

Cyber Crime Law from All over the world

| JAPAN | AUSTRALIA |
|--|--|
| SIMILARITIES (to Philippines) | |
| <p>Both criminalize unauthorized computer access, cyberbullying, and data tampering.</p> <p>Both countries support public education on cybersecurity and promote awareness.</p> <p>Existence of a dedicated law: Japan's Unauthorized Computer Access Law; Philippines' RA 10175.</p> <p>Active participation in international collaboration against cybercrime.</p> | <p>Both countries criminalize unauthorized access, data interference, and computer-related fraud.</p> <p>Existence of a cybercrime law: Australia's Cybercrime Act 2001 and the Philippines' RA 10175 (Cybercrime Prevention Act of 2012).</p> <p>Both engage in international cooperation, working with entities like INTERPOL and ASEAN to combat cybercrime.</p> <p>Promotion of cybersecurity awareness through national programs.</p> |
| DIFFERENCES (to Philippines) | |
| <p>Japan's enforcement is more technology-driven, using AI and analytics to monitor threats.</p> <p>The National Police Agency of Japan has a specialized cyber unit with advanced resources; Philippine agencies often have limited tools.</p> <p>Japan integrates cyber literacy in basic education, which is limited in the Philippines.</p> <p>Faster legal and procedural handling of cybercrime cases due to more streamlined systems.</p> | <p>Australia has a stronger and more updated cyber law framework, regularly amending laws based on new threats.</p> <p>The Australian Cyber Security Centre (ACSC) provides advanced threat detection and incident response capabilities; the Philippines' systems are still evolving.</p> <p>Australia has a centralized national incident response center; the Philippines lacks a fully centralized system.</p> <p>Australia's law enforcement has greater access to technology and training for cybercrime investigations.</p> |

| JAPAN | AUSTRALIA |
|---|--|
| Best Practices Philippines can adapt | |
| Integrate cybersecurity lessons in school curriculums. | Real-time cyber threat response systems like those of the ACSC. |
| Establish a centralized cybercrime intelligence center. | Development of CERT (Computer Emergency Response Teams) for faster response. |
| Regular cyber drills and simulations for public institutions. | Strong public-private partnerships in cybersecurity management. |
| Best Practices they can adapt from us | |
| Grassroots-level digital awareness campaigns in multiple dialects. | Barangay-level digital awareness campaigns. |
| Programs like CyberSafePH, which are localized and community-oriented. | Use of community-led reporting systems for online scams and abuse. |
| Cost-effective approaches to outreach using social media influencers and youth organizations. | Leveraging youth digital volunteers in spreading cyber safety information. |

REFERENCES:

- Republic Act No. 10175 – Cybercrime Prevention Act of 2012 (Philippines)
<https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/2012/09/12/republic-act-no-10175/>
- Cybercrime Act 2001 (Australia)
<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2004C01294>
- Criminal Code Act 1995 – Part 10.7 (Australia)
<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2023C00330>
- Australian Cyber Security Centre (ACSC)
<https://www.cyber.gov.au/>
- Unauthorized Computer Access Law (Japan)
<https://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/en/laws/view/2063>
- National Police Agency (Japan) – Cybercrime Measures
<https://www.npa.go.jp/english/>
- Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT), Philippines – Cybersecurity
<https://dict.gov.ph/ictstatistics/cybersecurity/>