

# Convex Optimization Homework 5

Dinghuai Zhang, School of Mathematical Sciences, 1600013525

## 1 Problem Settings

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \mu \|x\|_1 \quad (1)$$

It's a standard LASSO problem without constraints.

### 1.1 Data Building

```
n = 1024;  
m = 512;  
A = randn(m,n);  
u = sprandn(n,1,0.1);  
b = A*u;  
mu = 1e-3;  
x0 = rand(n,1);
```

## 2 Solve the problem using CVX calling Gurobi and Mosek

### 2.1 Solving the problem using Mosek in CVX

The code is showed as follows:

```
function [ x1,out1 ] = l1_cvx_mosek(x0, A, b, mu, opts1)  
[m,n] = size(A);  
cvx_solver mosek  
cvx_begin  
    variable x(n)  
    minimize (0.5*square_pos(norm(A*x-b))+mu*norm(x,1))  
cvx_end  
x1 = x;  
out1 = cvx_optval;  
end
```

The result is

Optimizer summary			
Optimizer	—		time: 0.59
Interior-point	— iterations : 8		time: 0.54
Optimal value (cvx_optval): +0.0746955			

## 2.2 Solving the problem using Gurobi in CVX

The code is showed as follows:

```
function [x, cvx_optval]=l1_cvx_gurobi(x0, A, b, mu, opts2)
[n, ~] = size(x0);
cvx_solver gurobi
cvx_begin
    variable x(n)
    minimize (mu*norm(x,1) + 0.5*norm(A*x-b))
cvx_end
end
```

The result is

```
Barrier solved model in 13 iterations and 1.04 seconds
Optimal objective 7.46954736e-02
```

## 3 Solve the problem by directly calling Gurobi and Mosek

The original problem can be reformulated as:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \mu 1^T t \quad (2)$$

$$s.t. x \preceq t \quad (3)$$

$$-t \preceq x \quad (4)$$

which is (when  $b = Au$ ):

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|Ay\|_2^2 + \mu 1^T t \quad (5)$$

$$s.t. y + u \preceq t \quad (6)$$

$$-t \preceq y + u \quad (7)$$

In this way we can call mosek and gurobi directly.

### 3.1 Solve the problem by directly calling Mosek

The code is showed as follows:

```
function [x, cvx_optval]=l1_mosek(x0, A, b, mu, opts3)
[~,n] = size(A);
q = [0.5*(A'*A), zeros(n,n);zeros(n,n),zeros(n,n)];
c = [zeros(n,1);mu*ones(n,1)];
a = [eye(n),eye(n);-eye(n),eye(n)];
v = A\b;
blc = [-v;v];
res = mskqpopt(q,c,a,blc,[],[],[],[], 'minimize');
x = res.sol.itr.xx(1:1024)+v;
cvx_optval = res.sol.itr.pobjval;
end
```

The result is

Optimizer	—	time: 1.31
Interior-point	— iterations : 10	time: 1.25
Optimal value (cvx_optval): +0.0746955		

### 3.2 Solve the problem by directly calling Gurobi

The code is showed as follows:

```
function [x, cvx_optval]=l1_gurobi(x0, A, b, mu, opts4)
[~,n] = size(A);
At = [A -A];
model.Q = 0.5*sparse(At'*At);
c = mu*ones(2*n,1)-(b'*At)';
model.obj = c;
P = eye(2*n);
model.A = sparse(P);
l1 = zeros(2*n,1);
model.rhs = full(l1);
model.sense = '>';
params.method = 2;
res = gurobi(model, params);
x = res.x(1:n,1)-res.x(n+1:2*n,1);
cvx_optval = 0.5 * sum_square(A * x - b) + mu * norm(x, 1);
end
```

The result is

Barrier solved model in 9 iterations and 1.52 seconds  
Optimal objective 7.4696220351e-02

## 4 Solve the problem with Projection Gradient Algorithm

The core iteration step of projection gradient algorithm is:

$$x^+ = P_C(x - t\nabla g(x)) \quad (8)$$

We separate variable  $x$  into two parts of  $x_1, x_2$  s.t.  $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$  and define  $C$  as  $\mathbb{R}^+_{2n}$ . In the implementation we start from a large  $\mu$  to ensure its convergence. The code is showed as follows:

```
x0 = [max(x0,0); max(-x0,0)];
[~,n] = size(A);
pseudomu = mu * 1e5;
tol = 1e-4;
alpha_l = 1e-6;
alpha_u = 1;
alpha = 1e-4;
iter = 200;
Atb = A' * b;
AtA = A' * A;
while pseudomu >= mu
    cur = pseudomu * ones(2*n,1) - [Atb; -Atb];
    AtAx0 = AtA * (x0(1:n)-x0((n+1):2*n));
    g0 = [AtAx0;-AtAx0] + cur;
    x = x0;
    g = g0;
    k = 1;
    while k < iter
        x0 = x;
        x = max(x - alpha*g, 0);
        g0 = g;
        AAz = AtA * (x(1:n)-x((n+1):2*n));
        g = [AAz;-AAz] + cur;
        y = g - g0;
        s = x - x0;
        BB = (s'*s) / (s'*y);
```

```

        if s'*y <=0
            alpha = alpha_u;
        else
            alpha = max(alpha_l, min(BB, alpha_u));
        end
        k = k + 1;
        if norm(max(x-g, 0) - x) < tol
            break
        end
    end
    pseudomu = pseudomu / 10;
end
x = x(1:n)-x((n+1):2*n);
cvx_optval = 0.5*sum_square(A*x - b) + mu*norm(x,1);
end

```

The result is **better** and **faster** than mosek's 7.4695473580e-02 in 1.16s:

```
sub-gradient: cpu: 0.46, cvx_optval: 7.4695410841e-02
```

## 5 Solve the problem with Subgradient Algorithm

The sub-gradient algorithm is showed as follows:

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} - t_k g^{(k-1)} \quad (9)$$

where  $g^{(k-1)}$  is the sub gradient of object function when  $x = x^{(k-1)}$ . In the implementation we start from a large  $\mu$  to ensure its convergence as above. What's more, we use continuation method for step length  $\alpha$ . The code is showed as follows:

```

function [x, cvx_optval]=ll_Subgradient(x0, A, b, mu, opts5)
pseudomu = 100;
iter = 500;
tol = 1e-7;
x = x0;

while pseudomu >= mu
    k = 1;
    alpha = 3e-4;
    while k < iter
        x0 = x;
        g = A' * (A * x - b) + pseudomu * sign(x);

```

```

        if mod(k,50)==0
            alpha = alpha * 0.9;
        end
        x = x - alpha * g;
        if norm(x0-x) < tol
            break;
        end
        k = k + 1;
    end
    pseudomu = pseudomu / 10;
end
cvx_optval = 0.5*sum_square(A*x - b) + mu * norm(x,1);
end

```

The result is **better** and **faster** than mosek's 7.4695473580e-02 in 1.16s:

```
projectgradient: cpu: 0.11, cvx_optval: 7.4695365639e-02
```