

Mathematical Logic Assignment 2

522030910158 Song Yuanyi

October 2024

1. Suppose \mathcal{Q} is the algorithm to list all members of the set Q . P contains all first element of the pairs in Q . Therefore, we can construct an algorithm to list all members of the set P from \mathcal{Q} .

1. for $i := 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 - (a) run \mathcal{Q} $i + 1$ times to get a list $\{(a_{11}, a_{12}), \dots, (a_{i+1,1}, a_{i+1,2})\}$
 - (b) print $a_{i+1,1}$
2. halt when \mathcal{Q} halts

So P is effectively enumerable.

2. Assume \mathcal{A} is an algorithm for computing function f which is totally effectively computable. $\mathcal{A}(n)$ outputs $f(n)$ for every $n \in \text{dom}(f)$. f is strictly increasing, so the number between two adjacent values $f(i), f(i + 1)$ is not in the $\text{rng}(f)$. Then we construct the algorithm for deciding the membership in $\text{rng}(f)$ as follows.

1. give an input x , a bool flag to mark the existence of x
2. for $i := 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 - (a) run $\text{value} := \mathcal{A}(i)$
 - i. if $\text{value} < x$, continue
 - ii. if $\text{value} = x$, print 'yes' and break
 - iii. if $\text{value} > x$, print 'no' and break

So the range of f is effectively decidable.

3. From the definition we know that $B = \{(m, n) | m \in A_n\}$. $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots$ is effectively decidable \Rightarrow effectively enumerable, we can enumerate a table which lines are A_i and columns are outputs of enumerating. Define $A_i(j)$ is the j_{th} element in A_i . Suppose B is effectively decidable. Let $S_k = \{a_i | a_i \neq A_i(i), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \Rightarrow \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : S_k \neq A_i$ so S_k is not effectively decidable. Construct a subset of B , $B' = \{(m, n) | m = A_n(n), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. B is effectively decidable so as B' . Therefore, we can construct a decidable algorithm for S_k from B' . Let correspondent deciding algorithm be $\mathcal{B}(m, n) = \mathcal{A}_n(m) = 1$ if $(m, n) \in B, m \in \mathcal{A}_n$ otherwise 0, and $\mathcal{S}(m) = 1 - \mathcal{B}(m, n)$. $A_i(i)$ can be get because of effectively enumerable. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}(m) = 1$ means $m \in S_k$ otherwise 0 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is an deciding algorithm of membership $\Rightarrow S_k$ is effectively decidable, which makes a contradiction. In conclusion, B is not effectively decidable.

4. Basic facts: A_1 : Cancer will be cured. A_2 : The cause of cancer is determined. A_3 : A new drug for cancer is found. Logical relations: $(\neg A_1)$ unless A_2 and A_3 is equal to $(\neg A_1)$ if not A_2 and A_3 . Therefore, the well-formed formula is $((\neg(A_2 \wedge A_3)) \rightarrow (\neg A_1))$.

5. Proof by induction:

- Step 1: First considering about simplest situation, suppose α and β are both single sentence symbol (simplest wff) in which $s = 1$ and $c = 0$.

- $(\alpha \wedge \beta) : s = 2$ and $c = 1$
- $(\alpha \vee \beta) : s = 2$ and $c = 1$
- $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) : s = 2$ and $c = 1$
- $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) : s = 2$ and $c = 1$

We found that they all satisfy $s = c + 1$.

- Step 2: Then let α and β be two well-formed formula, and check four binary connectives.

- $(\alpha \wedge \beta) : c = c(\alpha) + c(\beta) + 1$ and $s = s(\alpha) + s(\beta) = c(\alpha) + 1 + c(\beta) + 1 = c + 1$
- $(\alpha \vee \beta), (\alpha \rightarrow \beta), (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ are similar to process above.

- To sum up, for any wff α , the number of occurrence of sentences symbols in α is 1 greater than the number of binary connectives.

PS: Considering about $\neg\alpha$: $s(\neg\alpha) = s(\alpha), c(\neg\alpha) = c(\alpha)$