can be computed as the k-th homology group of the complex given by from applying the functor $Hom_{A^e}(-, A)$ to the Koszul resolution. This complex is

$$0 \to Hom_{A^e}(A^e, A) \to Hom_{A^e}(A^e \otimes V, A) \to \cdots \to Hom_{A^e}(A^e \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1} V, A) \to Hom_{A^e}(A^e, A) \to 0$$

with differentials

$$d_k: Hom_{A^e}(A^e \otimes \bigwedge^k V, A) \to Hom_{A^e}(A^e \bigwedge^{k-1} V, A)$$

$$d_k(g)(\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_k}) = \sum_{t=0}^k (-1)^t \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} (x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t}) g(1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_{m_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_{m_t}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_k}).$$

Note that we are using the fact that g is A^e -linear to factor out $\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} (x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t})$. As well, $g(1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_{m_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_{m_t}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_k}) \in A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, so we can write it as $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. But

$$(x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t}) \cdot p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_{m_t} p(x_1, \dots, x_n) - p(x_1, \dots, x_n) x_{m_t} = 0$$

for all t and any $p(x_1, ..., x_n) \in A$. Hence, $d_k(g) = 0$ for all $g \in Hom_{A^e}(A^e \otimes \bigwedge^k V, A)$ and for all k, so every differential is the 0 map. Then, the homology groups are $Ext_{A^e}^k(A, A) = Hom_{A^e}(A^e \otimes \bigwedge^k V, A)$ for all $n \leq k \leq 0$.

There is an isomorphism of A^e -modules

$$A \otimes \bigwedge^{k} V \cong Hom_{A^{e}}(A^{e} \otimes \bigwedge^{k} V, A)$$
$$\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes x_{m_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \mapsto (1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_{m_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \mapsto \vec{x}^{\vec{i}}).$$

Therefore, $HH^k(\mathbb{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]) = \mathbb{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \otimes \bigwedge^k (\mathbb{k}x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{k}x_n).$

4 Calculating Homology

As with the cohomology, since A is a free k-module, $HH_k(A) = Tor_k^{A^e}(A, A)$. This can be calculated as the k-th homology group of the complex given by applying the functor $- \otimes_{A^e} A$ to the Koszul resolution. This complex is

$$0 \to A^{e} \otimes_{A^{e}} A \to A^{e} \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1} V \otimes_{A^{e}} A \to \cdots \to A^{e} \otimes V \otimes_{A^{e}} A \to A^{e} \otimes_{A^{e}} A \to 0$$

$$d'_{k} : A^{e} \otimes \bigwedge^{k} V \otimes_{A^{e}} A \to A^{e} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} V \otimes_{A^{e}} A$$

$$d'_{k} (\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \otimes_{A^{e}} \vec{x}^{\vec{l}}) =$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{t} \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} (x_{m_{t}} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_{t}}) \otimes x_{m_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_{m_{t}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \otimes_{A^{e}} \vec{x}^{\vec{l}}.$$

But as the functor $-\otimes_{A^e} A$ is tensoring over A^e , we can rewrite this as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} (-1)^t \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} (x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t}) \otimes x_{m_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{m_t}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \otimes_{A^e} \vec{x}^{\vec{l}} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} (-1)^t \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{m_t}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \otimes_{A^e} (x_{m_t} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{m_t}) \vec{x}^{\vec{l}} = 0.$$

So again, all of the differentials are 0, and hence $HH_k(A) = A^e \otimes \bigwedge^k V \otimes_{A^e} A$.

As with the cohomology, there is an isomorphism of A^e -modules

$$A^{e} \otimes \bigwedge^{k} V \otimes_{A^{e}} A \cong A \otimes \bigwedge^{k} V$$

$$\vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \otimes \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}} \otimes_{A^{e}} \vec{x}^{\vec{l}} \mapsto \vec{x}^{\vec{i}} \vec{x}^{\vec{l}} \vec{x}^{\vec{j}} \otimes x_{m_{0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{m_{k-1}}.$$

Therefore, $HH_k(\mathbb{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]) = \mathbb{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \otimes \bigwedge^k (\mathbb{k}x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{k}x_n).$