

Deep Learning - Foundations and Concepts

Chapter 16. Continuous Latent Variables

nonlineark@github

April 8, 2025

Outline

1 Principal Component Analysis

2 Probabilistic Latent Variables

Maximum variance formulation

Problem

Consider a data set of observations $\{x_n\}$ where $n = 1, \dots, N$, and x_n is a Euclidean variable with dimensionality D . Our goal is to project the data onto a space having dimensionality $M < D$ while maximizing the variance of the projected data.

Maximum variance formulation

Let's calculate the variance of the projected data on a unit direction v :

$$y_n = x_n \cdot v$$

$$E(y_n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N y_n = E(x_n) \cdot v$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(y_n) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - E(y_n))^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N ((x_n - E(x_n)) \cdot v)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N v^T (x_n - E(x_n))(x_n - E(x_n))^T v = v^T S v \end{aligned}$$

where S is the data covariance matrix defined by:

$$S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - E(x_n))(x_n - E(x_n))^T$$

Maximum variance formulation

Let's find the unit direction v_1 for the largest variance. Suppose that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_D$ are the D eigenvalues of S , and their corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors are u_1, \dots, u_D respectively. Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_D)$, $U = (u_1 \ \dots \ u_D)$. We have:

$$v_1 = U\alpha_1$$

$$v_1^T S v_1 = \alpha_1^T U^T S U \alpha_1 = \alpha_1^T \Lambda \alpha_1 \leq \lambda_1 \|\alpha_1\|^2 = \lambda_1$$

The equality holds if and only if v_1 is an eigenvector corresponds to the largest eigenvalue λ_1 . Without loss of generality, we could set $v_1 = u_1$.

Maximum variance formulation

Let's find the unit direction v_2 for the second largest variance. Because v_2 is orthogonal to v_1 thus u_1 , in the coordinate system formed by the orthonormal basis u_1, \dots, u_D , its first coordinate is 0:

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= U\alpha_2 \\ v_2^T S v_2 &= \alpha_2^T \Lambda \alpha_2 \leq \lambda_2 \|\alpha_2\|^2 = \lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

Again, the equality holds if and only if v_2 is an eigenvector corresponds to the second largest eigenvalue λ_2 . Without loss of generality, we could set $v_2 = u_2$.

Maximum variance formulation

If we consider the general case of an M -dimensional projection space, the optimal linear projection for which the variance of the projected data is maximized is now defined by the M eigenvectors u_1, \dots, u_M of the data covariance matrix S corresponding to the M largest eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M$.

Minimum-error formulation

We now discuss an alternative formulation of PCA based on projection error minimization:

- We want to find an orthonormal basis u_1, \dots, u_D , where the M -dimensional linear subspace can be presented by the first M of the basis vectors.
- Each data point x_n is approximated by

$$\tilde{x}_n = \sum_{i=1}^M z_{ni} u_i + \sum_{i=M+1}^D b_i u_i.$$

such that the squared distance between the original data point x_n and its approximation \tilde{x}_n , averaged over the data set:

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|^2$$

is minimized.

Minimum-error formulation

$$0 = \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{ni}} = -\frac{2}{N}(x_n^T u_i - z_{ni}) \implies z_{ni} = x_n^T u_i$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial J}{\partial b_i} = -\frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n^T u_i - b_i) \implies b_i = (E(x_n))^T u_i$$

$$x_n - \tilde{x}_n = \sum_{i=M+1}^D ((x_n - E(x_n)) \cdot u_i) u_i$$

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|^2 = \sum_{i=M+1}^D u_i^T S u_i$$

Minimum-error formulation

We recognize that J is the total variance of the projected data on the unit directions u_{M+1}, \dots, u_D . To minimize J , u_{M+1}, \dots, u_D should be the eigenvectors corresponding to the smallest $D - M$ eigenvalues of S , and hence the eigenvectors defining the principal subspace are those corresponding to the M largest eigenvalues.

Data compression

One application for PCA is data compression:

$$\tilde{x}_n = \sum_{i=1}^M (x_n \cdot u_i) u_i + \sum_{i=M+1}^D (E(x_n) \cdot u_i) u_i = E(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^M ((x_n - E(x_n)) \cdot u_i) u_i$$

This represents a compression of the data set, because for each data point we have replaced the D -dimensional vector x_n with an M -dimensional vector.

