

Ph.D. Thesis Corrections

Robust Statistical Deformable Models

Author: Epameinondas Antonakos
Supervisor: Dr. Stefanos Zafeiriou
Examiners: Dr. Stefan Leutenegger, Prof. Lourdes Agapito

I would like to thank the examiners for the fruitful discussions and constructive feedback on my Ph.D. work. This document summarises the corrections made to the thesis. Each correction is also highlighted in the thesis document.

General comments

Comment 1

“Please be more careful with the use of both proof (page 91) and optimal (pages 84, 100). optimal has to be put into context explaining the cost function and underlying assumptions; and proof should only ever be used when there is a formal proof of a theorem.”

I removed the use of ”proof” in page 91 and made sure that the word is not misused anywhere else. I also fixed all occurrences of the word “optimal” (pages 43, 48, 49, 84, 100, 102, 104, 120).

Comment 2

“Please be consistent with E and I notation for identity matrices.”

The symbol **E** is now consistently used for denoting an identity matrix. The changes were made in Equations 4.18, 4.21, 4.33, 4.35, 6.3, 6.17, and pages 47, 83, 101.

Chapter 1: Introduction

Comment 1

“Please provide some more context around newer trends, specifically 3D generative models as well as deep learning along with some reasoning about why you

have decided not to pursue these directions.”

TODO TODO TODO TODO

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Comment 1

“Page 18: methodologies that that employ: please correct.”

Fixed.

Chapter 3: Basic Definitions and Notation

Comment 1

“Equation (3.12): Is there a reason for the order of variables to be the inverse of the shape model? If not, please make it consistent.”

Fixes. The model notations are now made consistent.

Chapter 4: Feature-based Lucas-Kanade and Active Appearance Models

Comment 1

“Please clarify that no image pyramid was used in this approach.”

TODO TODO TODO TODO

Comment 2

“Please give some details how you implemented solving the optimisation problem and how this relates to timings.”

TODO TODO TODO TODO

Chapter 6: Automatic Construction of Deformable Models

Comment 1

“Figure 6.2: Please clarify that the figure was taken from Stefanos paper.”

Fixed.

Comment 2

“You claim that the IG features are better separating the PCA enabling the somewhat magical convergence of the automatic construction of the model. In our discussion, however, we found that it works just as well with SIFT. Please clarify, as otherwise the claim is misleading.”

TODO TODO TODO TODO

Chapter 7: Adaptive Cascaded Regression

Comment 1

“Page 117: estimate of the shape parameters pk) that: remove $).$ ”

Fixed.

Comment 2

“Section 7.2.3. How do you set the lambdas? Please explain.”

TODO TODO TODO TODO

Comment 3

“Why does [157] not appear in the graphs of the evaluation? It seems there is a wrong citation. Please correct.”

The citations in the legends where wrong. They are now fixed for Figures 7.5, 7.6 and 7.10.

Conclusion

Comment 1

“with the gradient descent directions from Gauss-Newton optimization: strictly speaking this is not gradient descent, since Gauss-Newton is a second order method... Please adjust.”

Fixed in pages 131 and 136.