Wrangle Report

The first step gathering the data:

in this project I gather the data from 3 different resources in 3 different file format the data I gather are :

- 1- **Enhanced Twitter Archive: this archive contains** the basic tweet data for all 5000+ of tweets weRateDog, the file name, and format (twitter-archive-enhanced.csv).
- 2- Image Predictions File: a table full of image predictions (the top three only) alongside each tweet ID, image URL, and the image number that corresponded to the most confident prediction. The file name and format (image predictions.tsv).
- 3- Additional Data via the Twitter API: because I don't have access for Tweepy library I use (tweet_json.txt) that contains the data gathered from Twitter API.

The second step Assessing the data:

The data assessed by using both visual assessment and programmatic assessment with pandas' methods such as df. info(),df. shape, df. describe(),...etc. And I found some quality and tidiness issues in data:

Quality issues:

issues in twitter_archive_df are :

- Tweet id should be a string instead of int.
- timestamp should be DateTime instead of a string.
- there are some invalid dog names like a, an,...etc.
- the none value in the name column should be NaN.
- there alot of missing values in retweeted_status_id, retweeted_status_user_id, retweeted_status_timestamp in_reply_to_status_id,and in_reply_to_user_id.
- the rating denominator should be 10 always.
- there are tweets created after August 1st, 2017.
- there are some missing values in the expanded_urls column.

issues in image_predictions_df are:

- the names of column not clear so change p1,p1_conf,p2,p2_conf,... to prediction1_prediction1_confident.
- tweet_id should be a string instead of int.
- there are some missing values since there are 2075 rows whereas twitter_archive_df contains 2356 rows.

issues in tweet_json_df are:

• tweet id should be a string instead of int.

Tidiness issues:

- in twitter_archive_df doggo, pupper, puppo, and floofer are dog stage.
- the data in 3 separate data frames.

• we only consider tweets, so we do not need the replies and the retweets columns.

The third step Clean the data:

After assessing the data, I start cleaning the issues the I notice and I start to clean the tidiness issues first so I create a column contain the dog stage and fill it with the values, then I merge the 3 data frame, and the drop the replies and the retweets columns. The process of cleaning tidiness issues solve some quality issues like:

- the problem of missing values in the expanded_urls column.
- the problem of tweets created after August 1st, 2017 since image_predictions_clean contains only tweet created before August 1st, 2017.
- the problem of missing values of image_predictions_df since all data in this data frame are before August 1st, 2017.

I start to clean the quality issues like fix the data types and rename the columns and convert the None values into Nan values.