- 1 Problem 1 In the context of Problem 2 of Homework Assignment 3, use R matrix calculations to do the following in the (non-full-rank) Gauss-Markov normal linear model
- (a) Find 90% two-sided confidence limits for σ .
- (a).1 Background

The model described in HW3, Problem 2 in $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ matrix form is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{41} \\ y_{42} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{41} \\ \epsilon_{42} \end{pmatrix}$$

Also, we are given that $var(\epsilon) = \mathbf{V}$, for $\mathbf{V}_1 = diag(1,9,9,1,1,9)$ and $\mathbf{V}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$.

We have $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V})$. To find a suitable estimator for σ^2 , first transform the Generalized Least Squares model into an Ordinary Least Squares model by multiplying by $\mathbf{V}^{-1/2}$. This gives $\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*$, where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Y}$, $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Y}$, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Note that $\mathbf{U} \sim N_n(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$.

Now find an estimator for σ^2 for use in construction of the confidence interval using the variance of **U**. $var(\mathbf{U}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} = E(\mathbf{U} - E(\mathbf{U}))^2 = E(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{W}\mathbf{B})^2$. First observe the distribution of $\mathbf{U} - \hat{\mathbf{U}} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$. Consider

$$\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} = \frac{(\mathbf{U} - \hat{\mathbf{U}})'(\mathbf{U} - \hat{\mathbf{U}})}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{U})'((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{U}$$

Note that the product of $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})$ and $cov(\mathbf{U}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ is $\mathbf{U} - \hat{\mathbf{U}}$ is $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})$. The result is a projection matrix orthogonal to C(**W**). It is also idempotent, a property of all projection matrices which can also be shown: (**I** - **P**_W)(**I** - **P**_W) = **I** - **I P**_W - **P**_W **I** + **P**_W **P**_W = **I** - **P**_W. Further rank(**I**-**P**_W) = n-rank(**W**)

The following theorem applies to the quadratic form $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{U}$ and shows that it is distributed $\chi^2((n - rank(*W*)))$.

Theorem 1.1. Let \mathbf{y} be distributed $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, \mathbf{A} be a symmetric matric of constants, rank(\mathbf{A})= \mathbf{r} , and define $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\mu'\mathbf{A}\mu$. Then, $\mathbf{y'Ay}$ follows $\chi^2(\mathbf{r}, \lambda)$ if and only if $\mathbf{A}\Sigma$ is idempotent.

Here, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U}$, $\mu = \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})$, and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{W}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$. To find two-sided 90% confidence limits for σ^2 , we note SSE = $\mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_W})\mathbf{U}$ and write: $1 - \alpha = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{lower} \ \frac{\alpha}{2} \ \mathrm{quantile} \ \mathrm{of} \ \chi^2(\mathrm{n-rank}(\mathbf{W})) < \frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} < \mathrm{upper} \ \frac{\alpha}{2} \ \mathrm{quantile} \ \mathrm{of} \ \chi^2(\mathrm{n-rank}(\mathbf{W}))$. 90 = $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{lower} \ .05 \ \mathrm{quantile} \ \mathrm{of} \ \chi^2(\mathrm{n-rank}(\mathbf{W})) < \frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} < \mathrm{upper} \ .05 \ \mathrm{quantile} \ \mathrm{of} \ \chi^2(\mathrm{n-rank}(\mathbf{W}))$ Solving for an interval for σ^2 , we have: $.90 = \mathrm{P}(\frac{SSE}{\mathrm{upper} \ .05 \ \mathrm{quantile} \ \mathrm{of} \ \chi^2(\mathrm{n-rank}(\mathbf{W}))$

Dabbish Methods HW 2 2

(a).2 Interval for σ^2 using V_1

```
#Find V^(-1/2)
Vh1 <-solve(V1^(1/2))

#Transform model to OLS
U <- Vh1 %*% Y
W <- Vh1 %*% X

Uhat <- W %*% ginv(t(W) %*% W) %*% t(W) %*% U

SSE <- t(U-Uhat) %*% (U-Uhat)
qr(W)$rank

lowerchi <- qchisq(.05, df=4)
upperchi <- qchisq(.95, df=4)

SSE/lowerchi
SSE/upperchi</pre>
```

For the covariance matrix V_1 given in HW3 problem 2, we found an SSE of 0.5 and two-sided 90% confidence limits for σ^2 of 0.0527 < σ^2 < 0.7035.