Data whitening

Suppose we have a data set of observations $\{x^n\}$ where $n = 1, \dots, N$, and x^n is a Euclidean variable with dimensionality D . We often want to transform the data set to standardize certain of its properties. For example, making a linear re-scaling of the individual variables such that each variable has zero mean and unit variance:

$$\bar{x}_d = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_d^n$$

$$\sigma_d^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_d^n - \bar{x}_d)^2$$

$$\tilde{x}_d^n = \frac{x_d^n - \bar{x}_d}{\sigma_d}$$

Data whitening

The covariance matrix for the standardized data has components:

$$\rho_{ij} = E(\tilde{x}_i^n \tilde{x}_j^n) - E(\tilde{x}_i^n)E(\tilde{x}_j^n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{x_i^n - \bar{x}_i}{\sigma_i} \frac{x_j^n - \bar{x}_j}{\sigma_j}$$

If two components x_i and x_j of the data are perfectly correlated, then $\rho_{ij} = 1$, and if they are uncorrelated, then $\rho_{ij} = 0$.

Data whitening

Using PCA we can make a more substantial normalization of the data to give it zero mean and unit covariance, so that different variables become decorrelated:

$$y^n = \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} U^T (x^n - \bar{x})$$

$$E(y^n) = 0$$

$$E(y^n (y^n)^T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} U^T (x^n - \bar{x}) (x^n - \bar{x})^T U \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} U^T S U \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} = I$$

$$\text{cov}(y^n) = E(y^n (y^n)^T) - E(y^n) (E(y^n))^T = I$$

High-dimensional data

In some applications of PCA, the number of data points is smaller than the dimensionality of the data space. For such cases, we can calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors more efficiently this way:

- Let $X = (x_1 - \bar{x} \quad \cdots \quad x_N - \bar{x})^T$, then $S = \frac{1}{N}X^T X$.
- Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\frac{1}{N}X X^T$ instead, say $\frac{1}{N}X X^T v = \lambda v$.
- Then λ is an eigenvalue of S and $u = X^T v$ is an eigenvector of S .
 - $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N\lambda}}u$ is the corresponding unit eigenvector (suppose v is already a unit vector).

Probabilistic PCA

PCA can also be expressed as the maximum likelihood solution of a probabilistic latent variable model, known as probabilistic PCA:

- A probabilistic PCA model represents a constrained form of a Gaussian distribution.
- We can derive an EM algorithm for PCA that is computationally efficient.
- The combination of a probabilistic model and EM allows us to deal with missing values in the data set.
- Mixtures of probabilistic PCA models can be formulated in a principled way and trained using the EM algorithm.

Probabilistic PCA

- The existence of a likelihood function allows direct comparison with other probabilistic density models.
- Probabilistic PCA can be used to model class-conditional densities and hence be applied to classification problems.
- A probabilistic PCA model can be run generatively to provide samples from the distribution.
- Probabilistic PCA forms the basis for a Bayesian treatment of PCA.

Generative model

Probabilistic PCA is a simple example of the linear Gaussian framework:

- Introduce an explicit M -dimensional latent variable z corresponding to the principal component subspace:
 - $p(z) = \mathcal{N}(z; 0, I)$.
- The D -dimensional observed variable x is conditioned on the value of the latent variable:
 - $p(x|z) = \mathcal{N}(x; Wz + \mu, \sigma^2 I)$, where $W \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times M}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^D$.
- The probabilistic PCA model is an example of a naive Bayes model, as $p(x|z) = \prod_{d=1}^D \mathcal{N}(x_d; W_d z + \mu_d, \sigma^2)$, where W_d is the d th row of W .
- We can view the probabilistic PCA model from a generative viewpoint: $x = Wz + \mu + \epsilon$, where $z \sim \mathcal{N}(z; 0, I)$ is an M -dimensional Gaussian latent variable, and $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\epsilon; 0, \sigma^2 I)$ is a D -dimensional Gaussian noise.

Likelihood function

The marginal distribution is again Gaussian, and is given by:

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu, WW^T + \sigma^2 I) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu, C)$$

The predictive distribution $p(x)$ is governed by the parameters μ , W and σ^2 . However, there is redundancy in this parameterization corresponding to rotations of the latent space coordinates.