(a).3 Interval for σ^2 using V_2

```
#Find V^(-1/2) using spectral decompostion
Vh2 <-solve(eigen(V2)$vectors %*% diag(sqrt(eigen(V2)$values)) %*% t(eigen(V2)$vectors))
#Transform model to OLS
U <- Vh2 %*% Y
W <- Vh2 %*% X
Uhat <- W %*% ginv(t(W) %*% W) %*% t(W) %*% U

SSE <- t(U-Uhat) %*% (U-Uhat)
qr(W)$rank
lowerchi <- qchisq(.05, df=4)
upperchi <- qchisq(.95, df=4)</pre>
```

For the covariance matrix V_2 given in HW3 problem 2, we found an SSE of 0.4583333333333 and two-sided 90% confidence limits for σ^2 of 0.0483 < σ^2 < 0.6449.

- Find 90% two-sided confidence limits for $\mu + \tau_2$.
- Find 90% two-sided confidence limits for τ_1 τ_2 .
- Find a *p*-value for testing the null hypothesis $H_0: \tau_1 \tau_2 = 0$ vs $H_a:$ not H_0 .
- Find 90% two-sided predition limits for the sample mean of /n/=10 future observations from the first set of conditions.

Dabbish Methods HW 2

• Find 90% two-sided prediction limints for the difference between a pair of future values, one from the first set of conditions (i.e. with mean $\mu + \tau_1$) and one from the second set of conditions (i.e. with mean $\mu + \tau_2$).

• Find a *p*-value for testing the following: What is the practical interpretation of this test?

$$H_0: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Find a *p*-value for testing:

$$H_0: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- 2 Problem 2 In the following make use of the data in Problem 4 of Homework Assignment 3. Consider a regression of y on $x_1, x_2, ..., x_5$. Use R matrix calculations to do the following in a full rank Gauss-Markov normal linear model.
- (a) Find 90% two-sided condifence limits for σ .
- (b) Find 90% two-sided condifence limits for the mean response under the conditions of data point #1.
- (c) Find 90% two-sided condifence limits for the difference in mean responses under the conditions of data points #1 and #2..
- (d) Find a *p*-value for testing the hypothesis that the conditions of data points #1 and #2 produce the same mean response.
- (e) Find 90% two-sided prediction limits for an additional response for the set of conditions $x_1 = 0.005$, $x_2 = 0.45$, $x_3 = 7$, $x_4 = 45$, and $x_5 = 6$.
- (f) Find a *p*-value for testing the hypothesis that a model including only x_1 , x_3 , and x_5 is adequare for "explaining" home price. (Hint: write it in the form of H_0 : $C\beta = 0$).
- 3 Problem 3
- (a) In the context of Problem 1, part g), suppose that in fact $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, $\tau_3 = \tau_4 = \tau_1 d\sigma$. What is the distribution of the F statistic?
- (b) Use R to plot the power of the $\alpha = 0.05$ level test as a function of d for $d \in [-5,5]$, that is plotting P (F > the cut-off value) against d. The R function pf(q,df1,df2,ncp) will compute cumulative (non-central) F probabilities for you corresponding to the value q, for degrees of freedom df1 and df2 when the noncentrality parameter is ncp.

Dabbish Methods HW 2

4 Appendix: Tangled R code

```
library(MASS); library(xtable)
        lvector <- function(x, dig = 2, dsply=rep("f", ncol(x)+1)) {
            x <- \ xtable (x, \ align=rep ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ 6 \ times \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ empty \ string \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dig) \ \# \ We \ repeat \ ("", ncol(x)+1), display=dsply, digits=dsply, digits=dsply, digits=dsply, digits=dsply, display=dsply, digits=dsply, display=dsply, digits=dsply, digits=dsply, display=dsply, digits=dsply, display=dsply, dsply, dspl
             print(x, floating=FALSE, tabular.environment="pmatrix",
                      hline.after=NULL, include.rownames=FALSE, include.colnames=FALSE)
             }
#Variables from Problem 2 of HW3:
        V1 \leftarrow diag(c(1,9,9,1,1,9))
        Y \leftarrow matrix(c(2, 1, 4, 6, 3, 5), nrow=6, ncol=1)
        X \leftarrow matrix(c(rep(1,6),
                                                                     1,1,0,0,0,0,
                                                                     0,0,1,0,0,0,
                                                                     0,0,0,1,0,0
                                                                     0,0,0,0,1,1), nrow = 6, byrow=FALSE)
        V2 \leftarrow diag(c(1,9,9,1,1,9))
        V2[1,2] <- 1
        V2[2,1] < -1
        V2[4,3] < -1
        V2[3,4] < -1
        V2[6,5] < -1
        V2[5,6] < -1
```