Maximum likelihood

Given a data set $\{x_n\}$, the log likelihood function is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \sum_{n=1}^N \log p(x_n) \\ &= -\frac{ND}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \log \det C - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^T C^{-1} (x_n - \mu) \end{aligned}$$

It's easy to maximize L with respect to μ :

$$0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \mu)^T C^{-1} \implies \mu_{ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n = \bar{x}$$

Maximum likelihood

Maximization with respect to W and σ^2 is more complex but nonetheless has an exact closed-form solution:

$$\sigma_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{D - M} \sum_{i=M+1}^D \lambda_i$$

$$W_{ML} = U_M (\Lambda_M - \sigma_{ML}^2 I)^{\frac{1}{2}} R$$

where:

- $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_D$ are the eigenvalues of S .
- u_1, \dots, u_D are the corresponding eigenvectors of S .
- $\Lambda_M = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M)$.
- $U_M = (u_1 \ \dots \ u_M)$.
- R is an arbitrary $M \times M$ orthogonal matrix.

Maximum likelihood

It is worth taking a moment to study the form of the covariance matrix C :

$$C = W_{ML}W_{ML}^T + \sigma_{ML}^2 I = U_M(\Lambda_M - \sigma_{ML}^2 I)U_M^T + \sigma_{ML}^2 I$$

Since the variance of the predictive distribution along some direction specified by the unit vector v is given by $v^T C v$, the variance along the direction of the eigenvectors is given by:

$$u_i^T C u_i = \begin{cases} (\lambda_i - \sigma_{ML}^2) + \sigma_{ML}^2 = \lambda_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq M \\ \sigma_{ML}^2 & \text{if } M + 1 \leq i \leq D \end{cases}$$

In other words, this model correctly captures the variance of the data along the principal axes and approximates the variance in all remaining directions with a single average value σ_{ML}^2 .

Maximum likelihood

The relation between probabilistic PCA and conventional PCA. The posterior distribution in latent space $p(z|x)$ is also a Gaussian:

$$p(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(z; M^{-1}W_{ML}^T(x - \mu_{ML}), \sigma_{ML}^2 M^{-1})$$

$$M = W_{ML}^T W_{ML} + \sigma_{ML}^2 I$$

If we take the limit $\sigma_{ML}^2 \rightarrow 0$, then the posterior mean reduces to:

$$(W_{ML}^T W_{ML})^{-1} W_{ML}^T (x - \bar{x}) = R^T \Lambda_M^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_M^T (x - \bar{x})$$

which represents an orthogonal projection of the data point onto the latent space, and so we recover the standard PCA model.

Maximum likelihood

The probabilistic PCA model defines a multivariate Gaussian distribution in which the number of independent parameters can be controlled while still allowing the model to capture the dominant correlations in the data:

- The number of parameters scales quadratically with D for the general Gaussian distribution.
- If we restrict the covariance matrix to be diagonal, then it can no longer express any correlations between them.
- For the probabilistic PCA model, the number of degrees of freedom in the covariance matrix C is given by: $DM + 1 - \frac{M(M-1)}{2}$:
 - The number of independent parameters in this model therefore only grows linearly with D , for fixed M .
 - If we take $M = D - 1$, then we recover the standard result for a full covariance Gaussian.
 - If $M = 0$, the model is equivalent to the isotropic covariance case.

Factor analysis

Factor analysis is a linear Gaussian latent variable model:

$$\begin{aligned}p(z) &= \mathcal{N}(z; 0, I) \\ p(x|z) &= \mathcal{N}(x; Wz + \mu, \Psi)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi = \text{diag}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_D)$ is a $D \times D$ diagonal matrix.

Factor analysis

- Factor analysis is an example of a naive Bayes model, as $p(x|z) = \prod_{d=1}^D \mathcal{N}(x_d; W_d z + \mu_d, \psi_d)$, where W_d is the d th row of W .
- As with probabilistic PCA, factor analysis is invariant to rotations in the latent space.
- Another difference between probabilistic PCA and factor analysis is their behavior under transformations of the data set:
 - Probabilistic PCA is covariant under a rotation of the axes of the data space, since $R\sigma^2 I R^T = \sigma^2 I$.
 - Factor analysis is covariant under component-wise re-scaling of the data variables, since $\Phi\Psi\Phi^T$ is still diagonal when Φ is diagonal.

Independent component analysis

In independent component analysis, the data variables are assumed to be linear mixtures of the latent variables, and the latent variables are assumed non-Gaussian and mutually independent:

$$p(z) = \prod_{m=1}^M p(z_m)$$
$$x = Az$$

Kalman filters

Figure: A linear dynamical system, or Kalman filter

